FCC-ee - Lepton Collider

Optics Challenges

Bernhard Holzer

... court J. Wenninger

For the TLEP Lattice and Optics Design Team: B. Haerer, R. Martin, H. Garcia, R. Tomas, L. Medina, Y. Cai and many colleagues

There is only one real challenge ... the parameter list

	Z	W	Н	tt
Beam energy [GeV]	45.5	80	120	175
Beam current [mA]	1450	152	30	6.6
Bunches / beam	16700	4490	1360	98
Bunch population [10 ¹¹]	1.8	0.7	0.46	1.4
Transverse emittance e - Horizontal [nm]	29.2	3.3	0.94	
- verticai [pm]	60	/	1.9	2
Momentum comp. [10 ⁻⁵]	18	2	0.5	0.5
Betatron function at IP b* - Horizontal [m]	0.5	0.5	0.5	1
- Vertical [mm]	1	1		
Beam size at IP s* [mm]				
- Horizontal	121	26	22	45
- Vertical	0.25	0.13	0.044	0.045
Bunch length [mm]				
- Synchrotron radiation	1.64	1.01	0.81	1.16
- Total	2.56	1.49	1.17	1.49
Energy loss / turn [GeV]	0.03	0.33	1.67	7.55
Total RF voltage [GV]	2.5	4	5.5	11

design & optimise a lattice for 4 different energies

Interaction Region layout for a large number of bunches $\Delta s = 6m (LHC = 7.5m)$

small hor. emittance increasing with reduced energy $\varepsilon_y / \varepsilon_x = 10^{-3}$

extremely small vert. beta

- $\beta_y = 1mm$
- \rightarrow high chromaticity
- \rightarrow challenging dynamic aperture

high synchrotron radiation losses include sophisticated absorber design in the lattice

Challenge 1: TLEP ... Lattice Design Definition of the cell to get the right hor. emittance



Challenge 1: TLEP ... Lattice Design Definition of the cell

Arc: the single FoDo cell

phase advance: $90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$

to be discussed ...

90° horizontally: small dispersion & emittance 60° vertically: small beam size (β_y) and better orbit correction tolerance (LEP experience)





Question 1: can we follow with a flexible lattice design the parameters for the 4 energies ? Dispersion suppressor ? Geometry ?

Main Parameters: momentum compaction

$$\alpha_{cp} \approx \frac{\langle D \rangle}{R} = \frac{12*10^{-2}m}{L_0/(2\pi)} \approx 7.7*10^{-6}$$
 MADX: $\alpha_{cp} \approx 6.6*10^{-6}$
(80km)

Challenge 2: Lattice Design ... Layout of the Magnets

Achieve highest possible fill factor to limit synchrotron radiation losses Include Absorber Design in the lattice layout Distribute RF straights to limit saw tooth effect (dispersion suppressor layout)





Dipole length defined by synchrotron radiation load $L_{dipole} < 11m$

court. Luisella Lari et al

Challenge 2: Lattice Design ... Layout of the Magnets





D = Dipole, Q = Quadrupole, S = Sextupole

$$N_{dipoles} = 6048 \qquad E = 175 GeV, \quad B\rho = 583.33$$

$$L_{dipoles} = 10.5 \text{ m} \qquad \rho = \frac{2\pi}{6048} = 1.04 \text{ mrad per dipole} \qquad \rho = \frac{L_B}{\theta} \approx 10 \text{ km}$$

$$\Delta U_0(\text{keV}) \approx \frac{89 * E^4 (\text{GeV})}{\rho}$$

 $\Delta U_0 \approx 8.3 \, GeV \quad \dots 7.6 \, GeV \, (100 km)$

court. Bastian Haerer

TLEP ... Lattice Design

12 Arcs : built out of 2*56 standard FoDo cells & 2 half bend cells at beginning and end length of arc: ≈ 3.0km each arc is embedded in dispersion free regions ...

arcs are connected by straight. sections ... 12 long (mini β and RF)



Question 2: Is a FODO the best solution ? ... for fill factor yes, for momentum acceptance ??? What about TBA, FFAG etc ?? ... B. Dalena, J. Payet

Challenge 3: Beam Emittance Ratio ... can we make it ?

required: $\varepsilon_y / \varepsilon_x = 1*10^{-3}$ horizontal ... defined by energy, cell length and focusing properties vertical ... defined by orbit tolerances (magnet misalignment & coupling) ... without mini-beta-insertion !!

Dx = Dy = 150 mm

assumed magnet alignment tolerance (D. Missiaen)



orbit tolerances add up to very large distortions and are amplified by the extreme mini-beta concept

court. Bastian Haerer

Challenge 3: Beam Emittance Ratio ... can we make it ?

horizontal orbit after 3 iterations



Question 3 ... can we maintain this values including ... coupling? / beam beam effects? ... how do we deal with the extreme sensitivity in the mini-betasections ... special quadrupole alingment features (piezo)?

Challenge 4: ... Lattice Modifications for smaller energies

... the most interesting challenge !!

	Z	w	Н	tt
Beam energy [GeV]	45.5	80	120	175
Beam current [mA]	1450	152	30	6.6
Bunches / beam	16700	4490	1360	98
Bunch population [10 ¹¹]	1.8	0.7	0.46	1.4
Transverse emittance e - Horizontal [nm] - Vertical [pm]	29.2 60	3.3	0.94	22
Momentum comp. [10 ⁻⁵]	18	2	0.5	0.5

emittance is a factor 15 higher at low energy compared to 175 GeV ... positiv for luminosity ←→ counter productive for beam dynamics

$$\varepsilon_{0} = C \sqrt{2} \frac{I_{5}}{j_{x}I_{2}}, \qquad I_{2} = \oint \frac{1}{\rho^{2}} ds$$

$$I_{5} = \oint \frac{\mathcal{H}_{x}}{|\rho|^{3}} ds, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{x} = \gamma_{x} \eta_{x}^{2} + 2\alpha_{x} \eta_{x} \eta_{px} + \beta_{x} \eta_{px}^{2}$$

Question 4a: how can we counteract the natural emittance shrinking for lower energies ?

Challenge 4: ... Lattice Modifications for smaller energies



Question 4b: do we need wigglers for emittance tuning ? (... yes)

Challenge 5: Interaction Region Lattice

large bunch number requires two rings & crossing angle → *influence on mini beta optics / beam separation scheme*



presented by A. Bogomyagkov et al.

Question 5a: How do we get sufficient separation (beam-beam-effect)? How do we bend back the beams into their closed orbit? How do we avoid to large synchrotron radiation background? Do we need a 10% bend at the end of the arc?

court. R. Tomas, R. Martin

Challenge 5: Interaction Region Lattice



Question 5b: How do we get proton and electron geometry together ? ... in the interaction regions ? ... for the complete ring ?

Challenge 6: Mini-Beta-Optics

extreme (!!) mini beta requirements vert. beta*=1mm call for a Linear Collider like Interaction Region

standard straight section / dispersion suppressor / mini beta combined with quasi local chromaticity control court. Hector Garcia / Yunhai Cai



Challenge 6: Mini-Beta-Optics / Non-linear beam dynamics

challenging (!!) mini beta requirements $\beta_y^* = 1mm$ drives chromaticity to extreme values



Non-linear tune shift with momentum drives the off-momentum particles on strong resonances

Question 6: How do compensate the higher order chromaticity ? How do we get the required momentum acceptance $\Delta p/p > +/-2\%$

Challenge 7: Non-linear beam dynamics and dynamic aperture

very first dynamic aperture calculations for the case 1*=2m (guess why ...)

... and ideal momentum $\Delta p/p = 0$



On Energy everything looks ok.

court. L. Medina

Challenge 7: Non-linear beam dynamics and dynamic aperture

very first dynamic aperture calculations for the case 1*=2m (guess why ...)

and off momentum $\Delta p/p = +/-1\%$



Question 7: How do we improve the dynamic aperture for $\Delta p/p > +/-2\%$ How does the best chromaticity compensation look like ? Should we go for a true local compensation (i.e. D'(IP) $\neq 0$)?

court. L. Medina

Challenge 7b: get the best momentum acceptance

Question 7b: What about combining a local or a quasi-local Q' correction system ... with a state of the art (2+3) sextupole family concept in the arc ? to get an achromatic structure between arc-IR-arc !! and distribute the correction load between IR and arc ???



present quasi-local Q' compensation design

LHeC design with arc-IR-arc Q' compensation court. Miriam Fitterer

Resume:

I.) We need a lattice design with highest flexibility to create a set of beam optics valuable for 4 different energies

II.) We have to establish beam optics to get the required emittances and $\varepsilon_y / \varepsilon_x$ emittance ratios

III.) We have to deign a beam separation scheme with tolerable synchrotron light conditions

IV.) ... in combination with the layout of the pp collider

V.) We have to build mini-beta insertions with $\beta^* = 1mm$

VI.) And still control / compensate the up to now unknown chromaticity budget

VII.) We have to obtain a momentum acceptance of $\Delta p/p = +/-2\%$

FCC-ee - Lepton Collider

... feel motivated to join the Friday afternoon break out session