(Weakly) Strong EWSB dynamics precision tests versus direct searches

chatting with R. Contino & A. Thamm EWSB is *broadly* described by





$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = g_W W^\mu J^{comp}_\mu + \lambda_i q_i \Psi^{comp}_i$$





Higgs potential



The connection between g_*, m_*, m_t and m_h

1

$$V = \frac{3\lambda_t^2 m_*^2}{16\pi^2} \left(ah^2 + bh^4 / f^2 + \dots \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = \frac{v^2}{f^2} = \frac{a}{b} \\ m_h^2 = b \frac{3g_*^2}{2\pi^2} m_t^2 \sim (125 \text{ GeV})^2 \frac{g_*^2 b}{4} \end{cases}$$

b

$$m_h < 125 \text{ GeV}$$

a

Total tuning ~ area =
$$a b = \left(\frac{430 \,\text{GeV}}{m_*}\right)^2 \times \frac{4}{g_*^2}$$

Notice impact of 125 GeV Higgs

$$m_h = 125 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$
 $a b = \left(\frac{430 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{m_*}\right)^2 \times \frac{4}{g_*^2}$

weakly strong EWSB sector and light resonances preferred

$$m_h = 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$
 $a b = \left(\frac{860 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{m_*}\right)^2 \times \frac{16}{g_*^2}$

moderately strong and heavy EWSB sector

 $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{I}$



in practice it could be relaxed by short distance contribution



Franco, Mishima, Silvestrini 2013

Direct searches (LHC 8TeV)

• Top partners (Q=-1/3, 2/3, 5/3) $m_* \gtrsim 1 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

• Vector resonances

$$q \qquad V = \frac{g_W^2}{g_*} < g_W$$

$$V \qquad M_L \qquad W_L = g_*$$

CMS data Pappadopulo, Thamm, Torre, Wulzer 2014

$$g_* = 1$$

 $g_* = 3$

 $m_* > 3 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

 $m_* > 2 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

Flavor



$m_* > 10 - 40 \text{ TeV}$

unless additional flavor symmetries in place



















the stronger g_* the more relevant the precision measurements















In the moderately strong case CLIC may have some advantage

search for vectors in DY





In the moderately strong case CLIC may have some advantage



CLIC study estimates sensitivity

 $m_* \sim 15 \text{ TeV}$

Battaglia et al., 2013

On the use of effective theory in WW-scattering

$$\mathcal{A}(2 \to 2) = \frac{s}{f^2} \left(1 + \frac{s}{m_*^2} + \dots \right) \quad \longleftarrow \quad g_*^2 \, \frac{s}{-s + m_*^2}$$



$$\mathcal{A}(2 \to 2) = \frac{s}{f^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{g_*^2} \frac{s}{f^2} + \dots \right)$$

a given collider is sensitive to

$$\frac{s}{f^2} > g_{min}^2$$

when $g_{min}^2 > g_*^2$ resonances become essential

 $WW \to WW \qquad WW \to hh$



Roughly expect same g_{min} at LHC and 100 TeV pp EFT approach: good rule of thumb, but disfavored by light Higgs Would perhaps be worth considering reach of $gg \rightarrow hh$



Grober, Muhlleitner 2010 Contino, Ghezzi, Moretti, Panico, Piccinini, Wulzer 2012

In conclusion...

Strong -Weak duality : hadron colliders for weakly coupled theories and lepton colliders for strongly coupled ones



125 GeV Higgs speaks in favor of weaker than stronger dynamics (though fine tunings can always undo the favor)







the weaker g_* the more relevant the direct searches at pp machine







In the moderately strong case CLIC may have some advantage