#### Workshop on Light Meson Dynamics

Mainz, Feb 11, 2014









Pion Decays:  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-(\gamma)$ New Results on  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Nucleons and Deltas and two Pions

Magnus Wolke



Pion Decays:  $\pi^0 \to e^+e^-(\gamma)$ New Results on  $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ 

Nucleons and Deltas and two Pions



experimentally observed

J. Beringer et al. (PDG), PRD 86 (2012) 010001

# $\begin{array}{ll} 2\gamma & 98.823 \pm 0.034\% \\ e^{+}e^{-}\gamma & 1.174 \pm 0.035\% \\ e^{+}e^{-}e^{+}e^{-} & (3.34 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5} \\ e^{+}e^{-} & (6.46 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-8} \end{array}$



- lowest order Standard Model contribution: 1-loop process with  $2\gamma^*$  intermediate state
- amplitude suppressed by helicity conservation and  $\alpha^2$  $\Rightarrow$  extremely small decay width



• theory estimate:

A.Dorokhov, M. Ivanov, PRD 75 (2007) 114007

 $BR^{SM}(\pi^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (6.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-8}$ 

• KTeV result:

E.Abouzaid et al., PRD 75 (2007) 012004

 $BR^{exp}(\pi^{0} \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}) = (7.48 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-8}$ 



Experimental result:  $3.3\sigma$  excess over SM calculation

## Light Dark Matter

C. Boehm, P. Fayet, NPB 683 (2004) 219 Y. Kahn, M. Schmitt, T. M. P. Tait, PRD 78 (2008) 115002

- postulate: neutral scalar dark mattar particle  $\chi$  with mass 1-10MeV
- annihilation  $\chi \chi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

 $\Rightarrow$  excess positrons from 511keV line from galactic center

recent results: G. Weidenspointner et al., Nature 451 (2008) 159

 annihilation via neutral vector boson U, m(U) ~ 10-100 MeV small couplings to SM fermions → small contribution to decay rate



Fig. 1.— INTEGRAL/SPI Exposure map of the Galaxy for the first 10 months of operation of INTEGRAL. Horizontal range is 180° to - 180° . Vertical range is  $-90^\circ$  to 90°. A large fraction of the observations are concentrated in the Galactic Plane. The characteristic pinwheel patterns in some regions are due to modulation of the light bucket response by the SPI coded-aperture mask. Color bar units are seconds.



LDM model U boson might explain  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$  excess

# $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ with WASA

4 days data taking analysis: C.-O.Gullström

inv mass (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>) vs miss mass (pp)

projection on inv mass (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)



 $\Rightarrow$  15 event candidates in 4 days data sample

# $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ with WASA

4 days data taking analysis: C.-O. Gullström

inv mass (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>) vs miss mass (pp)

projection on inv mass (e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)



 $\Rightarrow$  15 event candidates in 4 days data sample

8 weeks of additional data taking in 2012 and 2013 WASA has been designed to measure this decay channel

## Light Dark Matter Scenarios

C. Boehm, P. Fayet, NPB 683 (2004) 219 Y. Kahn, M. Schmitt, T.M.P. Tait, PRD 78 (2008) 115002 M.Reece, L. T. Wang, JHEP 07 (2009) 051

experiment:

Kahn et al.: common axial-vector coupling  $g_A \equiv g_A^u - g_A^d = g_A^e$  from fit (modeled according to  $\pi^0 \to Z^0 \to e^+e^-$ )

 $\Rightarrow 10^{-9} \text{ contribution to } BR(\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-) \qquad BR(\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-) \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ 2.0×10<sup>-5</sup> contribution to  $BR(\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \qquad BR(\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \sim (5.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-6}$ 

Reece and Wang: dark photon  $(a_{\mu}, U)$  of  $U(1)_d$  group couples vectorially to SM charged fields (coupling to SM weak currents suppressed)

$$e \rightarrow \gamma^* U$$
  
 $e \rightarrow \varepsilon$ 
 $e \rightarrow U$   
 $e \times \varepsilon$ 
 $e \rightarrow U$   
 $e \times \varepsilon$ 
 $m_u \sim 1 \text{ MeV} - \text{ few GeV}$   
 $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$ 

search channels:  $\phi \to \eta U (U \to e^+ e^-), \eta \to \gamma U (U \to e^+ e^-), \pi^0 \to \gamma U (U \to e^+ e^-)$ 

# Search $\phi \rightarrow \eta U$ with KLOE



- $\varepsilon = \alpha'/\alpha \le 2 \times 10^{-5}$  90% CL
- $50 \text{MeV} < m_{\text{U}} < 420 \text{MeV}$

- 1.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> analyzed
- $\eta$  tagged via  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
- 14000 event candidates
- no evidence for U boson



PLB 706 (2012) 251

# Search $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma U$ with WASA

P.Adlarson et al., PLB 726 (2013) 187



 $\Rightarrow$  considerable background from  $\gamma$  conversion

## The WASA Facility an internal $4\pi$ detector



#### Central Detector

- ...light meson decay products
- Superconducting Solenoid
- Plastic Scintillator Barrel
- Straw Chamber
- Scintillator Electromagnetic Calorimeter

H.-H. Adam et al. (proposal), nucl-ex/0411038 http://collaborations.fz-juelich.de/ikp/wasa/



#### Forward Detector

- ...scattered projectiles and charged recoil particles
- Plastic Scintillators
- Forward Straw Tracker

# Search $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma U$ with WASA

#### P.Adlarson et al., PLB 726 (2013) 187



 $\Rightarrow$  considerable background from  $\gamma$  conversion



# Search $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma U$ with WASA

0.3

#### P.Adlarson et al., PLB 726 (2013) 187



10<sup>2</sup>

10

0.05

0.1

0.15

0.2

0.25

IM(e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>) [GeV]

 $\Rightarrow$  considerable background from  $\gamma$  conversion



 $\Rightarrow \text{almost background free} \\ \pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma \text{ events } (\sim 50 \text{ k})$ 

#### Dark photon mixing parameter $\varepsilon$

#### P.Adlarson et al., PLB 726 (2013) 187

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma U)}{\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)} = 2\epsilon^2 |F(M_U^2)|^2 (1 - \frac{M_U^2}{M^2})^2$$

M.Reece, L.-T.Wang, JHEP 0907 (2009) 051



## Dark photon mixing parameter $\varepsilon$

P.Adlarson et al., PLB 726 (2013) 187

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma U)}{\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)} = 2\epsilon^2 |F(M_U^2)|^2 (1 - \frac{M_U^2}{M^2})^2$$

M.Reece, L.-T.Wang, JHEP 0907 (2009) 051

published statistics:  $5 \times 10^5 \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^$ still to be analyzed:  $\sim 8 \times 10^6 \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$ 



Pion Decays:  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-(\gamma)$ New Results on  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Nucleons and Deltas and two Pions

## $\eta$ Decays — Motivation in short

- all important quantum numbers zero: spin, isospin, electric charge, strangeness, ... parity:  $P |\eta\rangle = -1 |\eta\rangle$ charge conjugation:  $C |\eta\rangle = +1 |\eta\rangle$
- $\bullet$  all first order strong and electromagnetic decays of  $\eta$  are forbidden
- → study higher order processes
  → test the symmetries that forbid first order decays

 $\rightarrow \eta \rightarrow 3\pi$   $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma$   $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$   $\eta \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}\gamma$   $\eta \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$   $\eta \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$ 

isospin symmetry breaking  $\Leftrightarrow m_d - m_u$   $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$  PLB 677 (2009) 24  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  to be published chiral anomaly PLB 707 (2012) 243 CP violation Transition Form Factor Transition Form Factor SM test

## $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ Decay

H. Leutwyler, hep-ph/9609465



Strategy: measure  $\Gamma$ , and calculate  $\Gamma$  (ChPT) to extract Q test dynamics that enter  $\overline{\Gamma} \rightarrow$  Dalitz plot  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \quad \overline{\Gamma} \propto |A(z)|^2 = c_0(1+2\alpha z) \qquad z = (\rho/\rho_{max})^2 = 6 \frac{3}{i=1} \left(\frac{E_i - m_{\eta}/3}{m_{\eta} - m_{\pi}}\right)^2$ 

First step: slope parameter  $\alpha$ 

## $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ Dalitz Plot: Experiment and Theory



JHEP 05 (2008) 006

# $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ at KLOE

$$X = \sqrt{3} \frac{T_{+} - T_{-}}{Q_{\eta}}$$
  $Y = \frac{3T_{0}}{Q_{\eta}} - 1$ 

parametrize Dalitz plot density around X = Y = 0:

$$|A(X,Y)|^2 \propto 1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2 + eXY + fY^3 + gX^2Y + ...$$

largest statistics available: KLOE measurement (450pb<sup>-1</sup> analyzed,  $1.34 \times 10^6$  events)

		a	$-1.090 \pm 0.005^{+0.008}_{-0.019}$
	- 10000	b	$0.124 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$
$\frac{d^2 N}{dXdY} = 10000$		С	$0.002 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001$
10000 -		d	$0.057 \pm 0.006^{+0.007}_{-0.016}$
0 0.6 1 5000		е	$-0.006 \pm 0.007 ^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$
1 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.2	[	f	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02$
r = -0.6 - 1 - 1 - 0.0		$P(\chi^2)$	73%

parameters c, e compatible with zero  $\rightarrow$  no evidence for c violation parameters a, b at variance with NNLO ChPT

J.Bijnens, K.Ghorbani, JHEP 11 (2007) 230

entire KLOE data set: 5 times higher luminosity

# $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ at WASA

 $10^7$  tagged (pd  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>HeX)  $\eta$  meson decays analyzed (P.Adlarson)



# $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Dalitz plot

 $10^7$  tagged (pd  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>HeX)  $\eta$  meson decays analyzed (P.Adlarson)

acceptance corrected Dalitz plot occupancy:



residual with respect to fit:

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Dalitz plot acceptance:

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $\Rightarrow$  preliminary DP parameters released

# $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Dalitz plot parameters

 $|A(X,Y)|^2 \propto 1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2 + eXY + fY^3 + gX^2Y + ...$ 

Theory:	<b>-</b> a	b	d	f
ChPT NNLO Bijnens, Ghorbani 07	1.271(75)	0.394(102)	0.055(57)	0.025(160)
NREFT Schneider, Kubis, Ditsche 10	1.213(14)	0.308(23)	0.050(3)	0.083(19)

Experiment:

KLOE 2008 (0.45 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.090(5)(^{+19}_{-8})$	0.124(6)(10)	$0.057(6)(^{+7}_{-16})$	0.14(1)(2)
KLOE 2013 prel. (1.6 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	1.104(3)	0.144(3)	0.073(3)	0.155(6)
WASA 2014 prel.	1.144(18)	0.219(19)(31)	0.086(18)(18)	0.115(37)
WASA rel KLOE 2008	+2.3σ	+2.1σ	+1.0 <b>o</b>	-0.6σ

# $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ at WASA

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

result to be submitted for publication soon

statistics correspond to 1/3 of available statistics in pd  $\rightarrow$  <sup>3</sup>He X

 $\Rightarrow 1.74 \times 10^5$ 

statistics in  $pp \rightarrow pp X$  at least one order of magnitude larger

Pion Decays:  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-(\gamma)$ New Results on  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ Nucleons and Deltas and two Pions The fundamentalists believe that "In the beginning God created the Bag", and follow the implications of the Bag with religious fervor. The lunatic fringe believe that the "n" in Big Bang cosmology is a typographical error and that all multiquark physics is describable with a Big Bag.

They lose all contact with the real world as they follow their religion and send experimentalists on wild goose chases for nonexistent objects like narrow baryonium states.

#### Harry J. Lipkin,

Models of Multiquark States, CIPANP 86, Lake Louise, Alberta AIP Conf. Proc. Lett. 150 (1986) 657

## ABC — first observation

#### Lawrence Radiation Laboratory 184 inch cyclotron (p), d<sub>2</sub> gas target

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

at the time of PRL 5 (1960):

"The data are inconsistent with the relativistically invariant phase space assumed. [...] Plausible explanations [...] are the existence of a new neutral particle or a resonant  $\pi$ - $\pi$  system."

#### (Abashian, Booth, Crowe)

A. Abashian, N. E. Booth, K. M. Crowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5 (1960) 258

$$pd \rightarrow {}^{3}HeX, \theta_{He} = 11.5^{\circ}$$

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

## ABC Effect

low mass isoscalar enhancement in  $m(\pi\pi)$ 

#### observed in the production of...

...a scalar-isoscalar pion pair ...on an isoscalar nucleon pair ...which fuses to a bound state

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

accompanied with  $\Delta\Delta$  excitation

#### (Abashian, Booth, Crowe)

A.Abashian, N.E.Booth, K.M.Crowe, Phys.Rev.Lett. 5 (1960) 258

 $pd \rightarrow {}^{3}HeX, \theta_{He} = 11.5^{\circ}$ 

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

## $\pi\pi$ production in few-nucleon systems

	$\pi\pi$ system
$pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi$	I = 0, 1, 2
$pp \rightarrow pn\pi^+\pi^0$	1
$pp \rightarrow nn\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$	1
$pn \rightarrow \dots$	
$pn \rightarrow d\pi\pi$	0,1
$pp \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^0$	1
$pd \rightarrow {}^{3}He\pi\pi$	0,1
$pd \rightarrow {}^{3}H\pi^{+}\pi^{0}$	1
$dd \rightarrow {}^{4}He\pi\pi$	0

### $\pi\pi$ production in few-nucleon systems

$$\pi^0 \pi^0$$
 system  
 $\pi I = 0, 1, 2$ 

 $pp \rightarrow pp\pi\pi$ 

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

# $pn \rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ — Total cross section

P.Adlarson et al., PRL 106 (2011) 242302

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Lorentzian shaped energy distribution

• 4 times narrower compared with  $\Delta\Delta$ , peak 80MeV below  $2m\Delta$ 

• good agreement with CELSIUS/WASA (but: 2 orders of magnitude larger statistics!)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Excitation of  $\Delta\Delta$  intermediate state
- outside peak cross section: t-channel  $\Delta\Delta$  excitation
- at peak cross section: low mass  $\pi^0 \pi^0 ABC$  enhancement

# pn $\rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ and ABC effect

- WASA-at-COSY data link pn  $\rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ resonance-like cross section and ABC effect
- still: no conventional explanation at hand
- unconventional explanation: s-channel  $I(J^P) = O(3^+)$  resonance  $m \approx 2.37 \,\text{GeV}, \Gamma \approx 70 \,\text{MeV}$
- isovector  $p p \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^0$  shows no ABC effect and no resonance-like cross section

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

# pn $\rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ and ABC effect

- WASA-at-COSY data link pn  $\rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ resonance-like cross section and ABC effect
- still: no conventional explanation at hand
- unconventional explanation: s-channel  $I(J^P) = O(3^+)$  resonance  $m \approx 2.37 \,\text{GeV}, \Gamma \approx 70 \,\text{MeV}$
- isovector  $p p \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^0$  shows no ABC effect and no resonance-like cross section

 $\Rightarrow$  resonance should be observable in (J<sup>P</sup> = 3<sup>+</sup>) proton neutron elastic scattering

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

kinetic energy  $T_d = 2.27 \text{ GeV}$ Quasifree  $dp \rightarrow np + p_{spectator}$ Ay 0.4 0.2 vector dp spectator proton momentum WASA -0.2 counts ANL 2.0 GeV Ο -0.4 ANKE 2.27 GeV 15 Θ<sup>lab</sup><sub>d</sub> [deg] 10 20000 Ayy tensor dp 10000 0.5 0.1 0.2 0.3 -0.5 P<sub>spec</sub> [GeV/c] WASA CD Bonn potential 15 ⊖<sup>lab</sup> [deg] 10 5 np CM energy  $2.37 < \sqrt{s} < 2.40 \text{GeV}$ quasifree pp<sup>< 0.5</sup> beam polarisations: WASA "up" "down" **EDDA**  $P_z$ 0.67(2)-0.45(2)SAID SP07  $\mathbf{P}_{zz}$ 0.65(2)0.17(2)-0.5 30  $\Theta_{\rm D}^{\rm lab}$  [deg] 0 10 20

# Resonance in $J^P = 3^+$ pn scattering?

#### partial wave amplitudes

np analyzing power (no  $\sqrt{s}$  selection)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

⇒ new SAID PWA: pole in coupled  ${}^{3}D_{3} - {}^{3}G_{3}$  partial waves (2380 ± 10 - i40 ± 5) MeV

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Resonance in $J^P = 3^+$ pn scattering?

np analyzing power (no  $\sqrt{s}$  selection)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

energy dependence ( $\Theta_n^{cm} = 83^\circ$ )

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

publication to be submitted WASA-at-COSY Collaboration with R.L. Workman, W.J. Briscoe, I.I. Strakovsky (SAID Data Analysis Center)

# Existence of $I(J^P) = O(3^+) d^*$ ?

#### Experiment

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

resonance structure in

p

p

p

$n \rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$	CELSIU WASA-a
$n \rightarrow d\pi^+\pi^-$	WASA-a
$n \rightarrow pp\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$	WASA-a

S/WASA PRL 102 (09) 052301 at-COSY PRL 106 (11) 242302

at-COSY PLB 721 (13) 229

at-COSY arXiv: 1306.5130

pn analyzing power WASA-at-COSY to be submitted

word of caution

nearby NN<sup>\*</sup>(1440) D.V.Bugg arXiv: 1311.6252

#### Theory

"hexaquark" states F.J. Dyson, N.-H. Xuong, PRL 13 (1964) 815

#### six quark hidden color configuration

M. Bashkanov, S. Brodsky, H. Clement, PLB 727 (2013) 438 three-body calculation

A. Gal, H. Garcilazo, PRL 111 (2013) 172301 quark model calculation

H. Huang, J. Ping, F. Wang, arXiv: 1312.7756

![](_page_38_Picture_18.jpeg)

# Existence of $I(J^{P}) = 0(3^{+}) d^{*}$ ?

#### Experiment

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

the AbashianBoothCrowe view

"Alternate explanations may be possible although we have not found any in quantitative agreement with the data."

> A. Abashian, N. E. Booth, K. M. Crowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 5 (1960) 258

#### Theory

six quark hidden color configuration M. Bashkanov, S. Brodsky, H. Clement, PLB 727 (2013) 438

#### $\Rightarrow$ proposed experiments for MAMI:

 $\gamma d \rightarrow d^* \rightarrow d\pi^0 \pi^0$ with recoil polarimeter:  $\gamma d \rightarrow d^* \rightarrow pn$ 

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

New physics results (coming up) from WASA-at-COSYHowever, data taking at COSY will stop end of this year...However, a number of results to be expected from large statistics data taken (by then).

## The ABC Gallery

#### **CELSIUS/WASA** measurements

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

NPA 825 (2009) 71

0.5

0.6

#### The no-ABC Gallery

#### **CELSIUS/WASA** measurements

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)