$$\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}[G^2] - \bar{\psi}(\not{D} - m)\psi \quad \textcircled{P}$$



Eric Laenen CERN school 2014

#### Lecture 4: All orders in QCD: resummation

# FREE SHIPPING ON ALL ORDERS\*

#### So far

- + We have discussed the structure of fixed order calculations in perturbative QCD
  - PDF's: what they mean and how they are made
  - LO: methods for computation, e.g. spinor helicity methods, MHV amplitudes, recursion relations
  - NLO: divergences, how they are consistently removed
- We also discussed the basics of the parton shower, and reviewed (superficially) how it is used in Monte Carlo's.
  - Monte Carlo's can produce an arbitrary number of partons, but that does not make them NNN...NLO accurate
  - > Yet they should get some of the all-order soft and collinear physics right.
- This lecture: how can we say something systematic about all-order predictions, even though we cannot compute arbitrarily higher orders exactly?
  - Aka: "Resummation"

#### Perturbative series in QFT

- Typical perturbative behavior of observable
  - $\alpha$  is the coupling of the theory (QCD, QED, ..)
  - L is some numerically large logarithm
  - "1" =  $\pi^2$ , In2, anything no
  - Notice: *effective* expansion parameter is  $\alpha L^2$ . Problem occurs if is this >1!!
  - Possible fix: reorganize/resum terms such that

$$\hat{D} = 1 + \alpha_s (L^2 + L + 1) + \alpha_s^2 (L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L + 1) + \dots$$

$$= \exp\left(\underbrace{Lg_1(\alpha_s L) + g_2(\alpha_s L) + \alpha_s g_3(\alpha_s L) + \dots}_{NLL}\right) \underbrace{C(\alpha_s)}_{\text{constants}}$$

$$+ \text{ suppressed terms}$$

Notice the definition of LL, NLL, etc

$$\hat{O}_2 = 1 + \alpha (L^2 + L + 1) + \alpha^2 (L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L + 1) + \dots$$

### LL, NLL,.. and matching to fixed order

- This is nomenclature you see very often: leading-log, next-to-leading log, etc
  - Here is the schematic overview of accuracy in resummation •

$$O = \alpha_s^p \left( \underbrace{\underset{\text{LL,NLL}}{C_0} + C_1 \alpha_s + \dots}_{\text{NNLL}} \right) \exp \left[ \underbrace{\left( \sum_{n=1}^{n} \alpha_s^n L^{n+1} c_n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n} \alpha_s^n L^n d_n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n} \alpha_s^n L^{n-1} e_n \right) + \dots \right]}_{\text{NLL}}$$
  
s is a systematic expansion in  $q_s$  in the exponent NNLL

This is a systematic expansion in  $\alpha_s$  in the exponent

- If we can find the coefficients c<sub>n</sub>, d<sub>n</sub>, e<sub>n</sub>, C<sub>0</sub>, C<sub>1</sub> etc 1
- It is directly clear how to combine this with an exact NLO or NNLO calculation
  - Expand the resummed version to the next order in  $\alpha_s$ . Add the NLO and resummed, but subtract the order  $\alpha_s$  -1 expanded resummed result, to avoid double counting.

 $O_{\text{NLO matched}} = O_{\text{NLO}} + O_{\text{resummed}} - (O_{\text{resummed}}) \Big|_{\text{expanded to } \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)}$ 

- generalization to NNLO is obvious
- But what can L be the logarithm of?

#### Benefits of resummation

- It can rescue predictive power
  - when perturbative series converges poorly
  - and can predict terms in next order when they are not known exactly yet ("approximate NNLO")
    - by expanding the resummed cross section to that order
- Better physics description (small p<sub>T</sub> e.g., more later)
- + Lessens the renormalization/factorization scale uncertainty,
  - the inclusive top quark cross section
  - the Higgs cross section

#### NNLO-NNLL inclusive cross section

Baernreuther, Fiedler, Mitov, Czakon



# N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation for Higgs production

Logarithm is threshold logarithm

#### Bonvini, Marzani

Nice progression, especially with exponentiated constants



#### Resummation of what logarithm?

So many variables, so many logs,...



#### 1st example of double logs: thrust

10

- Near T=1 the final state looks like two very narrow jets
  - emission must then be either very soft, and/or very collinear. Large logs:

 $\ln^2(1-T)$ 

Data (ALEPH) vs fixed order and vs resummation





#### 2nd example of double log: recoil logs

- Eg. pT of Z-bosons produced at Tevatron
  - Z-boson gets p<sub>T</sub> from recoil agains (soft) gluons
  - Visible logs (argument made of measured quantities)
    - 1 emission: with gluon very soft: divergent
      - virtual: large negative bin at pT=0
  - The turn-over at pT around 5 GeV is only explained by resummation, not by finite order calculations





#### Divergence near p<sub>T</sub>=0



#### Physics near small p<sub>T</sub>

At finite order

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T} = c_0 \delta(p_T) + \alpha_s \left( c_2^1 \frac{\ln p_T}{p_T} + c_1^1 \frac{1}{p_T} + c_0^1 \delta(p_T) \right) + \dots$$

- $\blacktriangleright \quad \ \ hence the real divergence toward p_T near zero$
- + Resummed

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T} = c_0 \exp\left[-c_2^1 \alpha_s \ln^2(p_T) + \ldots\right]$$

- this is also the effective behaviour of the parton shower there
- + Notice:
  - ▶ finite order oscillates wildly near small p<sub>T</sub>, and may be negative
  - resummed is positive, and it tracks the data well
- Physics of resummed answer:
  - **probability of the process not to emit at small p\_T is vanishingly small** 
    - There is violent acceleration of color charges after all..

#### 3rd example of double log: threshold logs

- + Logarithm of "energy above threshold Q<sup>2</sup>"  $\ln^2(1-Q^2/s)$ 
  - "Invisible" logs": argument made up of integration variables
  - Typical effect: enhancement of cross section



 $S \ge s \ge Q^2$ 

#### Threshold log rule of thumb

Why do they increase the cross section? (N large = near threshold)

$$\sigma_{partonic,resum}(N) = \frac{\sigma_{hadronic}(N)}{\phi^2(N)} = \frac{\exp(-\ln^2 N)}{\left(\exp(-\ln^2 N)\right)^2} = \exp(+\ln^2 N)$$

- In words:
  - > The hadronic cross section is a product/convolution of PDF's and the partonic cross section
  - In both factors emissions may, and should occur.
    - The contribution from the PDF's is too stingy
    - The partonic cross section has to overcompensate in order to get the right amount for the hadronic cross section

#### Reminder of origin of double ("Sudakov") logs

Double logarithms in cross sections are related to IR divergences

$$\sum_{\substack{p+k \ p \ p}} \frac{1}{(p+k)^2} = \frac{1}{2p \cdot k} = \frac{1}{2E_{\rm g}E_{\rm q}(1-\cos\theta_{\rm qg})}$$

Phase space integration



### Decoupling of IR effects

• We saw already for the spinor methods that the part with the soft (k5) gluon decouples from the rest

$$\mathcal{M}(1^+, 2^-, 3^+, 4^-, 5^+) = 2\sqrt{2}e^2 g T_a \frac{\langle 24 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 35 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle} = \frac{\langle 24 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle} \times \frac{\langle 34 \rangle}{\langle 35 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle}$$

- + This is in fact quite general, and it is essence of why we can resum double logarithms to all orders.
- + The soft approximation is also known as the "eikonal" approximation
  - Simplification, gives nice and very insightful results

#### Basics of eikonal approximation: QED

- Charged particle emits softly
  - Propagator: expand numerator & denominator in soft momentum, keep lowest order
  - Vertex: expand in soft momentum, keep lowest order



#### Basics of eikonal approximation in QED

$$\begin{array}{c} \underset{k_{1},\mu_{1}}{\overset{\mu_{1}}{k_{2},\mu_{2}}} & \underset{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\overset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\overset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} & \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\overset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n},\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}} \\ \overbrace{k_{n},\mu_{n}}{\underset{\mu_{n}}{\sum}}$$

Independent, uncorrelated emissions, Poisson process

#### Eikonal approximation: no dependence on emitter spin

Emitter spin becomes irrelevant in eikonal approximation

Fermion 
$$\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & p+k \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

- Approximate, and use Dirac equation p u(p) = 0
- Result:

$$g(Mu(p)) imes rac{p^{\mu}}{p \cdot k}$$

- Two things have happened
  - No sign of emitter spin anymore
  - Coupling of photon proportional to  $p^{\mu}$  !
- Decoupling again of emission and emitter

#### Eikonal exponentiation

• In the eikonal approximation, suddenly we see very interesting patterns.

One loop vertex correction, in eikonal approximation



$$\mathcal{A}_0 \int d^n k \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{p \cdot \bar{p}}{(p \cdot k)(\bar{p} \cdot k)}$$

Two loop vertex correction, in eikonal approximation



Exponential series! A really beautiful result



Yennie, Frautschi, Suura

#### Another eikonal effect: coherence in emission

- + Eikonal approximation in amplitude, coherence possible
  - ► First in QED



Square the amplitude, take the eikonal approximation, and combine with phase. Result

$$d\sigma_R = d\sigma \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{dE}{E} d\cos\theta d\phi \ E^2 \ \frac{p \cdot \bar{p}}{p \cdot k \, \bar{p} \cdot k}$$

- Only non-zero when  $\theta' < \theta$  : angular ordering after azimuthal integral
  - photon that is too soft only see the sum of the charges, which is zero here.
- In QCD very similar result (after being a little bit more careful with color charges). Radiation function

$$W_{ij} = \frac{\omega^2 p_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot q \, p_j \cdot q} = \frac{1 - v_i v_j \cos \theta_{ij}}{(1 - v_i \cos \theta_{iq})(1 - v_j \cos \theta_{jq})}$$

clearly has eikonal form. Notice, it is an interference effect:



#### Color coherence

+ Decompose into a part for emitter i and one for emitter j

 $W_{ij} = W_{ij}^{[i]} + W_{ij}^{[j]}$ 

+ where

$$W_{ij}^{[i]} = \frac{1}{2} \left( W_{ij} + \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta_{iq}} - \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta_{jq}} \right)$$

- can be checked. Just substitute..
- Then one can show (as an exact result)

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi_{iq}}{2\pi} W_{ij}^{[i]} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta_{iq}} & \text{if } \theta_{iq} < \theta_{ij} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- color coherence/angular ordering in QCD!
  - but after azimuthal integration
- Built-in to the HERWIG parton shower.
- There is evidence for this in data



#### Color coherence in 3-jet events

- Recent CMS study (based on earlier CDF study): order the 3 jets in pT.
- Then study angular correlations between 2nd and 3rd jet. Expressed through parameter β.
  - $\checkmark$   $\beta$  = 0 will be enhanced, when second jet is central
  - Study through swithing color coherence on and off in Pythia
    - Switched on works better, but no real satisfactory description of data



#### Non-abelian eikonal approximation

• Same methods as for QED, but organization harder: SU(3) generator at every vertex

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

now no obvious decorrelation

Order the  $T_a\;$  according to  $\lambda$ 

$$\Phi_n(\lambda_2, \lambda_1) = P \exp\left[ig \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \, n \cdot A^a(\lambda n) \, T_a\right]$$

- Key "object": Wilson line
  - Order by order in "g", it generates QCD eikonal Feynman rules, including the SU(3) generators

#### Non-abelian exponentiation: webs

Gatheral; Frenkel, Taylor; Sterman

- Take quark antiquark line, connect with soft gluons in all possible ways, and use eikonal approximation
- + Exponentiation still occurs! Sum of all eikonal diagrams D with color factor C and momentum space part F

 $\sum C(D)\mathcal{F}(D) = \exp\left[\bar{C}(D)W(D)\right]$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

- A selection of diagrams in exponent, but with modified color weights: "webs"
  - Easy to select webs: they must be two-eikonal line irreducible
  - More difficult to compute the modified color factors, but can be done also

## Multiple colored lines

Structure

#### **Projector matrix**

$$\sum_{d'} R_{dd'} = 0$$

$$\sum \mathcal{F}(D)C(D) = \exp\left[\sum_{d,d'} \mathcal{F}(d) \mathbf{R}_{dd'}^{\dagger} C(d')\right]$$

**Eigenvalues 0 or 1** 

multi-parton webs are "closed sets" of diagrams, with modified color factors

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Closed form solution for modified color factor  $\frac{1}{6} \Big[ C(3a) - C(3b) - C(3c) + C(3d) \Big] \times \Big[ M(3a) - 2M(3b) - 2M(3c) + M(3d) \Big]$ 
  - Interesting properties of projector matrix (reduces degree of divergence)

## Projector matrix

$$\sum \mathcal{F}(D)C(D) = \exp[\sum_{d,d'} \mathcal{F}(d) \frac{\mathbf{R}_{dd'}}{\mathbf{R}_{dd'}}C(d')]$$

Gardi, White

- Projects out contributions that come from exponentiation of lower order diagrams
  - Interesting combinatorial aspects (Stirling numbers)
  - Proof of idempotency and zero sum row property
- Combinatorics involves quite interesting for mathematicians

#### How to resum?

- + There are many ways, depending on
  - the observable
  - the logarithm
  - the resummer
- Here we take as key notions
  - ▶ factorization
  - approximations for kinematic limit (eikonal approximation e.g.)

#### Resummation 101

Cross section for n extra gluons

# Phase space measure Squared matrix element $\sigma(n) = \frac{1}{2s} \int d\Phi_{n+1}(P, k_1, \dots, k_n) \times |\mathcal{M}(P, k_1, \dots, k_n)|^2$

When emissions are soft, can factorize phase space measure and matrix element [eikonal approximation]

$$d\Phi_{n+1}(P,k_1,\ldots,k_n) \longrightarrow d\Phi(P) \times \left(d\Phi_1(k)\right)^n \frac{1}{n!}$$

Sum over all orders

$$|\mathcal{M}(P,k_1,\ldots,k_n)|^2 \longrightarrow |\mathcal{M}(P)|^2 \times (|\mathcal{M}_{1 \text{ emission}}(k)|^2)^n$$

$$\sum_{n} \sigma(n) = \sigma(0) \times \exp\left[\int d\Phi_1(k) |\mathcal{M}_{1 \text{ emission}}(k)|^2\right]$$

- Incorporate Theta or Delta functions in space space
  - but these must factorize similarly, or they cannot go into exponent

#### Phase space in resummation

Kinematic condition expresses "z" in terms of gluon energies

$$s = Q^2 - 2P \cdot K - K^2 \qquad \delta \left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{s} - \sum_i \frac{2k_i^0}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

Κ :

or conservation of transverse momentum

$$\delta^2(Q_T - \sum_i p_T^i)$$

Transform (e.g. Laplace/Mellin or Fourier) factorizes the phase space

$$\int_0^\infty dw \, e^{-w \, N} \delta\left(w - \sum_i w_i\right) = \prod_i \exp(-w_i N) \qquad \qquad \int d^2 Q_T \, e^{ib \cdot Q_T} \, \delta^2(Q_T - \sum_i p_T^i) = \prod_i e^{ib \cdot p_T^i}$$

+ So can go into exponent

$$\sum_{n} \sigma(n) = \sigma(0) \times \exp\left[\int d\Phi_1(k) |\mathcal{M}_{1 \text{ emission}}(k)|^2 (\exp(-wN) - 1)\right]$$

Large logs: ln(N) or ln(bQ)

#### Resummation and factorization

- Very generically, if a quantity factorizes, one can resum it. Let us consider UV renormalization as an example.
  - Renormalization; factorizes UV modes into Z-factor (Λ is an ultraviolet cut-off)

$$G_B(g_B, \Lambda, p) = Z\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, g_R(\mu)\right) \times G_R\left(g_R(\mu), \frac{p}{\mu}\right)$$

Evolution equation (here RG equation)

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln G_R \left( g_R(\mu), \frac{p}{\mu} \right) = -\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln Z \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, g_R(\mu) \right) = \gamma(g_R(\mu))$$

- $\checkmark$  Notice that  $\gamma$  can only depend on, the only common variable
- Solving the differential equation = resumming

$$G_R\left(\frac{p}{\mu}, g_R(\mu)\right) = G_R\left(1, g_R(p)\right) \underbrace{\exp\left[\int_p^{\mu} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \gamma(g_R(\lambda))\right]}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

resummed

- $\checkmark$  The exponent will be a series in  $g_R(\mu)$ .
  - Exercise: compute the first term in the exponent. Answer:

$$\frac{g_R^2(p)}{4\pi} \boldsymbol{\gamma^{(1)}} \ln \frac{\mu}{p}$$

This is a very general notion, and can be seen as the basis of resummation.

## Factorization and resummation for Drell-Yan

 $\sigma(N) = \Delta(N, \mu, \xi_1) \Delta(N, \mu, \xi_2) S(N, \mu, \xi_1, \xi_2) H(\mu)$ 

- Near threshold, cross section is equivalent to product of 4 well-defined functions
- Demand independence of
  - renormalization scale µ
  - gauge dependence parameter  $\xi$ 
    - find exponent of double logarithm

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \sigma(N) = \xi_1 \frac{d}{d\xi_1} \sigma(N) = \xi_2 \frac{d}{d\xi_2} \sigma(N)$$

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

Contopanagos, EL, Sterman

$$\Delta = \exp\left[\int \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \int \frac{d\xi}{\xi} ..\right]$$

#### Factorization for threshold resummation

- +  $\Delta_i(N)$ : initial state soft+collinear radiation effects
  - real+virtual

 $\alpha_s^n \ln^{2n} N$ 

$$\sigma(N) = \sum_{ij} \phi_i(N)\phi_j(N) \times \underbrace{\left[\Delta_i(N)\Delta_j(N)S_{ij}(N)H_{ij}\right]}_{\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(N)}$$

- S<sub>ij</sub>(N): soft, non-collinear radiation effects
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad \alpha_s{}^n In^n \ N$

+ H: hard function, no soft and collinear effects

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$\Delta_i(N) = \exp\left[\ln N \frac{C_F}{2\pi b_0 \lambda} \{2\lambda + (1 - 2\lambda)\ln(1 - 2\lambda)\} + ..\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[\frac{2\alpha_s C_F}{\pi}\ln^2 N + ..\right]$$

#### From N space back to momentum-space

- Parton cross section derived in N space
- PDF's in N space
  - Use initial conditions in N-space, then QCD-PEGASUS evolution (A. Vogt)
- Use inverse Mellin transform
  - Avoid Landau pole singularity with Minimal Prescription (go left..)
    - gives Good numerical stability 1
- Exercise:
  - $f(x) = x^p$ function
  - $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Melling transform} & f(N) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{N-1} x^p = \frac{1}{N+p} \\ \mbox{Inverse Mellin transform} & f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int dN x^{-N} \frac{1}{N+p} = x^p \end{array}$
  - - Correct! 1

![](_page_34_Figure_12.jpeg)

Catani, Mangano Nason, Trentadue

![](_page_34_Figure_14.jpeg)

#### Resummed Drell-Yan/Higgs cross section

Sterman; Catani, Trentadue

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Resummation vs parton shower

- + Both account for emission to all orders in perturbative QCD. It's accuracy vs flexibility
  - Resummation: a formula
    - accuracy to LL, NLL, NNLL depending on what the theorists did. For specific observables
  - Parton shower: generate events
    - very flexible, can use for any observables
    - but, on the downside, in essence only LL accuracte (it never has all the NLL information in it, because that is to some extent observable dependent).
      - Progress is being made here however

#### Final summary

- Many concepts in perturbative QCD were discussed, in both their essence and some technical aspects
  - Formal: symmetries, renormalization, asymptotic freedom
  - Finite orders, IR and COL divergence-handling
  - Parton showers
  - Modern methods: spinor helicity methods, and a glimpse of the NLO revolution
  - All-orders: resummation, why and how
    - here there is quite a bit of physics insight possible
- My hope: that when you see such concepts in workshops or talks, you now have a sense about what this
  is about.
  - ✓ Don't be blinded by the technicalities, there is room for a lot of physics intuition in QCD
- Especially I hope that you will feel free to ask, and discuss with QCD theorists when you have questions and/or ideas. Just as how you have done here. I think the success of the LHC and its research program depend on this!