Resistive Micromegas for the SDHCAL Status of on-going analysis of charge-up effects

M. Chefdeville, LAPP, Annecy RD51 CM, Feb. 6th 2014, CERN

Overview

× Micromegas calorimetry at a LC

- **x** Resistive prototypes
- **x** Test beam results
- ✗ Charge-up effects

Micromegas & gaseous calorimetry

Low multiplication factor – Narrow avalanche – Fast ion collection \rightarrow Space charge field ~ 0

 \rightarrow Signal are proportional to the energy deposited in the gas \leftrightarrow *linear response*



Mesh lies on pillars (1% dead zone) \rightarrow uniform E-field \rightarrow *Small constant term & easy calibration* High rate capability of tens of MHz/cm² \rightarrow *Barrel/endcap/forward region-compatible* Simple gas (e.g. Ar/CO₂) & low voltages (500 V, 40 kV/cm) \rightarrow *No ageing* Gas gain dependence on P/T/V well known \rightarrow *Response stable with time*

Semi-digital hadron calorimetry

Micromegas suitable for EM & H calorimetry. In the case of Particle-Flow calorimetry:

- ✗ Alternative to Si for W-ECAL but with larger Molière radius & worse energy resolution;
- ★ <u>*Candidate for a Fe-DHCAL (ILC)*</u> and W-DHCAL (CLIC).



<u>The response of a DHCAL is saturated</u> (cell size VS R_M) with consequences on the energy resolution. But off-line <u>compensation techniques</u> can be used to correct for it, e.g. <u>2- bit readout</u>.

Chronology



2006-2009



2009-2012



2012-2013

Proof-of-principle

Large area (SDHCAL)









Resistive prototypes, motivation and challenges

At shower max (in $1x1 \text{ m}^2$ layer), for 150 GeV pions, spark probability/pion ~ 10^{-6} Small but a calorimeter will have 40 layers \rightarrow Avoid discharges + Simplify PCB by removing current-limiting diodes

Effects to minimise

Lateral spread of charge to maintain imaging capability (<u>multiplicity ~ 1.1</u>) Loss of linearity (= rate capability) for <u>"large" charge density</u>, like in shower core



Resistive prototypes, designs

<u>Resistive pad + via</u>

Insulating layer, 64 µm thick

The R-layer (a few $M\Omega/\Box$) is segmented into pads of same size as readout pads The charge is evacuated to ground through a via

Resistive line

Insulating layer, 64 μ m thick

The R-layer (a few M Ω / \Box) is patterned into strips that run over all pads

The charge is evacuated to ground on one pad board side



Resistive prototypes, Active Sensor Unit (ASU)

ASU = Bulk + pad (with or w/o R-layer) + ASIC

Bulk + R-layer made @ CERN

 $4 \text{ ASIC} = 4 \text{ MICROROC} \rightarrow 4*64 = 256 \text{ channels with preamp./shaper/3 discri./RAM}$

Testbeam air stack

Drift gap of 3 mm, ~10 cm between prototypes 2 resistive ASU sandwiched between 3 non-resistive (or standard)

Standard prototypes have diodes on PCB, resistive ones do not.





Testbeam setup @ DESY







MICROROC data = hits above 1 of the 3 readout thresholds

Possibility of a slow analogue readout (digitisation on readout board)

Mesh current VS voltage at high rate, no sparks in R-prototypes

Gas gain varies between 1000 to 5000 (500-560 V)

Erratum previous RD51 presentation: the rate is not 5 kHz but ~ 180 kHz (over 4 cm²)



10

Digital response: efficiency & hit multiplicity

Find tracks in first & last (standard) prototypes

Measure N_{hit} distribution (for every pad) in 2 resistive & 1 standard

→ Standard prototype has largest gas gain (10-20 V more in R-prototypes) → (adjusting V_{mesh}) efficiency & multiplicity are comparable in all prototypes

 \rightarrow Good spatial uniformity (1-2 % RMS)



Charge-up effects & rate scan (reminder)

Set V_{mesh} to equalise the efficiency (95% efficiency) of R-prototypes

Standard prototypes operated @ lower efficiency (85%) to avoid sparking (can cause crashes the DAQ because of a modification of slow control inside ASIC)

Beam rate is varried between 1-200 kHz (4 cm²)

 \rightarrow measure efficiency & multiplicity VS rate

Previous RD51 meeting Efficiency & multiplicity for low threshold are ~ constant with rate





Charge-up effects & rate scan, on-going analysis

For a given variation of signal,

the resulting variation of efficiency depends on the threshold value



Thr = 0.25 MIP Thr = 0.75 MIP Thr = 1.3 MIP

 \rightarrow Look at higher thresholds

Efficiency versus time for 3 thresholds



Normalised trends, resistive lines



Normalised trends, resistive via



Conclusions

× Spark protection successfully implemented

× Hit multiplicity still close to 1

× <u>Charge-up effect, analysis on-going</u>

x Effects seems to show up between 1-10 kHz/cm²

- ✗ Yet, preliminary results & more work needed
 → direct rate measurement from data in ASIC memory
- X Understand & model the underlying mechanisms before scaling-up the prototype area