





Top Studies at CDF



Tevatron, top and CDF >20 years



Top Discovery
1994-1995



Why top is so interesting?


Heaviest quark known ($\sim 172.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$)

- Due to its mass decays before hadronization
 - No bound states («top mesons», «Upsilon-like»)
 - «direct» access to production and decay vertex
 - Couplings, CKM elements..
- Related to Higgs mass through loops
 - Precision measurement of M_W , M_{top}
 - Stability of our Universe...
- Yukawa coupling ~ 1
 - Anything special about top and its relation to EWSB?
 - Window to new physics?

Two different production mechanisms




- Ewk processes
- Strong interactions

What can we study?



Tools: physics objects



- High Pt lepton (e or mu)
 - Isolated as coming from W
- 2 or 3 Jet with large E_T
 - 20 GeV at CDF
 - $|\eta| < 2.8$ Tevatron
- Missing E_T (MET)
 - 25 GeV (CDF), 20/25 (D0)
- b-tagging of secondary vertices
 - With a variety of tools (from tracks displaced from the primary to NN algorithms)




Production vertex

Top Pair production

- ~85 % through $q\bar{q}$ annihilation
- Calculated assuming BF ($t \rightarrow Wb$) ~100%
- Classified through W decay path
 - Dilepton (both W s decay leptonically)
 - l+jets (in W decays into quarks)
 - All-hadronic (both W s decay into quarks)
- CDF does not exploit $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ decays
 - Dilepton (e, μ) ~5%: llvvbb
 - l+jets (~30%): lv qqbb
 - All-hadronic (~45%): qqqqbb



Inclusive cross section



$p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ cross section (pb) at $\sqrt{s}=1.96 \text{ TeV}$


CDF results contribute to TeV combination by 60%

Only dilepton analysis uses the whole dataset

Theoretical prediction accuracy: 4.4%


$$\sigma = 7.35^{+0.11}_{-0.21}(\text{scale})^{+0.17}_{-0.12}(\text{PDF})$$

CDF : $7.63 \pm 0.5 \text{ pb}$ (6.5%)




$l+\text{jet}$ distribution $d\sigma/d\cos\theta$

CDF studied θ_t angle between proton and top quark direction in $t\bar{t}$ ref frame



~Agreement with SM




Characterize using Legendre polynomials

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_t} = \sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\cos\theta_t)$$

First moment shows tension with prediction

ℓ	a_{ℓ} (obs)	a_{ℓ} (pred)
1	0.40 ± 0.12	$0.15^{+0.066}_{-0.033}$
2	0.44 ± 0.25	$0.28^{+0.053}_{-0.030}$
3	0.11 ± 0.21	$0.030^{+0.014}_{-0.007}$
4	0.22 ± 0.28	$0.035^{+0.016}_{-0.008}$
5	0.11 ± 0.33	$0.0048^{+0.002}_{-0.001}$
6	0.24 ± 0.40	$0.0060^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$
7	-0.15 ± 0.48	$-0.0028^{+0.001}_{-0.001}$
8	0.16 ± 0.65	$-0.0019^{+0.0003}_{-0.0003}$



PRL 111, 182002
(2013)


A_{FB} in $t\bar{t}b\bar{a}$ events

A_{FB} is defined as $A_{FB} = \frac{N_{\Delta Y > 0} - N_{\Delta Y < 0}}{N_{\Delta Y > 0} + N_{\Delta Y < 0}}$

$\Delta y = y_t - y_{\bar{t}}$

➤ Inclusive $A_{FB} = 8.8 \pm 6.6 \%$

➤ NLO (QCD+EWK): PRD 86,034026(2012)



➤ Deviation from SM generated by

➤ Axial Vector, Z' exchange, W' interaction

➤ BSM scenarios should -however- be consistent with measured

➤ $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$, $d\sigma/dM_{t\bar{t}}$, LHC results



Old and new results

A_{FB} in $l+\text{jets}$: observed $6.6 \pm 2\%$,
at parton level $16.4 \pm 4.7\%$

➤ Mass and rapidity dependence

PRD

87,892002(2012)





- New results: leptonic asymmetry in dilepton channel
- Combination of $t\bar{t}$ leptonic A_{FB}
- A_{FB} in $b\bar{b}$ pairs (not covered here)

Leptonic asymmetry (l+j)

We can study the leptonic observable:

$$A_{FB}^l = \frac{N(q_l \eta_l > 0) - N(q_l \eta_l < 0)}{N(q_l \eta_l > 0) + N(q_l \eta_l < 0)}$$

- A_{FB}^l correlated to top A_{FB}
- l+jets : $9.32^{+3.2}_{-2.9}\%$
(SM: $3.8 \pm 0.3\%$)



Best fit curves shown for NLO prediction (green) and measured (black)

PRD

88,072003(2013)

Leptonic asymmetry in dilepton channel



- Comparison of the number of leptons as a function of $q_l \eta_l$ wrt SM (POWHEG) prediction

Asymmetric part of the distribution, with best fit and expectations
Result:

$$A_{FB}^l = (7.2 \pm 5.2(\text{stat}) \pm 3(\text{syst}))\%$$

$$= (7.2 \pm 6.0)\%$$


SM Exp: $(3.8 \pm 3)\%$



Submitted to PRL
 arXiv.1404.3698v1

Combination of leptonic asymmetries

- Two measurements are combined using BLUE
- l+jets uses 3864 events, 72.8% purity:
 - $A_{\text{FB}} = 9.4^{+3.2}_{-2.9}\%$
- Dilepton channel uses 569 events, 71.8% purity
 - $A_{\text{FB}} = 7.2 \pm 6\%$
- Combined result
 - $A_{\text{FB}} = 9.0^{+2.8}_{-2.6}\%$
(80% l+jets, 2.6% correlation)
- Combined result is $\sim 1.8\sigma$ from SM




Submitted to PRL
arXiv.1404.3698v1

$|V_{tb}|$ and BF

Decay vertex is related to CKM element $|V_{tb}|$

- Direct studies of the decay vertex
 - Measurement of branching fractions
- Direct measurement of $|V_{tb}|$
 - Detection of single top events, measurement of cross section



Ratio $BF(t \rightarrow Wb)/BF(t \rightarrow Wq)$ in $t\bar{t}$ dilepton sample

➤ In the SM:

$$\sum |V_{td}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 + |V_{tb}|^2 = 1$$



➤ Comparison between observed data and expectations in samples
($e\bar{e}, e\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}\bar{\mu}$) \times (0,1,2)
(leptons)(b-tags)

➤ Check: x-section:

$$\sigma = 7.64 \pm 0.55(\text{stat}) \pm 0.46(\text{lum}) \text{ pb}$$

➤ BR is measured fitting a ML

$$R = \frac{\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow Wb)}{\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow Wq)} = \frac{|V_{tb}|^2}{|V_{tb}|^2 + |V_{ts}|^2 + |V_{td}|^2}$$



PRL


112, 221801
(2014)

Parameter	Result
$R = \frac{BR(t \rightarrow Wb)}{BR(t \rightarrow Wq)}$	0.87 ± 0.07
$ V_{tb} $	0.93 ± 0.04


R in l+jets

CDF measured R in the l+jets channel

- Study the number of b-tagged jets in ttbar-enriched sample:
- Simultaneous fit to cross section and R:



Parameter	Fit Result
R	0.94 ± 0.09 (stat+syst)
$\sigma_{p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}}$	7.5 ± 1.0 (stat+syst) pb
$\rho R \sigma_{p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{t}}$	-0.434




$|V_{tb}| > 0.89$ @ 95 %CL

PRD 87,11

111101(2013)




Work in progress on combination



What is single top?

Electroweak production of top quark

➤ All Feynman diagrams below have a Wtb vertex





X-sec
in pb

Tevatron: $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 3 \text{ pb}$

LHC: $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 114 \text{ pb @ 8 TeV}$

	t	s	Wt
Tevatron	2.26 ± 0.2	1.04 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.06
LHC (7 TeV)	64.2 ± 2.4	4.6 ± 0.2	15.7 ± 1.1
LHC (8 TeV)	87.8 ± 3.4	5.6 ± 0.3	22.4 ± 1.5



s-channel difficult at the LHC

Why measure Single Top Production ?

$$\sigma_{\text{single top}} \propto |V_{tb}|^2$$

Access to the W-t-b vertex

- probe V-A structure
- access to top quark spin


Allows direct measurement of Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $|V_{tb}|$:

- Is this Matrix 3x3 ?
 - Is there a 4th generation ?
- Does unitarity hold ?

$$|V_{ub}|^2 + |V_{cb}|^2 + |V_{tb}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

Precision electroweak measurements rule out "simple" fourth generation extensions, but see for example:


J. Alwall et. al., "Is $|V_{tb}| \sim 1$?" Eur. Phys. J. C49 791-801 (2007).



$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} & V_{uX} ? \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} & V_{cX} ? \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} & V_{tX} ? \\ V_{Yd} ? & V_{Ys} ? & V_{Yt} ? & V_{YX} ? \end{pmatrix}$$


Sensitivity to New Physics

- New physics may affect the rate of t and s channel differently




- Flavor changing neutral currents (t-Z-c, t- γ -c, t-g-c)

- heavy W' boson
- charged Higgs H^+
- Kaluza Klein excited W^{kk}



T. Tait, CP Yuan PRD63, 014018 (2001)



Single top

The challenge is

- To measure a process with yield smaller than background fluctuations
- Separate the different (s,t) components

Strategy

- Combine several channels
- ANN to identify the signal
- Extract CKM element:

$$|V_{tb}|^2 = |V_{tb}^{SM}|^2 \times \sigma^{obs} / \sigma^{SM}$$

s+t:

- l+jets analysis (lnbb)
 - s+t and s vs t
- Met-bb (forget l)
 - s+t and s vs t

s-optimized analysis

- Evidence for single top in s-channel
 - Eventually combined with D0
- s-channel observation
 - 6.3 σ (Tev combination)
 - see Yvonne Peters's talk

Results (s+t channel)

- Extract separate s and t channel x-sections (ratio fixed to SM value)
- Obtain $|V_{tb}|$ by integrating the ML and assuming a flat prior $0 < |V_{tb}| < 1$

l+jets, 7.5 fb^{-1} :



$|V_{tb}| = 0.95 \pm 0.09 \text{ (stat+syst)} \pm 0.05 \text{ (theo)}$

$|V_{tb}| > 0.78 \text{ (95 \% C.L.)}$

Submitted to PRL
arXiv.1407.4031

Combining with the
MET bb analysis: $|V_{tb}| > 0.84 \text{ (95 \% C.L.)}$


New




Result (s-channel)

CDF optimized its analyses to observe single top production in the s-channel

- New l+jets and MET+jets optimized analyses
 - Innovative multivariate tagger (used in VH evidence)
 - NN s-optimized



$$\sigma_s = 1.12^{+0.61}_{-0.57} \text{ (stat+syst) pb}$$




$$\sigma_s = 1.41^{+0.44}_{-0.42} \text{ (stat+syst) pb}$$

$$\sigma_s = 1.12^{+0.61}_{-0.57} \text{ (stat+syst) pb}$$

PRL

112, 231804 (2014)



PRL 112, 231804 (2014)

Conclusions

Top studies are still ongoing

- Some channels are unique to the Tevatron
 - At least «challenging» at the LHC
- A_{FB} still an open question
 - Will it be solved?
- Some measurements are real legacies
 - Both in terms of results and of technical developments
 - CKM element V_{tb} deserves a closer look
- Is the third generation the path to new physics?