


# Double Helicity Asymmetries of Forward Neutral Pions from $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV $pp$ Collisions at STAR

**Christopher Dilks**  
*for the STAR Collaboration*


PENNSSTATE



**Spin2014**  
*The 21<sup>st</sup> International  
Symposium on Spin Physics*  
Oct. 20-24, 2014  
Peking University,  
Beijing, China



- Current Status of Gluon Polarization
- Double Helicity Asymmetry –  $A_{LL}$
- Forward EM Calorimetry at STAR
- Luminosity Detectors at STAR
- Relative Luminosity and  $A_{LL}$  Systematics
- $\pi^0$  Event Selection
- Measurement of Forward  $\pi^0 A_{LL}$




# Gluon Polarization $\Delta g(x)$




Proton Spin Sum:  $S_p = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L_q + L_g$

- ◆  $\Delta \Sigma \sim 0.3$
- ◆  $L_q, L_g \sim ?$
- ◆  $\Delta G$  – status shown



low-x poorly constrained;  
accessible via *forward* observables



# Accessing $\Delta g$ by Measuring $A_{LL}$



Colliding proton helicities known for each bunch crossing (9.4 MHz at STAR)



$$A_{LL} = \frac{1}{P_a P_b} \frac{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) - (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})}{(\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{--}) + (\sigma^{+-} + \sigma^{-+})} \propto \frac{\Delta f_1 \Delta f_2}{f_1 f_2}$$

## Beam Polarizations

(Measured by RHIC polarimetry group)

$f_i = \text{PDF}$

$\Delta f_i = \text{polarized PDF}$

Re-express cross-section:  $\sigma^{\pm\pm} = \frac{N^{\pm\pm}}{L^{\pm\pm}}$

Relative Luminosity:  $R_3 = \frac{L^{++} + L^{--}}{L^{+-} + L^{-+}} \rightarrow \text{Measured using STAR luminosity detectors}$




$$A_{LL} = \frac{1}{P_a P_b} \frac{(N^{++} + N^{--}) - R_3 \cdot (N^{+-} + N^{-+})}{(N^{++} + N^{--}) + R_3 \cdot (N^{+-} + N^{-+})}$$

# Forward EM Calorimetry at STAR



## Central and Mid-rapidity Calorimetry & Tracking

## Luminosity Detectors (Scalars)



## PRIMARY FOCUS:

### FMS – Forward Meson Spectrometer

- Forward pseudorapidity:  $2.5 < \eta < 4$
- 1,264 **Lead-glass cells** coupled to photomultiplier tubes
  - Large (5.8 x 5.8 cm) outer cells (blue)
  - Small (3.8 x 3.8 cm) inner cells (black)
- Observes  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$  as 2 cluster events
- Forward observables  $\rightarrow$  access to **low-x gluons**

# Measuring Relative Luminosity at STAR



## 3 Luminosity Detectors at STAR:

- Beam Beam Counter (**BBC**) – not used in this analysis
- Vertex Position Detector (**VPD**)
- Zero Degree Calorimeter (**ZDC**)

$$R_3 = \frac{L^{++} + L^{--}}{L^{+-} + L^{-+}}$$

They are “**Scalers**”: for each bunch crossing, they **count** whether or not a “hit” was observed

- Scalers are placed symmetrically on both sides of the interaction point
- A hit on one side is called a “**single count**”
- A hit on both sides within a time window is called a “**coincidence count**”

## VPD

$4.2 < |\eta| < 5.1$

5.7 m from Interaction Point

Hits: mostly charged particles and photons from pion decays




## ZDC

$6.5 < |\eta| < 7.5$



18 m from Interaction Point

Hits: mostly neutrons and some neutral kaons;  
photons only in 1<sup>st</sup> module

(charged particles are swept away by magnets)



# Relative Luminosity Measurements



- Measured with VPD, averaging over both singles sides and coincidences
- Cross-checked with other STAR scalers (ZDC, singles, coincidences)

For each run (~30 min.),  $R \sim 1 \pm 0.04$

Typical statistical uncertainty  $\sim 4 \times 10^{-5}$


$$R_3 = \frac{L^{++} + L^{--}}{L^{+-} + L^{-+}}$$



# Relative Luminosity $\rightarrow \pi^0 A_{LL}$ Systematic



2012 Run ZDC  $A_{LL}$  Distribution (relative lum. by VPD)




- Measured  $A_{LL}$  in ZDC scaler system using VPD coincidences as a relative luminosity  
 $\rightarrow$  Denoted as “**Scaler  $A_{LL}$** ”

- Distribution of this Scaler  $A_{LL}$  is shown on the left  
 $\rightarrow$  1 entry = 1 STAR run (~30 min)

- Red Lines indicate Gaussian fit results, defined with fit parameters  $c$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\sigma$

2013 Run ZDC  $A_{LL}$  Distribution (relative lum. by VPD)



Fit Function  $f(A_{LL})$ :

$$f(A_{LL}) = c \cdot \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_{LL} - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right]$$

- In the 2013 Run, this Scaler  $A_{LL}$  was correlated with spin pattern  
 $\rightarrow$  The two peaks are fit with two separate Gaussians



# Relative Luminosity $\rightarrow \pi^0 A_{LL}$ Systematic



- Measurement of Scaler  $A_{LL}$  + its uncertainty =  $\pi^0 A_{LL}$  **shift systematic uncertainty**
  - “Shift” denotes a constant bias on  $A_{LL}$
  - Scaler  $A_{LL}$  measurement is taken to be the overall mean of the distribution
  - For Scaler  $A_{LL}$  uncertainty, we use the fit parameter  $\sigma$ 
    - $\rightarrow$  For the 2013 run, the  $\sigma$  of the wider peak is used
  - The overall  $\pi^0 A_{LL}$  systematic is computed as:

$$\pi^0 A_{LL} \text{ Systematic} = \text{Scaler } A_{LL} \text{ “}\sigma\text{”} + | \text{Scaler } A_{LL} \text{ Mean} |$$

	$A_{LL}$ Shift Systematic Uncertainty
<b>2012 Run</b>	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$
<b>2013 Run</b>	$6.2 \times 10^{-4}$

Combining 2012 and 2013 Runs' Systematics:


- For each  $p_T$  (or  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$ ) bin: weighted average of 2012 & 2013 systematics based on  $\pi^0$  statistics

# $\pi^0$ Event Selection



- Full azimuth:  $-\pi \leq \varphi < \pi$
- FMS Pseudorapidity:  $2.5 \leq \eta < 4$
- Transverse Momentum Ranges:
  - 2012 Run:  $2.5 \leq p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$
  - 2013 Run:  $2.0 \leq p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Di-photon Energy Range:  $30 \leq E_{\gamma\gamma} < 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Energy Sharing:  $Z = |E_1 - E_2| / E_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.8$
- Mass Cut: Dependent on  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  (see invariant mass slide)
- 2-photon Isolation Cone: **35 mr** and **100 mr** analyzed
  - Isolation cone versus inclusive  $\rightarrow$  See next slide

Different low  $p_T$  cutoff to account for trigger threshold adjustment





# Motivating $\pi^0$ Isolation Cones





$A_N$  vs. Energy, averaged over pseudo-rapidity.

Compare 3 selection criterion based on photon energy outside the cone (all with 35mR cone and no soft E cut)



most isolated  $\pi^0$ s



least isolated  $\pi^0$ s



16

$$A_N = \frac{\sigma_L^\uparrow - \sigma_L^\downarrow}{\sigma_L^\uparrow + \sigma_L^\downarrow}$$


("L" = left half of detector)

- More *isolated*  $\pi^0$ s have *higher* transverse single spin asymmetry  $A_N$
- We applied similar isolation cuts for  $\pi^0 A_{LL}$ , motivated by the dependence of  $A_N$  on  $\pi^0$  isolation
  - Goal: verify  $A_{LL}$  is *NOT* dependent on  $\pi^0$  isolation; inclusive  $\pi^0$  to be explored after Spin2014
  - See Yuxi Pan's Spin2014 presentation for more on "isolated" vs. "inclusive"  $A_N$

# Invariant Mass for 2-photon Events




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [40,50)$  GeV



**2012 Run**

- Trigger thresholds adjusted in 2013 run to increase sensitivity to  $\pi^0$ s in  $2 < p_T < 3$  GeV/c region
- $\pi^0$  mass peak resolution decreases as Energy ( $E_{\gamma\gamma}$ ) increases
- Mass peak smears toward higher mass as  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  increases
- $E_{\gamma\gamma}$ -dependent mass cut for  $\pi^0$  candidates (FWHM of peak)

$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [40,50)$  GeV



**2013 Run**


Red Line – mass peak  
Blue & Green lines set at FWHM

$$m_{\pi^0} \approx 135 \text{ MeV}/c^2$$

# Forward $\pi^0$ $A_{LL}$ Measurement – $p_T$ -Dependence



$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $p_T$



**35 mr Constant Fit Result:  $A_{LL} = -2.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$**   
 **$\chi^2 / \text{NDF} = 7.8 / 5$**


**100 mr Constant Fit Result:  $A_{LL} = -3.3 \times 10^{-4} \pm 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$**   
 **$\chi^2 / \text{NDF} = 12.5 / 5$**

\* 100 mr points are offset by  $p_T + 0.1$  GeV/c for visibility

# Forward $\pi^0$ $A_{LL}$ Measurement – $E_{\gamma\gamma}$ -Dependence



$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$



**35 mr Constant Fit Result:  $A_{LL} = -2.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$**   
 $\chi^2 / \text{NDF} = 2.7 / 5$

**100 mr Constant Fit Result:  $A_{LL} = -3.3 \times 10^{-4} \pm 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$**   
 $\chi^2 / \text{NDF} = 2.5 / 5$

\* 100 mr points are offset by  $E_{\gamma\gamma} + 1$  GeV for visibility

- ▶ Forward ( $2.5 \leq \eta < 4$ )  $\pi^0 A_{LL}$  measurement consistent with zero
  - Independence of  $A_{LL}$  on  $\pi^0$  isolation verified (cf. large dependence of  $A_N$  on  $\pi^0$  isolation)
  
- ▶ Other systematic uncertainties are still under consideration
  - Trigger Bias – likely sub-dominant
  - Transverse spin component – likely negligible for  $A_{LL}$
  
- ▶ Inclusive analysis coming soon!








backup

# Outlook: Accessing low-x $\Delta g(x)$ via Di-jets



- Forward hadrons from hard  $q$ , soft  $g$  processes
- Dijet Kinematics  $\rightarrow$  access to gluon  $x \leq 10^{-3}$
- Lowest-x processes accessible in future FCS (Forward Calorimetry System;  $2.8 < \eta < 3.7$ )

$$\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$$



$$x_1 = \frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}} (e^{\eta_3} + e^{\eta_4})$$

$$x_2 = \frac{p_T}{\sqrt{s}} (e^{-\eta_3} + e^{-\eta_4})$$


(leading-order  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  equations)

Surrow – arXiv: 1407.4176


# Energy-Dependent $\pi_0$ Mass Cuts – 2012 Run




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [30,40)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [40,50)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [50,60)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [60,70)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [70,80)$  GeV



$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [80,90)$  GeV



$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [90,100)$  GeV



## Vertical Lines Legend

M low bound

M max bin


M high bound

Bounds are set at the full width at half max of the  $\pi^0$  mass peak for each 10 GeV  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  bin


# Energy-Dependent $\pi_0$ Mass Cuts – 2013 Run




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [30,40)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [40,50)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [50,60)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [60,70)$  GeV




$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [70,80)$  GeV



$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [80,90)$  GeV



$M_{\gamma\gamma}$  distribution for  $E_{\gamma\gamma} \in [90,100)$  GeV



## Vertical Lines Legend

M low bound

M max bin


M high bound

Bounds are set at the full width at half max of the  $\pi^0$  mass peak for each 10 GeV  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  bin


# $\pi^0$ $p_T$ Distributions



$\pi^0$   $p_T$  distribution for 2012 run



$\pi^0$   $p_T$  distribution for 2013 run



**For above plots:**

Black vertical lines are  $p_T$  bin boundaries; red lines indicate  $p_T$  bin means & RMSs

Trigger thresholds adjusted in 2013 to increase sensitivity in  $2 < p_T < 3$  GeV/c region



**2012 Run Cut:**

$$2.5 \leq p_T < 10 \text{ GeV/c}$$

**2013 Run Cut:**

$$2.0 \leq p_T < 10 \text{ GeV/c}$$

# $\pi^0 E_{\gamma\gamma}$ Distributions



**For above plots:**

Black vertical lines are  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  bin boundaries; red lines indicate  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  bin means & RMSs


**2012 and 2013 Run Cut:**

$$30 \leq E_{\gamma\gamma} < 100 \text{ GeV}$$

# Forward $\pi^0$ $A_{LL}$ Measurement for 2012 vs. 2013



$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $p_T$  **2012 Run**




## $p_T$ Dependence

statistical uncertainty

bin RMS

systematic uncertainty

$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $p_T$  **2013 Run**






# Forward $\pi^0$ $A_{LL}$ Measurement for 2012 vs. 2013




$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  **2012 Run**



$E_{\gamma\gamma}$  Dependence

statistical uncertainty

$\pi^0$  Double Helicity Asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  vs.  $E_{\gamma\gamma}$  **2013 Run**



bin RMS

systematic uncertainty

# Combining Data to Measure $A_{LL}$



- STAR takes data in ~30 minute periods, called **runs**
  - Combine runs via **maximum likelihood method** (MLM)

$$\text{MLM value: } \bar{A}_{LL} = \frac{\sum_i P_a^{(i)} P_b^{(i)} \left[ N_{++}^{(i)} + N_{--}^{(i)} - R_3^{(i)} \left( N_{+-}^{(i)} + N_{-+}^{(i)} \right) \right]}{\sum_i \left( P_a^{(i)} P_b^{(i)} \right)^2 \left[ N_{++}^{(i)} + N_{--}^{(i)} + R_3^{(i)} \left( N_{+-}^{(i)} + N_{-+}^{(i)} \right) \right]}$$

(sums over runs)

$$\text{Statistical Uncertainty: } \delta_{\bar{A}_{LL}}^{stat} \approx \frac{1}{\langle P_a \rangle \langle P_b \rangle \sqrt{N_{tot}}}$$

Need 3 coincident measurements:

h-dependent yields ← **calorimetry** (viz. FMS)

Relative Luminosity ← **scaler detectors** (BBC, ZDC, VPD)

Beam Polarizations ← **RHIC polarimetry** (~55% +/- 5%)