Septa,Kickers and Transfer Lines



Wolfgang Bartmann
CERN
(based on lectures by M.J. Barnes, J. Borburgh, B. Goddard, V. Kain and M. Meddahi)

Septa, Kickers and Transfer Lines

- Beam transfer devices
  - Septa
  - Kickers
- Transfer lines
  - Geometric link between machines/experiment
  - Match optics between machines/experiment
  - Preserve emittance
  - Change particles' charge state (stripping foils)
  - Measure beam parameters (measurement lines)
  - Protect downstream machine/experiment

Single-turn injection – septum and kicker



- **Septum deflects the beam onto the closed orbit at the centre of the kicker**
- **Kicker compensates for the remaining angle**
- **Septum and kicker either side of D quad to minimise kicker strength**

Example Parameters for Septa at CERN

Septum Location	Beam momentum (GeV/c)	Gap Height (mm)	Max. Current (kA)	B (T)	Deflection (mrad)	Septum thickness (mm)
LEIR/AD/CTF (13 systems)	Various	25 to 55	1 DC to 40 pulsed	0.5 to 1.6	up to 130	3 - 19.2
PS Booster (6 systems)	1.4	25 to 50	28 pulsed	0.1 to 0.6	up to 80	1 – 15
PS complex (8 systems)	26	20 to 40	2.5 DC to 33 pulsed	0.2 to 1.2	up to 55	3 - 11.2
SPS Ext.	450	20	24	1.5	2.25	4.2 - 17.2

Example Parameters for Kickers at CERN

Kicker Location	Beam momentum (GeV/c)	# Magnets	Gap Height [V_{ap}] (mm)	Current (kA)	Impedance (Ω)	Rise Time (ns)	Total Deflection (mrad)
CTF3	0.2	4	40	0.056	50	~4	1.2
PS Inj.	2.14	4	53	1.52	26.3	42	4.2
SPS Inj.	13/26	16	54 to 61	1.47/1.96	16.67/12.5	115/200	3.92
SPS Ext. (MKE4)	450	5	32 to 35	2.56	10	1100	0.48
LHC Inj.	450	4	54	5.12	5	900	0.82
LHC Abort	450 to 7000	15	73	1.3 to 18.5	1.5 (not T-line)	2700	0.275

Septa



Septa

- Main Types:
  - Electrostatic Septum (DC)
  - DC Magnetic Septum
  - Direct Drive Pulsed Magnetic Septum
  - Eddy Current Septum
  - Lambertson Septum (deflection orthogonal to kicker deflection)
- Main Difficulties:
  - associated with Electrostatic septa is surface conditioning for High Voltage
  - associated with Magnetic septa are not electrical but rather mechanical (cooling, support of this septum blades, radiation resistance)

Electrostatic Septum



- Thin septum < 0.1 mm
- Vacuum as insulator between septum and electrode → vacuum tank
- Remote positioning system

Electrostatic Septum



- Variable gap width: 10 - 35 mm
- Vacuum: 10^{-9} to 10^{-12} mbar range
- Voltage: up to 300 kV
- Electric field strength: up to 10 MV/m;
- Septum Molybdenum foil or Tungsten wires
- Electrodes made of anodised aluminium, stainless steel or Titanium

DC Magnetic Septum



- Continuously powered
- Usually multi-turn coil to reduce the current needed
- Coil and the magnet yoke can be split for installation and maintenance
- Rarely under vacuum

DC Magnetic Septum



- Gap height: 25 - 60 mm
- Septum thickness: 6 - 20 mm
- Outside vacuum;
- Laminated steel yoke;
- Coil water cooling circuits (12 - 60 l/min.)
- Current range: 1 - 10 kA;
- Power consumption: 10 - 100 kW !

Direct Drive Pulsed Magnetic Septum



- Powered with a half sine wave current of a few ms
- Single turn coil to minimize magnet self-inductance
- Under vacuum

Direct Drive Pulsed Magnetic Septum



- Gap height: 18 - 60 mm
- Septum thickness: 3 - 20 mm
- Vacuum ~10^{-9} mbar
- Laminated steel yoke of 0.35 mm - 1.5 mm thick laminations
- Water cooling circuits 1 - 80 l/min
- Current: half-sine 7 - 40 kA, half-period ~3 ms;
- Power supplied by capacitor discharge
- Transformer between power supply and magnet

Eddy Current Septum



- Powered with a half or full sine wave current with a period of typically 50 µs.
- Single turn coil to minimize magnet self-inductance
- Coil dimensions not critical
- Magnetic field induces eddy currents in the septum blade → counteracting the fringe field
- Long decay time of eddy currents
- Thin septum

Eddy Current Septum



- Return box allows to reach better fringe field compensation (~10^{-3} of main field) and improves heat transfer
- Magnetic screen for circulating beam shielding

Lambertson Septum

- DC or pulsed
- Conductors are enclosed in steel yoke, “well away” from beam
- Thin steel yoke between aperture and circulating beam – however extra steel required to avoid saturation
- Lambertson deflects beam orthogonal to kicker deflection



Lambertson Septum





- Septum deflects beam horizontally to the right
- Kicker deflects beam vertically onto central orbit

Kickers



Simplified kicker schematic



- Pulse forming network or line (PFL/PFN) charged to voltage Vp by the resonant charging power supply (RCPS)
- Close main switch → voltage pulse of Vp/2 through transmission line towards magnet
- Once the current pulse reaches the (matched) terminating resistor full-field has been established in the kicker magnet
- Pulse length control with dump switch

Reflections



Match impedances to avoid reflections



$$V_L = V \cdot \left(\frac{Z_L}{Z_0 + Z_L} \right) = \alpha V$$

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0} \right) = \beta$$

Matched kicker system





- Switch fires:
  Voltage pulse V/2 propagates from the load end to the charging end of the line
- At charging end reflection coefficient is 1 → -V/2 is reflected back towards load end
- At load end reflection 0 → no voltage reflected
- PFN voltage is twice the load voltage

Magnets - historic



- Kicker magnets in the 1960's (AA accumulator ejection)
- Current pulses were limited → small aperture to reach required field and kick angle
- Needed to be operated hydraulically to put the kicker around the beam when the beam size at extraction was small enough…

Magnets – transmission line

- Todays fast kickers are generally **ferrite loaded transmission line magnets**
- Consists of many cells to approximate a broadband coaxial cable







Magnets – lumped inductance

Robust and cheap construction
BUT impedance mismatch and slow response



Magnets – in/outside vacuum

Why put the magnet under vacuum:

- Reduce aperture and therefore voltage and current
- Machine vacuum is a reliable dielectric (70 kV/cm OK)
- Recovers after a flashover



Drawbacks:

- Costly to construct: bake-out, vacuum tank, pumping, cooling
- A suitably treated chamber (ceramics) anyway needed for coupling impedance to beam

Terminated vs. Short circuit



Dump switch: Control pulse length

Main switch: trigger voltage pulse

Short circuit switch: when fired magnet current is doubled

Short-circuit mode allows to reach almost double the deflection angle at the expense of also a factor two longer rise/fall time

Switches

Thyratrons:
- can hold off 80 kV and switch 6 kA within 30 ns
- BUT: housing, insulation, erratics

Semiconductors:
- Allows beam energy tracking, eg. LHC dump kickers
- Rise time > 1µs
- Low maintenance

Thyratron



Semiconductor



PFN/PFL

Pulse forming line
- Coaxial cable charged to double the required pulse voltage
- Short pulses (< 3 µs)
- Low attenuation required to minimize droop → above 50 kV SF6 pressurized cables
- Bulky!

Reels of PFL

Pulse forming network
- For low droop and long pulses (> 3 µs)
- Artificial coaxial cable made of lumped elements



LHC Injection PFN

Ultra-fast kickers

CTF3 tail clipper: 140 ns pulse, < 5 ns rise time



Transfer lines

Transfer lines

Beams have to be transported
from extraction of one machine
to injection of next machine or to experiments

Requirements on transfer lines

- Geometric link between machines/experiment
- Match optics between machines/experiment
- Preserve emittance
- Change particles' charge state (stripping foils)
- Measure beam parameters (measurement lines)
- Protect downstream machine/experiment

Circular Machine



One turn $\mathbf{M}_{1\to 2} = \mathbf{M}_{0\to L} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\pi Q + \alpha \sin 2\pi Q & \beta \sin 2\pi Q \\ -1/\beta\left(1+\alpha^2\right)\sin 2\pi Q & \cos 2\pi Q - \alpha \sin 2\pi Q \end{bmatrix}$

- The solution is *periodic*
- Periodicity condition for one turn (closed ring) imposes $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2$, $D_1 = D_2$
- This condition *uniquely* determines $\alpha(s)$, $\beta(s)$, $\mu(s)$, $D(s)$ around the whole ring

Transfer line

One pass: $\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{1\to 2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{bmatrix}$

$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2' \end{bmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{M}_{1\to 2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_2/\beta_1}\,(\cos\Delta\mu + \alpha_1 \sin\Delta\mu) & \sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2}\,\sin\Delta\mu \\ \sqrt{1/\beta_1\beta_2}\,[(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\cos\Delta\mu - (1+\alpha_1\alpha_2)\sin\Delta\mu] & \sqrt{\beta_1/\beta_2}\,(\cos\Delta\mu - \alpha_2 \sin\Delta\mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

- No periodic condition exists
- The Twiss parameters are simply propagated from beginning to end of line
- At any point in line, α(s) β(s) are functions of α_1 β_1

Linking Machines



The Twiss parameters can be propagated when the transfer matrix **M** is known

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{1\to 2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C^2 & -2CS & S^2 \\ -CC' & CS'+SC' & -SS' \\ C'^2 & -2C'S' & S'^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Linking Machines

- Parameters at start of line have to be propagated to matched parameters at the end of the line
- Need to "match" 8 variables (α_x β_x D_x D'_x and α_y β_y D_y D'_y)
- Maximum b and D values are imposed by magnet apertures
- Other constraints can exist
  - phase conditions for collimators,
  - insertions for special equipment like stripping foils
- Need to use a number of independently powered ("matching") quadrupoles
- Matching with computer codes and relying on mixture of theory, experience, intuition, trial and error, …

Linking Machines

- For long transfer lines we can simplify the problem by designing the line in separate sections
  - Regular central section – e.g. FODO or doublet, with quads at regular spacing, (+ bending dipoles), with magnets powered in series
  - Initial and final matching sections – independently powered quadrupoles, with sometimes irregular spacing



Blow-up from betatron mismatch

- Optical errors occur in transfer line and ring, such that the beam can be injected with a mismatch.
- Filamentation will produce an emittance increase.
- In normalised phase space, consider the matched beam as a circle, and the mismatched beam as an ellipse.



Blow-up from betatron mismatch

- Optical errors occur in transfer line and ring, such that the beam can be injected with a mismatch.
- Filamentation will produce an emittance increase.
- In normalised phase space, consider the matched beam as a circle, and the mismatched beam as an ellipse.



Resulting emittance after filamentation:
(see Appendix for derivation)

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) = H\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)$$

Blow-up from betatron mismatch

A numerical example….consider *b = 3a* for the mismatched ellipse

$$\lambda = \sqrt{b/a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Then

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda^2\right)$$
$$= 1.67\varepsilon_0$$



Steering (dipole) errors

Display from the LHC control room to correct injection oscillations



Transfer line TI 2 ~3 km **LHC arc 23 ~3 km**

Injection point in LHC IR2

Steering (dipole) errors

- Precise delivery of the beam is important.
  - To avoid **injection oscillations** and emittance growth in rings
  - For stability on secondary particle production targets
- Convenient to express injection error in s (includes x and x' errors)

$\Delta a\ [\sigma] = \sqrt{((X^2+X'^2)/\varepsilon)} = \sqrt{((\gamma x^2 + 2\alpha x x' + \beta x'^2)/\varepsilon)}$





Blow-up from steering error

- Consider a collection of particles with max. amplitudes A
- The beam can be injected with a error in angle and position.
- For an injection error Δa_y (in units of sigma = $\sqrt{\beta}$) the mis-injected beam is offset in normalised phase space by $L = \Delta a_y \sqrt{\varepsilon}$

Resulting emittance after filamentation:
(see Appendix for derivation)

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \left\langle A_{new}^2 \right\rangle / 2 = \varepsilon_0 + L^2/2$$

$$= \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \Delta a^2/2\right)$$



Blow-up from steering error

A numerical example….

Consider an offset Δa of 0.5 sigma for injected beam

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \varepsilon_0 \left(1 + \Delta a^2 / 2\right)$$
$$= 1.125 \varepsilon_0$$



For nominal LHC beam:

allowed growth through LHC cycle ~ 10 %

Injection oscillation correction

- x, x' and y, y' at injection point need to be corrected.
- Minimum diagnostics: 2 pickups per plane, 90° phase advance apart
- Pickups need to be triggered to measure on the first turn
- Correctors in the transfer lines are used to minimize offset at these pickups.

- Best strategy:
  - Acquire many BPMs in circular machine (e.g. one octant/sextant of machine)
  - Combine acquisition of transfer line and of BPMs in circular machine
    - Transfer line: difference trajectory to reference
    - Circular machine: remove closed orbit from first turn trajectory ➔ pure injection oscillation
  - Correct combined trajectory with correctors in transfer line with typical correction algorithms. Use correctors of the line only.

Example: LHC injection of beam 1

- Oscillation down the line has developed in horizontal plane
- Injection oscillation amplitude > 1.5 mm
- Good working range of LHC transverse damper +/- 2 mm



- Aperture margin for injection oscillation is 2 mm

Blow-up from thin scatterer

- Scattering elements are sometimes required in the beam
  - Thin beam screens (Al_2O_3,Ti) used to generate profiles.
  - Metal windows also used to separate vacuum of transfer lines from vacuum in circular machines.
  - Foils are used to strip electrons to change charge state
- The emittance of the beam increases when it passes through, due to multiple Coulomb scattering.



rms angle increase:

$$\sqrt{\langle \theta_s^2 \rangle}[mrad] = \frac{14.1}{\beta_c p[MeV/c]} Z_{inc} \sqrt{\frac{L}{L_{rad}}} \left(1 + 0.11 \cdot \log_{10} \frac{L}{L_{rad}}\right)$$

β_c = v/c, p = momentum, Z_{inc} = particle charge /e, L = target length, L_{rad} = radiation length

Blow-up from thin scatterer

Each particles gets a random angle change q_s but there is no effect on the positions at the scatterer

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{new} = \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{0}}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}'_{new} = \overline{\mathbf{X}}'_{\mathbf{0}} + \sqrt{\beta}\theta_s$$

After filamentation the particles have different amplitudes and the beam has a larger emittance

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_{new} = \varepsilon_0 + \frac{\beta}{2}\left\langle \theta_s^2 \right\rangle}$$



Blow-up from charge stripping foil

- For LHC heavy ions, Pb^{53+} is stripped to Pb^{82+} at 4.25GeV/u using a 0.8mm thick Al foil, in the PS to SPS line
- De is minimised with low-b insertion (b_{xy} ~5 m) in the transfer line
- Emittance increase expected is about 8%



Optics measurement with screens

- A profile monitor is needed to measure the beam size
  - e.g. beam screen (luminescent) provides 2D density profile of the beam
- Profile fit gives transverse beam sizes σ.
- In a ring, β is 'known' so ε can be calculated from a single screen



Optics Measurement with 3 Screens

- Assume 3 screens in a dispersion free region
- Measurements of s_1,s_2,s_3, plus the two transfer matrices M_{12} and M_{13} allows determination of ε, α and β



$$\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\beta_1} = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\beta_2} = \frac{\sigma_3^2}{\beta_3}$$

Matching screen



Profiles at matching monitor after injection with steering error

- 1 screen in the circular machine
- Measure turn-by-turn profile after injection
- Algorithm same as for several screens in transfer line

- Only allowed with low intensity beam
- Issue: radiation hard fast cameras

Injection protection

- If beam is powerful enough to destroy downstream machine elements
- Intercept large amplitude particles with collimators





Gives additional constraints on optics and trajectory

Injection protection



Summary

- Depending on the injection/extraction concept chose dedicated septa and kickers
- Transfer lines present interesting challenges and differences from circular machines
  - No periodic condition mean optics is defined by transfer line element strengths and by initial beam ellipse
  - Matching at the extremes is subject to many constraints
  - Emittance blow-up is an important consideration, and arises from several sources
  - Measurement beam parameters important for understanding of optics and beam transfer process

Thank you for your attention!

Bibliography for Septa

- M.J. Barnes, J. Borburgh, B. Goddard, M. Hourican, "Injection and Extraction Magnets: Septa", CERN Accelerator School CAS 2009: Specialised Course on Magnets, Bruges, 16-25 June 2009, arXiv:1103.1062 [physics.acc-ph].
- J. Borburgh, M. Crescenti, M. Hourican, T. Masson, "Design and Construction of the LEIR Extraction Septum", IEEE Trans. on Applied Superconductivity, Vol. 16, No. 2, June 2006, pp289-292.
- M.J. Barnes, B. Balhan, J. Borburgh, T. Fowler, B. Goddard, W.J.M. Weterings, A. Ueda, "Development of an Eddy Current Septum for LINAC4", EPAC 2008.
- J. Borburgh, B. Balhan, T. Fowler, M. Hourican, W.J.M. Weterings, "Septa and Distributor Developments for H- Injection into the Booster from Linac4", EPAC 2008.
- S.Bidon, D.Gerard, R.Guinand, M.Gyr, M.Sassowsky, E.Weisse, W.Weterings, A.Abramov, A.Ivanenko, E.Kolatcheva, O.Lapyguina, E.Ludmirsky, N.Mishina, P.Podlesny, A.Riabov, N.Tyurin, "Steel Septum Magnets for the LHC Beam Injection and Extraction", Proc. of EPAC 2002, Paris.
- J.M. Cravero & J.P. Royer, "The New Pulsed Power Converter for the Septum Magnet in the PS Straight Section 42", CERN PS/PO/ Note 97-03, 1997.
- J.P. Royer, "High Current with Precision Flat-Top Capacitor Discharge Power Converters for Pulsed Septum Magnets", CERN/PS 95-13 (PO), 1995.
- http://psdata.web.cern.ch/psdata/www/septa/xseh.htm.

Bibliography for Kickers

- M.J. Barnes, L. Ducimetiére, T. Fowler, V. Senaj, L. Sermeus, "Injection and extraction magnets: kicker magnets", CERN Accelerator School CAS 2009: Specialised Course on Magnets, Bruges, 16-25 June 2009, arXiv:1103.1583 [physics.acc-ph].
- D. Fiander, K.D. Metzmacher, P.D. Pearce, "Kickers and Septa at the PS complex, CERN", Prepared for KAON PDS Magnet Design Workshop, Vancouver, Canada, 3-5 Oct 1988, pp71-79.
- M.J. Barnes, G.D. Wait, I.M. Wilson, "Comparison of Field Quality in Lumped Inductance versus Transmission Line Kicker Magnets", EPAC 1994, pp2547-2549.
- G. Kotzian, M. Barnes, L. Ducimetière, B. Goddard, W. Höfle, "Emittance Growth at LHC Injection from SPS and LHC", LHC Project Report 1116.
- J. N. Weaver et al., "Design, Analysis and Measurement of Very Fast Kicker Magnets at SLAC," Proc of 1989 PAC, Chicago, pp. 411–413.
- L. Ducimetière, N. Garrel, M.J. Barnes, G.D. Wait, "The LHC Injection Kicker Magnet", Proc. of PAC 2003, Portland, USA, pp1162-1164.
- L. Ducimetière, "Advances of Transmission Line Kicker Magnets", Proc. of 2005 PAC, Knoxville, pp235-239.
- W. Zhang, J. Sandberg, J. Tuozzolo, R. Cassel, L. Ducimetière, C. Jensen, M.J. Barnes, G.D. Wait, J. Wang, "An Overview of High Voltage Dielectric Material for Travelling Wave Kicker Magnet Application", proc. of 25th International Power Modulator Conference and High Voltage Workshop, California, June 30-July 3, 2002, pp674-678.
- J. Bonthond, J.H. Dieperink, L. Ducimetikrre, U. Jansson, E. Vossenberg, "Dual Branch High Voltage Pulse Generator for the Beam Extraction of the Large Hadron Collider", 2002 Power Modulator Symposium, Holloywood, USA, 30 June-3 July 2002, pp114-117.
- M.J. Barnes, T. Fowler, G. Ravida, H. Nakajima, "Design & Testing of the Modulator for the CTF3 Tail Clipper Kicker", Proc. of 2nd Euro-Asian Pulsed Power Conference, 22-26 September 2008, Vilnius, Lithuania.

Blow-up from betatron mismatch

General betatron motion

$$x_2 = \sqrt{a_2\beta_2}\,\sin(\varphi+\varphi_o), \qquad x'_2 = \sqrt{a_2/\beta_2}\,\left[\cos(\varphi+\varphi_o)-\alpha_2\sin(\varphi+\varphi_o)\right]$$

applying the normalising transformation for the matched beam

$$\begin{bmatrix}\bar{X}_2\\ \bar{X}'_2\end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_1}}\cdot\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ \alpha_1 & \beta_1\end{bmatrix}\cdot\begin{bmatrix}x_2\\ x'_2\end{bmatrix}$$

an ellipse is obtained in <u>normalised</u> phase space

$$A^2 = \bar{X}_2^2\left[\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}+\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^2\right]+\bar{X}'^2_2\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}-2\bar{X}_2\bar{X}'_2\left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)\right]$$

characterised by g_{new}, b_{new} *and* a_{new}, where

$$\alpha_{new} = \frac{-\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right), \qquad \beta_{new} = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}, \quad \gamma_{new} = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}+\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^2$$

Blow-up from betatron mismatch

From the general ellipse properties

$$a = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{H+1}+\sqrt{H-1}\right), \qquad b = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{H+1}-\sqrt{H-1}\right)$$

where

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{new}+\beta_{new}\right) \quad \begin{matrix}a = A/\lambda\\ b = A\cdot\lambda\end{matrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}+\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1-\alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^2+\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)$$

giving

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{H+1}+\sqrt{H-1}\right), \qquad \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\sqrt{H+1}-\sqrt{H-1}\right)$$

$$\bar{X}_{new} = \lambda\cdot\mathbf{A}\sin(\phi+\phi_1), \qquad \bar{X}'_{new} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbf{A}\cos(\phi+\phi_1)$$



generally

Blow-up from betatron mismatch

We can evaluate the square of the distance of a particle from the origin as

$$\mathsf{A}_{new}^2 = \overline{\mathsf{X}}_{new}^2 + \overline{\mathsf{X}}'^2_{new} = \lambda^2 \cdot \mathsf{A}_0^2 \sin^2(\phi+\phi_1) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\mathsf{A}_0^2 \cos^2(\phi+\phi_1)$$

The new emittance is the average over all phases

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \mathsf{A}_{new}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^2 \left\langle \mathsf{A}_0^2 \sin^2(\phi+\phi_1)\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left\langle \mathsf{A}_0^2 \cos^2(\phi+\phi_1)\right\rangle\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \mathsf{A}_0^2 \right\rangle \left(\lambda^2 \left\langle \sin^2(\phi+\phi_1)\right\rangle + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left\langle \cos^2(\phi+\phi_1)\right\rangle\right)$$

($\sin^2$ and $\cos^2$ each average to 0.5)

$$= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

If we're feeling diligent, we can substitute back for l to give

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right) = H\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0\left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\left(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}\right)^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)$$

where subscript 1 refers to matched ellipse, 2 to mismatched ellipse.

Blow-up from steering error

- The new particle coordinates in normalised phase space are

$$\overline{\mathsf{X}}_{new} = \overline{\mathsf{X}}_0 + \mathsf{L}cos\theta$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{X}}'_{new} = \overline{\mathsf{X}}'_0 + \mathsf{L}sin\theta$$

- For a general particle distribution, where A denotes amplitude in normalised phase space

$$\mathsf{A}^2 = \overline{\mathsf{X}}^2 + \overline{\mathsf{X}}'^2$$

$$\varepsilon = \left\langle \mathsf{A}^2 \right\rangle / 2$$



Blow-up from steering error

- So if we plug in the new coordinates….

$$\boldsymbol{A^2_{new}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{\boldsymbol{new}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{\boldsymbol{new}} = \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} + \boldsymbol{L}\cos\theta\right)^2 + \left(\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'_{\boldsymbol{0}} + \boldsymbol{L}\sin\theta\right)^2$$

$$= \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}^2_{\boldsymbol{0}} + \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} + 2\boldsymbol{L}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\boldsymbol{0}}\cos\theta + \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'_{\boldsymbol{0}}\sin\theta\right) + \boldsymbol{L^2}$$

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{A^2_{new}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}^2_{\boldsymbol{0}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'^{\boldsymbol{2}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} \right\rangle + \left\langle 2\boldsymbol{L}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\boldsymbol{0}}\cos\theta + \bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'_{\boldsymbol{0}}\sin\theta\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{L^2} \right\rangle$$

$$= 2\varepsilon_0 + 2\boldsymbol{L}\left(\left\langle \cos\theta \cancel{\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}_{\boldsymbol{0}}}^{\,0} \right\rangle + \left\langle \sin\theta \cancel{\bar{\boldsymbol{X}}'_{\boldsymbol{0}}}^{\,0} \right\rangle\right) + \boldsymbol{L^2}$$

$$= 2\varepsilon_0 + \boldsymbol{L^2}$$

- Giving for the emittance increase

$$\varepsilon_{new} = \left\langle \mathsf{A}_{new}{}^2 \right\rangle / 2 = \varepsilon_0 + \mathsf{L}^2/2$$

$$= \varepsilon_0\left(1 + \Delta\mathbf{a}^2/2\right)$$

Blow-up from thin scatterer

$$\mathsf{A}^2_{new} = \bar{\mathsf{X}}^2_{new} + \bar{\mathsf{X}}'^2_{new}$$

$$= \bar{\mathsf{X}}^2_0 + \left(\bar{\mathsf{X}}'_0 + \sqrt{\beta}\theta_s\right)^2$$

$$= \bar{\mathsf{X}}^2_0 + \bar{\mathsf{X}}'^2_0 + 2\sqrt{\beta}\left(\bar{\mathsf{X}}'_0\theta_s\right) + \beta\theta_s^2 \quad \text{(uncorrelated)}$$

$$\left\langle \mathsf{A}^2_{new} \right\rangle = \left\langle \bar{\mathsf{X}}^2_0 \right\rangle + \left\langle \bar{\mathsf{X}}'^2_0 \right\rangle + 2\sqrt{\beta}\left\langle \bar{\mathsf{X}}'_0\theta_s \right\rangle + \beta\left\langle \theta_s^2 \right\rangle$$

$$= 2\varepsilon_0 + 2\sqrt{\beta}\left\langle \cancel{\bar{\mathsf{X}}_0}^{\,0} \right\rangle\left\langle \theta_s \right\rangle + \beta\left\langle \theta_s^2 \right\rangle$$

$$= 2\varepsilon_0 + \beta\left\langle \theta_s^2 \right\rangle$$

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_{new} = \varepsilon_0 + \frac{\beta}{2}\left\langle \theta_s^2 \right\rangle}$$



Need to keep b small to minimise blow-up (small b means large spread in angles in beam distribution, so additional angle has small effect on distn.)

Optics Measurement with 3 Screens

- Remember:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_2' \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{M}_{1\to 2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x'_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x'_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_2^2 & -2C_2S_2 & S_2^2 \\ -C_2C_2' & C_1S_1'+S_1C_1' & -S_2S_2' \\ C_2'^2 & -2C_2'S_2' & S_2'^2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left.\begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= C_2^2 \cdot \beta_1 - 2C_2S_2 \cdot \alpha_1 + S_2^2 \cdot \gamma_1 \\ \beta_3 &= C_3^2 \cdot \beta_1 - 2C_3S_3 \cdot \alpha_1 + S_3^2 \cdot \gamma_1 \end{aligned}\right| \times \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2^2 &= C_2^2 \cdot \beta_1\varepsilon - 2C_2S_2 \cdot \alpha_1\varepsilon + S_2^2 \cdot \gamma_1\varepsilon \\ \sigma_3^2 &= C_3^2 \cdot \beta_1\varepsilon - 2C_3S_3 \cdot \alpha_1\varepsilon + S_3^2 \cdot \gamma_1\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Square of beam sizes as function of optical functions at first screen

Optics Measurement with 3 Screens

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1^2 &= 1 \cdot \beta_1\varepsilon - 0 \cdot \alpha_1\varepsilon + 0 \cdot \gamma_1\varepsilon \\ \sigma_2^2 &= C_2^2 \cdot \beta_1\varepsilon - 2C_2S_2 \cdot \alpha_1\varepsilon + S_2^2 \cdot \gamma_1\varepsilon \\ \sigma_3^2 &= C_3^2 \cdot \beta_1\varepsilon - 2C_3S_3 \cdot \alpha_1\varepsilon + S_3^2 \cdot \gamma_1\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Build matrix

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{N} \cdot \Pi$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 \\ \sigma_2^2 \\ \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}_{meas} \qquad \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ C_2^2 & -2C_2S_2 & S_2^2 \\ C_3^2 & -2C_3S_3 & S_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1\varepsilon \\ \alpha_1\varepsilon \\ \gamma_1\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

- We want to know Π

$$\boxed{\Pi = \mathbf{N}^{-1} \cdot \Sigma}$$