Novel QCD Phenomena and New Perspectives for Hadron Physics from Light-Front Holography



CERN TH Seminar

January 22, 2014











Goal: an analytic first approximation to QCD

- As Simple as Schrödinger Theory in Atomic Physics
- Relativistic, Frame-Independent, Color-Confining
- Confinement in QCD -- What sets the QCD mass scale?
- QCD Coupling at all scales
- Hadron Spectroscopy
- Light-Front Wavefunctions
- Form Factors, Hadronic Observables, Constituent Counting Rules
- Hadronization at the Amplitude Level
- Insights into QCD Condensates
- Chiral Symmetry
- Systematically improvable
- Eliminate scale ambiguities

CERN TH January 22, 2014





de Tèramond, Dosch, sjb

AdS/QCD Soft-Wall Model



 $\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}^2_{\perp}$.

Light-Front Holography

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi(\zeta)$$



Light-Front Schrödinger Equation $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$

Confinement scale:

$$1/\kappa \simeq 1/3 \ fm$$

 $\kappa \simeq 0.6 \ GeV$

🛑 de Alfaro, Fubini, Furlan:

Scale can appear in Hamiltonian and EQM without affecting conformal invariance of action!

Unique Confinement Potential!

Conformal Symmetry of the action P.A.M Dirac, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 392 (1949)



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Each element of flash photograph illuminated along the light front at and $\tau = t + z/c$

Evolve in LF time

$$P^{-} = i rac{d}{d\tau}$$

Eigenvalue
 $P^{-} = rac{\mathcal{M}^{2} + ec{P}_{\perp}^{2}}{P^{+}}$

$$H_{LF}^{QCD}|\Psi_h\rangle = \mathcal{M}_h^2|\Psi_h\rangle$$



Light-Front Wavefunctions: rigorous representation of composite systems in quantum field theory

Eigenstate of LF Hamiltonian



Causal, Frame-independent. Creation Operators on Simple Vacuum, Current Matrix Elements are Overlaps of LFWFS



LF coordinates

$$egin{aligned} & & & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \ & \$$

• On shell relation $P_{\mu}P^{\mu} = P^-P^+ - \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^2 = \mathcal{M}^2$ leads to dispersion relation for LF Hamilnotian P^-

$$P^{-} = rac{\mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{2} + M^{2}}{P^{+}}, \quad P^{+} > 0$$

Hamiltonian equation for the relativistic bound state

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{+}}|\psi(P)\rangle = P^{-}|\psi(P)\rangle = \frac{M^{2} + \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^{2}}{P^{+}}|\psi(P)\rangle$$

where P^- is derived from the QCD Lagrangian: kinetic energy of partons plus confining interaction

• Construct LF Lorentz invariant Hamiltonian $P^2 = P^- P^+ - \mathbf{P}_\perp^2$

$$P_{\mu}P^{\mu}|\psi(P)\rangle = M^{2}|\psi(P)\rangle$$

 LF quantization is the ideal framework to describe hadronic structure in terms of constituents: simple vacuum structure allows unambiguous definition of partonic content of a hadron



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Exact LF Formula for Paulí Form Factor

$$\frac{F_{2}(q^{2})}{2M} = \sum_{a} \int [dx][d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp}] \sum_{j} e_{j} \frac{1}{2} \times Drell, sjb$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{q^{L}}\psi_{a}^{\uparrow *}(x_{i}, \mathbf{k}'_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) \psi_{a}^{\downarrow}(x_{i}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) + \frac{1}{q^{R}}\psi_{a}^{\downarrow *}(x_{i}, \mathbf{k}'_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) \psi_{a}^{\uparrow}(x_{i}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{k}'_{\perp i} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp i} - x_{i}\mathbf{q}_{\perp} \qquad \mathbf{k}'_{\perp j} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp j} + (1 - x_{j})\mathbf{q}_{\perp}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_{R,L} = q^{x} \pm iq^{y}$$

$$\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{S}_{z} = -1/2 \qquad \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S}_{z} = 1/2$$

Must have $\Delta \ell_z = \pm 1$ to have nonzero $F_2(q^2)$

Nonzero Proton Anomalous Moment --> Nonzero orbítal quark angular momentum

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Vanishing Anomalous gravitomagnetic moment B(0)

Terayev, Okun, et al: B(0) Must vanish because of Equivalence Theorem



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Calculation of proton form factor in Instant Form $< p+q|J^{\mu}(0)|p >$ p - p + qp - p + q

- Need to boost proton wavefunction from p to p +q: Extremely complicated dynamical problem; even the particle number changes
- Need to couple to all currents arising from vacuum!! Remains even after normal-ordering
- Each time-ordered contribution is framedependent
- Divide by disconnected vacuum diagrams

• Instant form: acausal boundary conditions

CERN TH January 22, 2014



$$\begin{aligned} \left|\psi_{p}(P^{+},\vec{P}_{\perp})\right\rangle &= \sum_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i} \,\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp i}}{\sqrt{x_{i}} 16\pi^{3}} 16\pi^{3}\delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{k}_{\perp i}\right) \\ &\times \psi_{n}\left(x_{i},\vec{k}_{\perp i},\lambda_{i}\right)\left|n;\,x_{i} P^{+},x_{i} \vec{P}_{\perp}+\vec{k}_{\perp i},\lambda_{i}\right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$q_{\lambda_q/\Lambda_p}(x,\Lambda) = \sum_{n,q_a} \int \prod_{j=1}^n \mathrm{d}x_j \,\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{k}_{\perp j} \sum_{\lambda_i} \left| \psi_{n/H}^{(\Lambda)}(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i) \right|^2 \\ \times \delta \left(1 - \sum_i^n x_i \right) \delta^{(2)} \left(\sum_i^n \vec{k}_{\perp i} \right) \delta(x - x_q) \delta_{\lambda_a \lambda_q} \Theta \left(\Lambda^2 - \mathcal{M}_n^2 \right)$$

Obeys DGLAP Evolution Defines quark distributions

Connection to Bethe-Salpeter:

$$\int dk^- \Psi_{BS}(k,P) \to \psi_{LF}(x,\vec{k}_\perp) \qquad \Psi_{BS}(x,P)|_{x^+=0}$$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

1





 Fundamental gauge invariant non-perturbative input to hard exclusive processes, heavy hadron decays. Defined for Mesons, Baryons

• Evolution Equations from PQCD, OPE

Conformal Expansions

Compute from valence light-front wavefunction in light-cone gauge

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



Braun, Gardi

Sachrajda, Frishman Lepage, sjb

Lepage, sjb

Efremov, Radyushkin

Angular Momentum on the Light-Front



 $J^{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{z} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{j}^{z}.$ **Conserved LF Fock-State by Fock-State Every Vertex**

$$l_j^z = -i\left(k_j^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j^2} - k_j^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j^1}\right)$$

n-1 orbital angular momenta

Nonzero Anomalous Moment <--> Nonzero orbítal angular momentum

Drell, sjb, Schmidt

Parke-Taylor Amplitudes

Santiago-Cruz, Stasto

Recursion Relations and Scattering Amplitudes in the Light-Front Formalism Cruz-Santiago & Stasto

Cluster Decomposition Theorem for relativistic systems: C. Ji & sjb



Parke-Taylor amplitudes reflect LF angular momentum conservation $\langle ij \rangle = \sqrt{z_i z_j} \underline{\epsilon}^{(-)} \cdot \left(\frac{\underline{k}_i}{z_i} - \frac{\underline{k}_j}{z_j}\right) =$





interaction?

Structure functions are not parton probabilities. By Stanley J. Brodsky, Paul Hoyer, Nils Marchal, Stephane Peigne, Francesco Sannino. Phys.Rev. D65 (2002) 114025.

Static

- Square of Target LFWFs
- No Wilson Line
- **Probability Distributions**
- **Process-Independent**
- **T-even Observables**
- No Shadowing, Anti-Shadowing
- Sum Rules: Momentum and J^z
- DGLAP Evolution; mod. at large x
- No Diffractive DIS



ynamic

Modified by Rescattering: ISI & FSI **Contains Wilson Line, Phases** No Probabilistic Interpretation Hwang, Process-Dependent - From Collision Schmidt, sjb, T-Odd (Sivers, Boer-Mulders, etc.) **Mulders**, Boer Shadowing, Anti-Shadowing, Saturation Sum Rules Not Proven **DGLAP** Evolution Hard Pomeron and Odderon Diffractive DIS



Qiu, Sterman

Collins, Qiu

Pasquini, Xiao, Yuan, sjb

- LF wavefunctions play the role of Schrödinger wavefunctions in Atomic Physics
- LFWFs=Hadron Eigensolutions: Direct Connection to QCD Lagrangian
- Relativistic, frame-independent: no boosts, no disc contraction, Melosh built into LF spinors
- Hadronic observables computed from LFWFs: Form factors, Structure Functions, Distribution Amplitudes, GPDs, TMDs, Weak Decays, modulo `lensing' from ISIs, FSIs
- Cannot compute current matrix elements using instant form from eigensolutions alone -- need to include vacuum currents!
- Hadron Physics without LFWFs is like Biology without DNA!

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



 $\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$

LIGHT-FRONT MATRIX EQUATION

Rígorous Method for Solvíng Non-Perturbatíve QCD!

$$\left(M_{\pi}^{2} - \sum_{i} \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp i}^{2} + m_{i}^{2}}{x_{i}} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{q\bar{q}/\pi} \\ \psi_{q\bar{q}g/\pi} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle q\bar{q} | V | q\bar{q} \rangle & \langle q\bar{q} | V | q\bar{q}g \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle q\bar{q}g | V | q\bar{q}g \rangle & \langle q\bar{q}g | V | q\bar{q}g \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{q\bar{q}/\pi} \\ \psi_{q\bar{q}g/\pi} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

 $A^+ = 0$



Mínkowskí space; frame-índependent; no fermíon doubling; no ghosts

Light-Front Vacuum = vacuum of free Hamiltonian!

CERN TH January 22, 2014





Stan¹B⁵rodsky

January 22, 2014

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} Tr(G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_f} i\bar{\Psi}_f D_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi_f + \sum_{f=1}^{n_f} m_f\bar{\Psi}_f\Psi_f$$

$$\begin{split} H_{QCD}^{LF} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} \frac{(\mathrm{i}\partial^{\perp})^{2} + m^{2}}{\mathrm{i}\partial^{+}} \widetilde{\psi} - A_{a}^{i} (\mathrm{i}\partial^{\perp})^{2} A_{ia} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \int d^{3}x \mathrm{Tr} \left[\widetilde{A}^{\mu}, \widetilde{A}^{\nu} \right] \left[\widetilde{A}_{\mu}, \widetilde{A}_{\nu} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} T^{a} \widetilde{\psi} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{i}\partial^{+})^{2}} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} T^{a} \widetilde{\psi} \\ &- g^{2} \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} \left(\frac{1}{(\mathrm{i}\partial^{+})^{2}} \left[\mathrm{i}\partial^{+} \widetilde{A}^{\kappa}, \widetilde{A}_{\kappa} \right] \right) \widetilde{\psi} \\ &+ g^{2} \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} \left(\left[\mathrm{i}\partial^{+} \widetilde{A}^{\kappa}, \widetilde{A}_{\kappa} \right] \frac{1}{(\mathrm{i}\partial^{+})^{2}} \left[\mathrm{i}\partial^{+} \widetilde{A}^{\kappa}, \widetilde{A}_{\kappa} \right] \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{2} \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \widetilde{A} \frac{\gamma^{+}}{\mathrm{i}\partial^{+}} \widetilde{A} \widetilde{\psi} \\ &+ g \int d^{3}x \overline{\psi} \widetilde{A} \widetilde{\psi} \widetilde{A} \widetilde{\psi} \\ &+ 2g \int d^{3}x \mathrm{Tr} \left(\mathrm{i}\partial^{\mu} \widetilde{A}^{\nu} \left[\widetilde{A}_{\mu}, \widetilde{A}_{\nu} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

Rígorous Fírst-Prínciple Formulation of Non-Perturbative QCD

Light-Front QCD

Physical gauge: $A^+ = 0$

Exact frame-independent formulation of nonperturbative QCD!

$$\begin{split} L^{QCD} &\to H_{LF}^{QCD} \\ H_{LF}^{QCD} &= \sum_{i} \left[\frac{m^{2} + k_{\perp}^{2}}{x}\right]_{i} + H_{LF}^{int} \\ H_{LF}^{int}: \text{ Matrix in Fock Space} \\ H_{LF}^{QCD} |\Psi_{h} \rangle &= \mathcal{M}_{h}^{2} |\Psi_{h} \rangle \\ |p, J_{z} \rangle &= \sum_{n=3} \psi_{n}(x_{i}, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) |n; x_{i}, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i} \rangle \end{split}$$

Eigenvalues and Eigensolutions give Hadronic Spectrum and Light-Front wavefunctions

LFWFs: Off-shell in P- and invariant mass





DLCQ: Solve QCD(1+1) for any quark mass and flavors





state:

Remarkable Advantages of the Front Form

- Light-Front Time-Ordered Perturbation Theory: Elegant, Physical
- Frame-Independent
- Few LF Time-Ordered Diagrams (not n!) -- all k⁺ must be positive
- J^z conserved at each vertex
- Cluster Decomposition -- only proof for relativistic theory
- Automatically normal-ordered; LF Vacuum trivial up to zero modes
- Renormalization: Alternate Denominator Subtractions: Tested to three loops in QED
- Reproduces Parke-Taylor Rules and Amplitudes (Stasto-Cruz)
- Hadronization at the Amplitude Level with Confinement

$|p, S_z \rangle = \sum_{n=3} \Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i) |n; \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i \rangle$

sum over states with n=3, 4, ... constituents

The Light Front Fock State Wavefunctions

$$\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$

are boost invariant; they are independent of the hadron's energy and momentum P^{μ} .

The light-cone momentum fraction

$$x_i = \frac{k_i^+}{p^+} = \frac{k_i^0 + k_i^z}{P^0 + P^z}$$

are boost invariant.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{+} = P^{+}, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} = 1, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{k}_{i}^{\perp} = \vec{0}^{\perp}.$$

Intrinsic heavy quarks s(x), c(x), b(x) at high $x! \int \bar{u}(x) \neq \bar{d}(x)$

Mueller: gluon Fock states BFKL Pomeron









Fixed LF time



Soft gluons in the infinite momentum wave function and the BFKL pomeron. Alfred H. Mueller (SLAC & Columbia U.). SLAC-PUB-10047, CU-TP-609, Aug 1993. 12pp. Published in Nucl.Phys.B415:373-385,1994.

Light cone wave functions at small x.

<u>F. Antonuccio</u> (<u>Heidelberg, Max Planck Inst.</u> & <u>Heidelberg U.</u>), <u>S.J. Brodsky</u> (<u>SLAC</u>), <u>S. Dalley</u> (<u>CERN</u>). Phys.Lett.B412:104-110,1997. e-Print: hep-ph/9705413

Mueller: BFKL derived from multi-gluon Fock State



Antonuccio, Dalley, sjb: Ladder Relations

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Light-Front Wavefunctions of Virtual Photon

Virtual photon has space-like mass $q^2 = -Q^2 < 0$



Witten, DGLAP, ERBL Evolution

Feynman virtuality from sum over all electron LF time-orderings

$$q^2 = q^+ q^- - \vec{q}_\perp^2$$

LHeC: Vírtual Photon-Proton Collíder

Perspective from the e-p collider frame



Advantages of the Dírac's Front Form for Hadron Physics

- Measurements are made at fixed τ
- Causality is automatic



- Structure Functions are squares of LFWFs
- Form Factors are overlap of LFWFs
- LFWFs are frame-independent -- no boosts!
- No dependence on observer's frame
- LF Holography: Dual to AdS space
- LF Vacuum trivial -- no condensates!
- Profound implications for Cosmological Constant

CERN TH January 22, 2014



$$\begin{array}{c} H_{QED} \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi > = E \mid \Psi > \\ (H_0 + H_{int}) \mid \Psi$$

Semiclassical first approximation to QED --> Bohr Spectrum

[

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Light-Front QCD} & \text{Fixed } \tau = t + z/c \\ \hline H_{QCD} & \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow (1-x) \\ \hline \zeta^2 = x(1-x)b_{\perp}^2 \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > = M^2|\Psi > \\ \hline (H_{LF}^0 + H_{LF}^I)|\Psi > \\ \hline ($$

$$U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$$

Semiclassical first approximation to QCD

potential! Sums an infinite # diagrams

Confining AdS/QCD



Null plane: a surface tangent to the light cone.

The null-plane Hamiltonians map the initial light-like surface onto some other surface, and therefore describe the dynamical evolution of the system.

The energy P-translates the system in the null-plane time coordinate x^+ , whereas the spin Hamiltonians F_r rotate the initial surface about the surface of the light cone.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Derivation of the Light-Front Radial Schrodinger Equation directly from LF QCD

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \frac{\vec{k}_\perp^2}{x(1-x)} \left| \psi(x, \vec{k}_\perp) \right|^2 + \text{interactions}$$
$$= \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x(1-x)} \int d^2 \vec{b}_\perp \, \psi^*(x, \vec{b}_\perp) \left(-\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{b}_\perp \ell}^2 \right) \psi(x, \vec{b}_\perp) + \text{interactions.}$$

Change variables

$$(\vec{\zeta}, \varphi), \, \vec{\zeta} = \sqrt{x(1-x)}\vec{b}_{\perp}: \quad \nabla^2 = \frac{1}{\zeta}\frac{d}{d\zeta}\left(\zeta\frac{d}{d\zeta}\right) + \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varphi^2}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{2} = \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) \sqrt{\zeta} \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d\zeta^{2}} - \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{d}{d\zeta} + \frac{L^{2}}{\zeta^{2}} \right) \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} + \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) U(\zeta) \phi(\zeta)$$
$$= \int d\zeta \,\phi^{*}(\zeta) \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d\zeta^{2}} - \frac{1 - 4L^{2}}{4\zeta^{2}} + U(\zeta) \right) \phi(\zeta)$$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky



CERN TH January 22, 2014


$Q^2 \qquad Q^2 \qquad \Box$

Heavy Quark Potential is IR Divergent in QEDCPP-0

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Three-loop Statige-loopensizatic potential}\\ a(Q) + [r_{3,0} + \beta_1 r_{2,1} + 2\beta_0 r_{3,1} + \beta_0 r_{3,2}] a(Q) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } er \bigvee_{Q^2} \mathcal{A}^{(4\pi)^2} C_F (Q) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } er \bigvee_{Q^2} \mathcal{A}^{(4\pi)^2} (e^{2} \mathcal{A}^2) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } er \bigvee_{Q^2} \mathcal{A}^2) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } er \bigvee_{Q^2} \mathcal{A}^2) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } er \bigvee_{Q^2} \mathcal{A}^2) \\ & \text{M}(\mathbb{R}^2) \\ & \text{Scientific Researchic Contribution of the set of the state of the state$





AdS5: Conformal Template for QCD



1.5

Spectroscopy and Dynamics

Bound States in Relativistic Quantum Field Theory:

Light-Front Wavefunctions

Dirac's Front Form: Fixed $\tau = t + z/c$



Invariant under boosts. Independent of P^{μ}

$$\mathbf{H}_{LF}^{QCD}|\psi>=M^2|\psi>$$

Direct connection to QCD Lagrangian

Remarkable new insights from AdS/CFT, the duality between conformal field theory and Anti-de Sitter Space

CERN TH January 22, 2014



de Tèramond, Dosch, sjb

AdS/QCD Soft-Wall Model

Single scheme-independent fundamental mass scale

 κ



 $\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}^2_{\perp}.$



$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi(\zeta)$$



Light-Front Schrödinger Equation $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$

Confinement scale:

$$1/\kappa \simeq 1/3 \ fm$$

 $\kappa \simeq 0.6 \ GeV$

• de Alfaro, Fubini, Furlan:

 $(m_q=0)$

Scale can appear in Hamiltonian and EQM without affecting conformal invariance of action!

Unique Confinement Potential! Conformal Symmetry

of the action



Changes in physical length scale mapped to evolution in the 5th dimension z

• Truncated AdS/CFT (Hard-Wall) model: cut-off at $z_0 = 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$ breaks conformal invariance and allows the introduction of the QCD scale (Hard-Wall Model) Polchinski and Strassler (2001).

• Smooth cutoff: introduction of a background dilaton field $\varphi(z)$ – usual linear Regge dependence can be obtained (Soft-Wall Model) Karch, Katz, Son and Stephanov (2006).

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Ads/CFT

• Isomorphism of SO(4,2) of conformal QCD with the group of isometries of AdS space

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - dz^2),$$
 invariant measure

 $x^{\mu} \rightarrow \lambda x^{\mu}, \ z \rightarrow \lambda z$, maps scale transformations into the holographic coordinate z.

- AdS mode in z is the extension of the hadron wf into the fifth dimension.
- Different values of z correspond to different scales at which the hadron is examined.

$$x^2 \to \lambda^2 x^2, \quad z \to \lambda z.$$

 $x^2 = x_\mu x^\mu$: invariant separation between quarks

• The AdS boundary at $z \to 0$ correspond to the $Q \to \infty$, UV zero separation limit.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Bosonic Solutions: Hard Wall Model

- Conformal metric: $ds^2 = g_{\ell m} dx^\ell dx^m$. $x^\ell = (x^\mu, z), \ g_{\ell m} \to \left(R^2/z^2\right) \eta_{\ell m}$.
- Action for massive scalar modes on AdS_{d+1} :

$$S[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{g} \, \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{\ell m} \partial_{\ell} \Phi \partial_{m} \Phi - \mu^{2} \Phi^{2} \right], \quad \sqrt{g} \to (R/z)^{d+1}.$$

• Equation of motion

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ell}}\left(\sqrt{g}\,g^{\ell m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}\Phi\right) + \mu^2\Phi = 0.$$

• Factor out dependence along x^{μ} -coordinates , $\Phi_P(x,z) = e^{-iP\cdot x} \Phi(z)$, $P_{\mu}P^{\mu} = \mathcal{M}^2$:

$$\left[z^2\partial_z^2 - (d-1)z\,\partial_z + z^2\mathcal{M}^2 - (\mu R)^2\right]\Phi(z) = 0.$$

• Solution: $\Phi(z) \to z^{\Delta}$ as $z \to 0$,

$$\Phi(z) = C z^{d/2} J_{\Delta - d/2}(z\mathcal{M}) \qquad \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \left(d + \sqrt{d^2 + 4\mu^2 R^2} \right).$$

 $\Delta = 2 + L$ d = 4 $(\mu R)^2 = L^2 - 4$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

- Physical AdS modes $\Phi_P(x, z) \sim e^{-iP \cdot x} \Phi(z)$ are plane waves along the Poincaré coordinates with four-momentum P^{μ} and hadronic invariant mass states $P_{\mu}P^{\mu} = \mathcal{M}^2$.
- For small- $z \Phi(z) \sim z^{\Delta}$. The scaling dimension Δ of a normalizable string mode, is the same dimension of the interpolating operator \mathcal{O} which creates a hadron out of the vacuum: $\langle P|\mathcal{O}|0\rangle \neq 0$.



Identify hadron by its interpolating operator at z --> 0

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Dílaton-Modífied AdS/QCD

$$ds^{2} = e^{\varphi(z)} \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}} (\eta_{\mu\nu} x^{\mu} x^{\nu} - dz^{2})$$

- Soft-wall dilaton profile breaks conformal invariance $e^{\varphi(z)} = e^{+\kappa^2 z^2}$
- Color Confinement
- Introduces confinement scale κ
- Uses AdS₅ as template for conformal theory

CERN TH January 22, 2014



G. de Teramond and sjb, PRL 102 081601 (2009)

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2} e^{\varphi(z)} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - dz^2 \right)$$

$$z \Leftrightarrow \zeta, \quad \Phi_P(z) \Leftrightarrow |\psi(P)\rangle$$

General dílaton profíle

• Upon substitution $z \to \zeta$ and $\phi_J(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{-3/2+J} e^{\varphi(z)/2} \Phi_J(\zeta)$ in AdS WE

$$\left[-\frac{z^{d-1-2J}}{e^{\varphi(z)}}\partial_z\left(\frac{e^{\varphi(z)}}{z^{d-1-2J}}\partial_z\right) + \left(\frac{\mu R}{z}\right)^2\right]\Phi_J(z) = \mathcal{M}^2\Phi_J(z)$$

find LFWE (d = 4)

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right)\phi_J(\zeta) = M^2\phi_J(\zeta)$$

with

with
$$U(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\phi''(\zeta) + \frac{1}{4}\phi'(\zeta)^2 + \frac{2J-3}{2\zeta}\phi'(\zeta)$$
 and $(\mu R)^2 = -(2-J)^2 + L^2$

• AdS Breitenlohner-Freedman bound $(\mu R)^2 \ge -4$ equivalent to LF QM stability condition $L^2 \ge 0$

• Scaling dimension au of AdS mode $\hat{\Phi}_J$ is au=2+L in agreement with twist scaling dimension of a two parton bound state in QCD and determined by QM stability condition

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



CERN TH January 22, 2014

Introduce "Dílaton" to símulate confinement analytically

• Nonconformal metric dual to a confining gauge theory

$$ds^{2} = \frac{R^{2}}{z^{2}} e^{\varphi(z)} \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - dz^{2} \right)$$

where $\varphi(z) \to 0$ at small z for geometries which are asymptotically ${\rm AdS}_5$

• Gravitational potential energy for object of mass m

$$V = mc^2 \sqrt{g_{00}} = mc^2 R \, \frac{e^{\varphi(z)/2}}{z}$$

- Consider warp factor $\exp(\pm\kappa^2 z^2)$
- Plus solution: V(z) increases exponentially confining any object in modified AdS metrics to distances $\langle z\rangle\sim 1/\kappa$

$$e^{\varphi(z)} = e^{+\kappa^2 z}$$

Positive-sign dilaton

• de Teramond, sjb

Klebanov and Maldacena

CERN TH January 22, 2014





de Teramond, Dosch, sjb

General-Spín Hadrons

• Obtain spin-J mode $\Phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_J}$ with all indices along 3+1 coordinates from Φ by shifting dimensions

$$\Phi_J(z) = \left(\frac{z}{R}\right)^{-J} \Phi(z)$$

$$e^{\varphi(z)} = e^{+\kappa^2 z^2}$$

 $\bullet\,$ Substituting in the AdS scalar wave equation for $\Phi\,$

$$\left[z^2\partial_z^2 - \left(3 - 2J - 2\kappa^2 z^2\right)z\,\partial_z + z^2\mathcal{M}^2 - (\mu R)^2\right]\Phi_J = 0$$

• Upon substitution $z \rightarrow \zeta$

$$\phi_J(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{-3/2+J} e^{\kappa^2 \zeta^2/2} \Phi_J(\zeta)$$

we find the LF wave equation

$$\left| \left(-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1 - 4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1) \right) \phi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_J} = \mathcal{M}^2 \phi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_J} \right|$$

with
$$(\mu R)^2 = -(2-J)^2 + L^2$$

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



CERN TH January 22, 2014 $e^{\varphi(z)} = e^{+\kappa^2 z^2}$

Ads Soft-Wall Schrodinger Equation for bound state of two scalar constituents:

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \frac{1 - 4L^2}{4z^2} + U(z)\right]\Phi(z) = \mathcal{M}^2\Phi(z)$$

$$U(z) = \kappa^4 z^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$$

Derived from variation of Action for Dilaton-Modified AdS5

Identical to Light-Front Bound State Equation!



Light-Front Holography: Unique mapping derived from equality of LF and AdS formula for EM and gravitational current matrix elements and identical equations of motion

de Tèramond, Dosch, sjb

AdS/QCD Soft-Wall Model

Single scheme-independent fundamental mass scale

 κ



 $\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}^2_{\perp}.$



$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi(\zeta)$$



Light-Front Schrödinger Equation $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$

Confinement scale:

$$1/\kappa \simeq 1/3 \ fm$$

 $\kappa \simeq 0.6 \ GeV$

• de Alfaro, Fubini, Furlan:

 $(m_q=0)$

Scale can appear in Hamiltonian and EQM without affecting conformal invariance of action!

Unique Confinement Potential! Conformal Symmetry

of the action



Light meson orbital (a) and radial (b) spectrum for $\kappa = 0.6$ GeV.

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Meson Spectrum in Soft Wall Model

Píon: Negatíve term for J=0 cancels positive terms from LFKE and potential

• Effective potential: $U(\zeta^2) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (J-1)$

LF WE

$$\left(-rac{d^2}{d\zeta^2}-rac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2}+\kappa^4\zeta^2+2\kappa^2(J-1)
ight)\phi_J(\zeta)=M^2\phi_J(\zeta)$$

• Normalized eigenfunctions $\ \langle \phi | \phi
angle = \int d\zeta \, \phi^2(z)^2 = 1$

$$\phi_{n,L}(\zeta) = \kappa^{1+L} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{(n+L)!}} \zeta^{1/2+L} e^{-\kappa^2 \zeta^2/2} L_n^L(\kappa^2 \zeta^2)$$

Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,J,L}^2 = 4\kappa^2\left(n+rac{J+L}{2}
ight)$$

G. de Teramond, H. G. Dosch, sjb



I=1 orbital and radial excitations for the π ($\kappa = 0.59$ GeV) and the ρ -meson families ($\kappa = 0.54$ GeV)

• Triplet splitting for the I = 1, L = 1, J = 0, 1, 2, vector meson *a*-states

$$\mathcal{M}_{a_2(1320)} > \mathcal{M}_{a_1(1260)} > \mathcal{M}_{a_0(980)}$$

Mass ratio of the ρ and the a₁ mesons: coincides with Weinberg sum rules

G. de Teramond, H. G. Dosch, sjb



CERN TH January 22, 2014





• Triplet splitting for the L = 1, J = 0, 1, 2 vector meson a-states

CERN TH

January 22, 2014

$$\mathcal{M}_{a_2(1320)} > \mathcal{M}_{a_1(1260)} > \mathcal{M}_{a_0(980)}$$

- Systematics of light meson spectra orbital and radial excitations as well as important J L splitting, well described by light-front harmonic confinement model
- Linear Regge trajectories, a massless pion and relation between the ρ and a_1 mass $M_{a_1}/M_{\rho} = \sqrt{2}$ usually obtained from Weinberg sum rules [Weinberg (1967)]

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Light-Front Holography

 AdS₅/CFT₄ Duality between AdS₅ and Conformal Gauge Theory in 3+1 at fixed LF time <u>G. de Téramond, H. G. Dosch, sjb</u>

Valery E. Lyubovitskij, Tanja Branz, Thomas Gutsche, Ivan Schmidt, Alfredo Vega

- ``AdS₄/CFT₃ Construction from Collective Fields" <u>Robert de Mello Koch, Antal Jevicki, Kewang Jin,</u> João P. Rodrigues
- "Exact holographic mapping and emergent space-time geometry" Xiao-Liang Qi
- Ehrenfest arguments: <u>Glazek and Trawinski</u>

Prediction from AdS/QCD: Meson LFWF



Provídes Connection of Confinement to Hadron Structure

Hadron Form Factors from AdS/QCD

Propagation of external perturbation suppressed inside AdS.

 $J(Q,z) = zQK_1(zQ)$

$$F(Q^2)_{I\to F} = \int \frac{dz}{z^3} \Phi_F(z) J(Q, z) \Phi_I(z)$$





Consider a specific AdS mode $\Phi^{(n)}$ dual to an n partonic Fock state $|n\rangle$. At small z, $\Phi^{(n)}$ scales as $\Phi^{(n)} \sim z^{\Delta_n}$. Thus:

$$F(Q^2) \to \left[\frac{1}{Q^2}\right]^{\tau-1},$$

Dimensional Quark Counting Rules: General result from AdS/CFT and Conformal Invariance

where $au = \Delta_n - \sigma_n$, $\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$. T

Twist $\tau = n + L$

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Holographic Mapping of AdS Modes to QCD LFWFs

Integrate Soper formula over angles:

Drell-Yan-West: Form Factors are Convolution of LFWFs

$$F(q^2) = 2\pi \int_0^1 dx \, \frac{(1-x)}{x} \int \zeta d\zeta J_0\left(\zeta q \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) \tilde{\rho}(x,\zeta),$$

with $\widetilde{\rho}(x,\zeta)$ QCD effective transverse charge density.

• Transversality variable

$$\zeta = \sqrt{x(1-x)\vec{b}_{\perp}^2}$$

• Compare AdS and QCD expressions of FFs for arbitrary Q using identity:

$$\int_0^1 dx J_0\left(\zeta Q\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) = \zeta Q K_1(\zeta Q),$$

the solution for $J(Q,\zeta) = \zeta Q K_1(\zeta Q)$!

de Teramond, sjb

Identical to Polchinski-Strassler Convolution of AdS Amplitudes

Spacelike pion form factor from AdS/CFT



CERN TH January 22, 2014



AdS/QCD Holographic Wave Function for the ρ Meson and Diffractive ρ Meson Electroproduction

J. R. Forshaw*

Consortium for Fundamental Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

R. Sandapen[†]

Département de Physique et d'Astronomie, Université de Moncton, Moncton, New Brunswick E1A3E9, Canada (Received 5 April 2012; published 20 August 2012)

We show that anti-de Sitter/quantum chromodynamics generates predictions for the rate of diffractive ρ -meson electroproduction that are in agreement with data collected at the Hadron Electron Ring Accelerator electron-proton collider.

$$\psi_M(x,k_\perp) = \frac{4\pi}{\kappa\sqrt{x(1-x)}} e^{-\frac{k_\perp^2}{2\kappa^2x(1-x)}}$$



AdS/QCD Holographic Wave Function for the ρ Meson and Diffractive ρ Meson Electroproduction



Light-Front Holography: Unique mapping derived from equality of LF and AdS formula for EM and gravitational current matrix elements and identical equations of motion

Gravitational Form Factor in Ads space

• Hadronic gravitational form-factor in AdS space

$$A_{\pi}(Q^2) = R^3 \int \frac{dz}{z^3} H(Q^2, z) |\Phi_{\pi}(z)|^2, \qquad \text{Abidin \& Carlson}$$

where $H(Q^2,z)=\frac{1}{2}Q^2z^2K_2(zQ)$

• Use integral representation for ${\cal H}(Q^2,z)$

$$H(Q^2, z) = 2\int_0^1 x \, dx \, J_0\left(zQ\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right)$$

• Write the AdS gravitational form-factor as

$$A_{\pi}(Q^2) = 2R^3 \int_0^1 x \, dx \int \frac{dz}{z^3} \, J_0\left(zQ\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{x}}\right) \, |\Phi_{\pi}(z)|^2$$

Compare with gravitational form-factor in light-front QCD for arbitrary Q

$$\left|\tilde{\psi}_{q\overline{q}/\pi}(x,\zeta)\right|^2 = \frac{R^3}{2\pi} x(1-x) \frac{\left|\Phi_{\pi}(\zeta)\right|^2}{\zeta^4}$$

de Teramond & sjb

Identical to LF Holography obtained from electromagnetic current

CERN TH January 22, 2014



An analytic first approximation to QCD AdS/QCD + Light-Front Holography

- As Simple as Schrödinger Theory in Atomic Physics
- LF radial variable ζ conjugate to invariant mass squared
- Relativistic, Frame-Independent, Color-Confining
- Unique confining potential!
- QCD Coupling at all scales: Essential for Gauge Link phenomena
- Hadron Spectroscopy and Dynamics from one parameter
- Wave Functions, Form Factors, Hadronic Observables, Constituent Counting Rules
- Insight into QCD Condensates: Zero cosmological constant!
- Systematically improvable with DLCQ-BLFQ Methods

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Current Matrix Elements in AdS Space (SW)

sjb and GdT Grigoryan and Radyushkin

• Propagation of external current inside AdS space described by the AdS wave equation

$$\left[z^2\partial_z^2 - z\left(1 + 2\kappa^2 z^2\right)\partial_z - Q^2 z^2\right]J_{\kappa}(Q, z) = 0.$$

• Solution bulk-to-boundary propagator

$$J_{\kappa}(Q,z) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}\right) U\left(\frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}, 0, \kappa^2 z^2\right),$$

where U(a, b, c) is the confluent hypergeometric function

$$\Gamma(a)U(a,b,z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} t^{a-1} (1+t)^{b-a-1} dt.$$

- Form factor in presence of the dilaton background $\varphi = \kappa^2 z^2$

$$F(Q^2) = R^3 \int \frac{dz}{z^3} e^{-\kappa^2 z^2} \Phi(z) J_{\kappa}(Q, z) \Phi(z).$$

 $\bullet\,\, {\rm For}\, {\rm large}\, Q^2 \gg 4\kappa^2$

$$J_{\kappa}(Q,z) \to zQK_1(zQ) = J(Q,z),$$

the external current decouples from the dilaton field.

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



Dressed Current ín Soft-Wall Model



Photon-to-pion transition form factor



PHYSICAL REVIEW D 88, 014042 (2013)

Predicting the isospin asymmetry in $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ using holographic AdS/QCD distribution amplitudes for the K^*

M. Ahmady^{*}

Department of Physics, Mount Allison University, Sackville, New Brunswick E4L 1E6, Canada

R. Sandapen[†]

Département de Physique et d'Astronomie, Université de Moncton, Moncton, New Brunswick E1A 3E9, Canada and Department of Physics, Mount Allison University, Sackville, New Brunswick E4L 1E6, Canada (Received 10 May 2013; published 26 July 2013)

$$\phi_{\lambda}(z,\zeta) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{z(1-z)} \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa^2 \zeta^2}{2}\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(-\frac{(1-z)m_q^2 + zm_{\bar{q}}^2}{2\kappa^2 z(1-z)}\right), \quad \text{where } \zeta = \sqrt{z(1-z)}r$$

We predict the isospin asymmetry well as the branching ratio for the decay $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ within QCD factorization using new anti-de Sitter/quantum chromodynamics (AdS/QCD) holographic distribution amplitudes (DAs) for the K^* meson. Our prediction for the branching ratio agrees with that obtained using standard QCD sum-rules (SR) DAs and with experiment. More interestingly, our prediction for the isospin asymmetry using the AdS/QCD DA does not suffer from the end-point divergence encountered when using the corresponding SR DA. We predict an isospin asymmetry of 3.2% in agreement with the most recent average measured value of $(5.2 \pm 2.6)\%$ quoted by the Particle Data Group.

Uniqueness of Dilaton

$$\varphi_p(z) = \kappa^p z^p$$



CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

de Teramond, Dosch, sjb Uniqueness $e^{\varphi(z)} = e^{+\kappa^2 z^2}$ $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$

- ζ^2 confinement potential and dilaton profile unique!
- Linear Regge trajectories in n and L: same slope!
- Massless pion in chiral limit! No vacuum condensate!
- Conformally invariant action for massless quarks retained despite mass scale
- Same principle, equation of motion as de Alfaro, FurlanFubini, <u>Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics</u> Nuovo Cim. A34 (1976) 569
QCD Lagrangian

Fundamental Theory of Hadron and Nuclear Physics



Classically Conformal if m_q=0

Yang Mills Gauge Principle: Color Rotation and Phase Invariance at Every Point of Space and Time Scale-Invariant Coupling Renormalizable Asymptotic Freedom Color Confinement

QCD Mass Scale from Confinement not Explicit

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

What determines the QCD mass scale Λ_{QCD} ?

- Mass scale does not appear in the QCD Lagrangian (massless quarks)
- Dimensional Transmutation? Requires external constraint such as $\alpha_s(M_Z)$
- dAFF: Confinement Scale κ appears spontaneously via the Hamiltonian: G=uH+vD+wK $4uw-v^2=\kappa^4=[M]^4$
- The confinement scale regulates infrared divergences, connects $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ to the confinement scale κ
- Only dimensionless mass ratios (and M times R) predicted
- Mass and time units [GeV] and [sec] from physics external to QCD
- New feature: bounded frame-independent relative time between constituents

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics.

V. DE ALFARO

Istituto di Fisica Teorica dell'Università - Torino Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Sezione di Torino

S. FUBINI and G. FURLAN (*)

CERN - Geneva

(ricevuto il 3 Maggio 1976)

Summary. — The properties of a field theory in one over-all time dimension, invariant under the full conformal group, are studied in detail. A compact operator, which is not the Hamiltonian, is diagonalized and used to solve the problem of motion, providing a discrete spectrum and normalizable eigenstates. The role of the physical parameters present in the model is discussed, mainly in connection with a semi-classical approximation.

• de Alfaro, Fubini, Furlan

$$G|\psi(\tau)\rangle = i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}|\psi(\tau)\rangle$$

$$G = uH + vD + wK$$

$$\int \mathbf{New \ term}$$

$$G = H_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{g}{x^2} + \frac{4uw - v^2}{4}x^2\right)$$

Retains conformal invariance of action despite mass scale! $4uw-v^2=\kappa^4=[M]^4$

Identical to LF Hamiltonian with unique potential and dilaton!

• Dosch, de Teramond, sj
b $\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi(\zeta)$ $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4\zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2(L+S-1)$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

$$\tau = \frac{2}{\sqrt{4uw - v^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{2tw + v}{\sqrt{4uw - v^2}}\right)$$

- Identify with difference of LF time $\Delta x^+/P^+$ between constituents
- Finite range
- Measure in Double Parton Processes

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



9

Remarkable Features of Líght-Front Schrödínger Equation

- Relativistic, frame-independent
- QCD scale appears unique LF potential
- Reproduces spectroscopy and dynamics of light-quark hadrons with one parameter
- Zero-mass pion for zero mass quarks!
- Regge slope same for n and L -- not usual HO
- Splitting in L persists to high mass -- contradicts conventional wisdom based on breakdown of chiral symmetry
- Phenomenology: LFWFs, Form factors, electroproduction
- Extension to heavy quarks

$$U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$$

CERN TH January 22, 2014



de Teramond, Dosch, sjb

AdS/QCD Soft-Wall Model



<mark>Líght-Front Holography</mark>

Semi-Classical Approximation to QCD Relativistic, frame-independent Unique color-confining potential Zero mass pion for massless quarks Regge trajectories with equal slopes in n and L Light-Front Wavefunctions

Conformal Symmetry

Light-Front Schrödinger Equation

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Fermionic Modes and Baryon Spectrum

GdT and sjb, PRL 94, 201601 (2005)

Yukawa interaction in 5 dimensions



From Nick Evans

• Action for Dirac field in AdS $_{d+1}$ in presence of dilaton background arphi(z) [Abidin and Carlson (2009)]

$$S = \int d^{d+1} \sqrt{g} \, e^{\varphi(z)} \left(i \overline{\Psi} e^M_A \Gamma^A D_M \Psi + h.c + \varphi(z) \overset{\bigstar}{\overline{\Psi}} \Psi - \mu \overline{\Psi} \Psi \right)$$

• Factor out plane waves along 3+1: $\Psi_P(x^{\mu}, z) = e^{-iP \cdot x} \Psi(z)$

$$\left[i\left(z\eta^{\ell m}\Gamma_{\ell}\partial_m + 2\Gamma_z\right) + \mu R + \kappa^2 z\right]\Psi(x^{\ell}) = 0.$$

• Solution $(\nu = \mu R - \frac{1}{2}, \nu = L + 1)$

$$\Psi_{+}(z) \sim z^{\frac{5}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2} z^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2} z^{2}), \quad \Psi_{-}(z) \sim z^{\frac{7}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2} z^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2} z^{2})$$

• Eigenvalues (how to fix the overall energy scale, see arXiv:1001.5193)

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+L+1)$$
 positive parity

- Obtain spin-J mode $\Phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{J-1/2}}$, $J>\frac{1}{2}$, with all indices along 3+1 from Ψ by shifting dimensions
- Large N_C : $\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(N_C + n + L 2) \implies \mathcal{M} \sim \sqrt{N_C} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$

Dirac Equation for Nucleons in Soft-Wall AdS/QCD

• We write the Dirac equation

$$(\alpha \Pi(\zeta) - \mathcal{M}) \,\psi(\zeta) = 0,$$

in terms of the matrix-valued operator $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$

$$\Pi_{\nu}(\zeta) = -i\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} - \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\gamma_5 - \kappa^2\zeta\gamma_5\right),\,$$

and its adjoint Π^{\dagger} , with commutation relations

$$\left[\Pi_{\nu}(\zeta), \Pi_{\nu}^{\dagger}(\zeta)\right] = \left(\frac{2\nu+1}{\zeta^2} - 2\kappa^2\right)\gamma_5.$$

• Solutions to the Dirac equation

$$\psi_{+}(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}), \qquad \nu = L+1$$

$$\psi_{-}(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{3}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}).$$

• Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+\nu+1).$$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Baryon Spectrum in Soft-Wall Model

 $\bullet \,$ Upon substitution $z \to \zeta$ and

$$\Psi_J(x,z) = e^{-iP \cdot x} z^2 \psi^J(z) u(P),$$

find LFWE for d = 4

$$\frac{d}{d\zeta}\psi_+^J + \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\psi_+^J + U(\zeta)\psi_+^J = \mathcal{M}\psi_-^J,$$
$$-\frac{d}{d\zeta}\psi_-^J + \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\psi_-^J + U(\zeta)\psi_-^J = \mathcal{M}\psi_+^J,$$

where $U(\zeta) = \frac{R}{\zeta} \, V(\zeta)$

- Choose linear potential $U=\kappa^2\zeta$
- Eigenfunctions

$$\psi_{+}^{J}(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}), \qquad \psi_{-}^{J}(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{\frac{3}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2})$$

• Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+\nu+1), \quad \nu = L+1 \quad (\tau = 3)$$

• Full J - L degeneracy (different J for same L) for baryons along given trajectory !

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



CERN TH January 22, 2014

Fermionic Modes and Baryon Spectrum

[Hard wall model: GdT and S. J. Brodsky, PRL **94**, 201601 (2005)] [Soft wall model: GdT and S. J. Brodsky, (2005), arXiv:1001.5193]



From Nick Evans

• Nucleon LF modes

$$\psi_{+}(\zeta)_{n,L} = \kappa^{2+L} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{(n+L)!}} \zeta^{3/2+L} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{L+1} \left(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}\right)$$
$$\psi_{-}(\zeta)_{n,L} = \kappa^{3+L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+L+2}} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{(n+L)!}} \zeta^{5/2+L} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{L+2} \left(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}\right)$$

• Normalization

$$\int d\zeta \,\psi_+^2(\zeta) = \int d\zeta \,\psi_-^2(\zeta) = 1$$

Chíral Symmetry of Eígenstate!

• Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,L,S=1/2}^2 = 4\kappa^2 \left(n + L + 1 \right)$$

• "Chiral partners"

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{N(1535)}}{\mathcal{M}_{N(940)}} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$-\frac{d}{d\zeta}\psi_{-} - \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\psi_{-} - V(\zeta)\psi_{-} = M\psi_{+},$$

$$\frac{d}{d\zeta}\psi_{+} - \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\psi_{+} - V(\zeta)\psi_{+} = M\psi_{-},$$

$$M^{2} = 4\kappa^{2}(n + \nu + 1)$$

Orbital assignment for baryon trajectories according to parity and internal spin.

		$S = \frac{1}{2}$	$S = \frac{3}{2}$	
	P = +	v = L	$\nu = L + \frac{1}{2}$	
$\nu = \mu R - 1/2$	P = -	$\nu = L + \frac{1}{2}$	v = L + 1	

$$M_{n,L,S=\frac{3}{2}}^{2\,(+)} = M_{n,L,S=\frac{1}{2}}^{2\,(-)}$$

No spin-orbit coupling

J=1/2 "Chiral partners", e.g. N(1535) and N(1400), with different L, non-degenerate



Table 1: SU(6) classification of confirmed baryons listed by the PDG. The labels S, L and n refer to the internal spin, orbital angular momentum and radial quantum number respectively. The $\Delta \frac{5}{2}^{-}(1930)$ does not fit the SU(6) classification since its mass is too low compared to other members **70**-multiplet for n = 0, L = 3.

$\overline{SU(6)}$	S	L	n	Baryon State		
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$N\frac{1}{2}^{+}(940)$		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	$N\frac{1}{2}^{+}(1440)$		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2	$N\frac{1}{2}^{+}(1710)$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	$\Delta \frac{3}{2}^{+}(1232)$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	$\Delta \frac{3}{2}^{+}(1600)$		
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$N\frac{1}{2}^{-}(1535) \ N\frac{3}{2}^{-}(1520)$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	$N_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-}(1650) N_{\frac{3}{2}}^{3-}(1700) N_{\frac{5}{2}}^{5-}(1675)$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1	$N\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{3}{2}^{-}(1875)$ $N\frac{5}{2}^{-}$		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$\Delta \frac{1}{2}^{-}(1620) \ \Delta \frac{3}{2}^{-}(1700)$		
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	0	$N_{\frac{3}{2}}^{3+}(1720) \ N_{\frac{5}{2}}^{5+}(1680)$		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	1	$N\frac{3}{2}^{+}(1900) N\frac{5}{2}^{+}$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	0	$\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}(1910) \ \Delta_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\pm}(1920) \ \Delta_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\pm}(1905) \ \Delta_{\frac{7}{2}}^{\pm}(1950)$		
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	0	$N\frac{5}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{7}{2}^{-}$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	3	0	$N_{\frac{3}{2}}^{3-}$ $N_{\frac{5}{2}}^{5-}$ $N_{\frac{7}{2}}^{7-}(2190)$ $N_{\frac{9}{2}}^{9-}(2250)$		
	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	0	$\Delta \frac{5}{2}^- \qquad \Delta \frac{7}{2}^-$		
56	$\frac{1}{2}$	4	0	$N\frac{7}{2}^+ \qquad N\frac{9}{2}^+(2220)$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	4	0	$\Delta_{\frac{5}{2}}^{5+}$ $\Delta_{\frac{7}{2}}^{7+}$ $\Delta_{\frac{9}{2}}^{9+}$ $\Delta_{\frac{11}{2}}^{11+}(2420)$		
70	$\frac{1}{2}$	5	0	$N\frac{9}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{11}{2}^{-}$		
	$\frac{3}{2}$	5	0	$N\frac{7}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{9}{2}^{-}$ $N\frac{11}{2}^{-}(2600)$ $N\frac{13}{2}^{-}$		

PDG 2012

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Baryon Spectroscopy from AdS/QCD and Light-Front Holography



de Teramond, sjb

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,L,S}^{2\,(+)} = 4\kappa^2 \left(n + L + \frac{S}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \right), \quad \text{positive parity} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{All confirmed} \\ \text{resonances} \\ \text{from PDG} \\ \mathbf{\mathcal{M}}_{n,L,S}^{2\,(-)} = 4\kappa^2 \left(n + L + \frac{S}{2} + \frac{5}{4} \right), \quad \text{negative parity} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{All confirmed} \\ \text{resonances} \\ \text{from PDG} \\ \mathbf{2012} \end{array}$$

See also Forkel, Beyer, Federico, Klempt

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Chíral Features of Soft-Wall AdS/QCD Model

- Boost Invariant
- Trivial LF vacuum! No condensate, but consistent with GMOR
- Massless Pion
- Hadron Eigenstates (even the pion) have LF Fock components of different L^z

• Proton: equal probability $S^z = +1/2, L^z = 0; S^z = -1/2, L^z = +1$ $J^z = +1/2 :< L^z >= 1/2, < S^z_q >= 0$

- Self-Dual Massive Eigenstates: Proton is its own chiral partner.
- Label State by minimum L as in Atomic Physics
- Minimum L dominates at short distances
- AdS/QCD Dictionary: Match to Interpolating Operator Twist at z=0.
 No mass -degenerate parity partners!

Space-Like Dirac Proton Form Factor

• Consider the spin non-flip form factors

$$F_{+}(Q^{2}) = g_{+} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_{+}(\zeta)|^{2},$$

$$F_{-}(Q^{2}) = g_{-} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_{-}(\zeta)|^{2},$$

where the effective charges g_+ and g_- are determined from the spin-flavor structure of the theory.

- Choose the struck quark to have $S^z = +1/2$. The two AdS solutions $\psi_+(\zeta)$ and $\psi_-(\zeta)$ correspond to nucleons with $J^z = +1/2$ and -1/2.
- For SU(6) spin-flavor symmetry

$$F_1^p(Q^2) = \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_+(\zeta)|^2,$$

$$F_1^n(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{3} \int d\zeta J(Q,\zeta) \left[|\psi_+(\zeta)|^2 - |\psi_-(\zeta)|^2 \right],$$

where $F_1^p(0) = 1$, $F_1^n(0) = 0$.

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

CERN TH January 22, 2014 • Compute Dirac proton form factor using SU(6) flavor symmetry

$$F_1^p(Q^2) = R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} V(Q, z) \Psi_+^2(z)$$

Nucleon AdS wave function

$$\Psi_{+}(z) = \frac{\kappa^{2+L}}{R^2} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{(n+L)!}} z^{7/2+L} L_n^{L+1} \left(\kappa^2 z^2\right) e^{-\kappa^2 z^2/2}$$

• Normalization $(F_1^{p}(0) = 1, V(Q = 0, z) = 1)$

$$R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} \, \Psi_+^2(z) = 1$$

• Bulk-to-boundary propagator [Grigoryan and Radyushkin (2007)]

$$V(Q,z) = \kappa^2 z^2 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1-x)^2} x^{\frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}} e^{-\kappa^2 z^2 x/(1-x)}$$

• Find

$$F_1^p(Q^2) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho}^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho'}^2}\right)}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho_n}^2 \to 4\kappa^2(n+1/2)$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics



Stan Brodsky

SI 4



CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

Using SU(6) flavor symmetry and normalization to static quantities



January 22, 2014



Nucleon and flavor form factors in a light front quark model in $\rm AdS/QCD$

Dipankar Chakrabarti, Chandan Mondal

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Flavor Decomposition of Elastic Nucleon Form Factors

G. D. Cates et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 252003 (2011)

- Proton SU(6) WF: $F_{u,1}^p = \frac{5}{3}G_+ + \frac{1}{3}G_-, \quad F_{d,1}^p = \frac{1}{3}G_+ + \frac{2}{3}G_-$
- Neutron SU(6) WF: $F_{u,1}^n = \frac{1}{3}G_+ + \frac{2}{3}G_-, \quad F_{d,1}^n = \frac{5}{3}G_+ + \frac{1}{3}G_-$



Nucleon Transition Form Factors

- Compute spin non-flip EM transition $N(940) \rightarrow N^*(1440)$: $\Psi^{n=0,L=0}_+ \rightarrow \Psi^{n=1,L=0}_+$
- Transition form factor

$$F_{1N \to N^*}^{p}(Q^2) = R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} \Psi_+^{n=1,L=0}(z) V(Q,z) \Psi_+^{n=0,L=0}(z)$$

• Orthonormality of Laguerre functions $(F_1^p_{N \to N^*}(0) = 0, V(Q = 0, z) = 1)$

$$R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} \Psi_+^{n',L}(z) \Psi_+^{n,L}(z) = \delta_{n,n'}$$

• Find

$$F_{1N\to N^{*}}^{p}(Q^{2}) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{P}^{2}}}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\rho}^{2}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\rho'}^{2}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{\rho''}^{2}}\right)}$$

with $\mathcal{M}_{\rho n}^{2} \to 4\kappa^{2}(n+1/2)$

de Teramond, sjb

Consistent with counting rule, twist 3

Nucleon Transition Form Factors

$$F_{1 N \to N^*}^p(Q^2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{\frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho}^2}}{\left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho}^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho'}^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\mathcal{M}_{\rho''}^2}\right)}.$$



Proton transition form factor to the first radial excited state. Data from JLab

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Dressed soft-wall current brings in higher Fock states and more vector meson poles



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Higher Fock Components in LF Holographic QCD

- Effective interaction leads to $qq \to qq$, $q\overline{q} \to q\overline{q}$ but also to $q \to qq\overline{q}$ and $\overline{q} \to \overline{q}q\overline{q}$
- Higher Fock states can have any number of extra $q\overline{q}$ pairs, but surprisingly no dynamical gluons
- Example of relevance of higher Fock states and the absence of dynamical gluons at the hadronic scale

$$|\pi\rangle = \psi_{q\overline{q}/\pi} |q\overline{q}\rangle_{\tau=2} + \psi_{q\overline{q}q\overline{q}} |q\overline{q}q\overline{q}\rangle_{\tau=4} + \cdots$$

• Modify form factor formula introducing finite width: $q^2 \rightarrow q^2 + \sqrt{2}i\mathcal{M}\Gamma$ ($P_{q\overline{q}q\overline{q}} = 13$ %)



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Timelike Pion Form Factor from AdS/QCD and Light-Front Holography





Meson Transition Form-Factors

[S. J. Brodsky, Fu-Guang Cao and GdT, arXiv:1005.39XX]

• Pion TFF from 5-dim Chern-Simons structure [Hill and Zachos (2005), Grigoryan and Radyushkin (2008)]

$$\int d^4x \int dz \,\epsilon^{LMNPQ} A_L \partial_M A_N \partial_P A_Q$$

 $\sim (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)} \left(p_\pi + q - k \right) F_{\pi\gamma}(q^2) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_\mu(q) (p_\pi)_\nu \epsilon_\rho(k) q_\sigma$

• Take $A_z \propto \Phi_{\pi}(z)/z$, $\Phi_{\pi}(z) = \sqrt{2P_{q\overline{q}}} \kappa z^2 e^{-\kappa^2 z^2/2}$, $\langle \Phi_{\pi} | \Phi_{\pi} \rangle = P_{q\overline{q}}$

• Find
$$\left(\phi(x) = \sqrt{3}f_{\pi}x(1-x), \quad f_{\pi} = \sqrt{P_{q\overline{q}}}\kappa/\sqrt{2}\pi\right)$$

$$Q^{2}F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^{2}) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{\phi(x)}{1-x} \left[1 - e^{-P_{q\bar{q}}Q^{2}(1-x)/4\pi^{2}f_{\pi}^{2}x} \right]$$

normalized to the asymptotic DA $[P_{q\overline{q}} = 1 \rightarrow Musatov and Radyushkin (1997)]$

- Large Q^2 TFF is identical to first principles asymptotic QCD result $Q^2 F_{\pi\gamma}(Q^2 \to \infty) = 2f_{\pi\gamma}$
- The CS form is local in AdS space and projects out only the asymptotic form of the pion DA



CERN TH January 22, 2014



Running Coupling from Modified Ads/QCD

Deur, de Teramond, sjb

• Consider five-dim gauge fields propagating in AdS $_5$ space in dilaton background $arphi(z)=\kappa^2 z^2$

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \, dz \, \sqrt{g} \, e^{\varphi(z)} \, \frac{1}{g_5^2} \, G^2$$

• Flow equation

$$\frac{1}{g_5^2(z)} = e^{\varphi(z)} \frac{1}{g_5^2(0)} \quad \text{or} \quad g_5^2(z) = e^{-\kappa^2 z^2} g_5^2(0)$$

where the coupling $g_5(z)$ incorporates the non-conformal dynamics of confinement

- YM coupling $\alpha_s(\zeta) = g_{YM}^2(\zeta)/4\pi$ is the five dim coupling up to a factor: $g_5(z) \to g_{YM}(\zeta)$
- Coupling measured at momentum scale Q

$$\alpha_s^{AdS}(Q) \sim \int_0^\infty \zeta d\zeta J_0(\zeta Q) \,\alpha_s^{AdS}(\zeta)$$

Solution

$$\alpha_s^{AdS}(Q^2) = \alpha_s^{AdS}(0) e^{-Q^2/4\kappa^2}.$$

where the coupling α_s^{AdS} incorporates the non-conformal dynamics of confinement

Running Coupling from Light-Front Holography and AdS/QCD Analytic, defined at all scales, IR Fixed Point



AdS/QCD dilaton captures the higher twist corrections to effective charges for Q < 1 GeV

$$e^{\varphi} = e^{+\kappa^2 z^2}$$

Deur, de Teramond, sjb

Extensions of AdS/QCD LF Holography

- Massive quarks
- Broken Chiral Symmetry
- Structure Functions
- Counting Rules at x ~1, Duality
- Nucleon GPDs

Valery E. Lyubovitskij, Tanja Branz, Thomas Gutsche, Ivan Schmidt, Alfredo Vega Ian Cloet, C. D. Roberts Ruben Sandapen, Jeff Forshaw Burkardt, Schmidt, Lepage, sjb

Prediction from AdS/CFT: Meson LFWF



January 22, 2014





Application to Strange Hadrons $M^{2} = M_{0}^{2} + \left\langle X \left| \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{x} \right| X \right\rangle + \left\langle X \left| \frac{m_{q}^{2}}{1-x} \right| X \right\rangle$

 $\mathcal{M}^2(GeV^2)$

$$\mathcal{M}^2(GeV^2)$$



 $n = 0: K^*(892), K^*(1410), K^*(1680), K^*(2045)$ $n = 0: \phi(1012), \phi(1850)$ $n = 1: K^*(1430)$ $n = 2: K^*(1789)$

G. de Teramond, H. G. Dosch, sjb

Preliminary
Valery E. Lyubovitskij, Tanja Branz, Thomas Gutsche, Ivan Schmidt, Alfredo Vega

Meson	Data [13]	Our
π^-	$130.4 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.2$	131
K^{-}	$156.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.8$	155
D^+	206.7 ± 8.9	167
D_s^+	257.5 ± 6.1	170
B^-	193 ± 11	139
B_s^0	$253\pm8\pm7$	144
B_c	$489 \pm 5 \pm 3$ [14]	159

Decay constants f_P in MeV of pseudoscalar mesons

Decay constants f_V in MeV of vector mesons

Meson	Data [13]	Our	Meson	Data [13]	Our
$ ho^+$	210.5 ± 0.6	170	$ ho^0$	154.7 ± 0.7	120
D^*	$245 \pm 20^{+3}_{-2}$ [15]	167	ω	45.8 ± 0.8	40
D_s^*	$272 \pm 16^{+3}_{-20}$ [16]	170	ϕ	76 ± 1.2	58
B^*	$196 \pm 24^{+39}_{-2}$ [15]	139	J/ψ	277.6 ± 4	116
B_s^*	$229 \pm 20^{+41}_{-16}$ [15]	144	$\Upsilon(1s)$	238.5 ± 5.5	56

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Applications to Collider Physics

- Non-Perturbative Structure Functions
- Fundamental understanding of angular momentum
- Higher Fock States: Intrinsic Heavy Quarks
- Higgs at High x_F
- Hadronization at the Amplitude Level
- Direct Higher-Twist Processes: Violation of leading twist scaling
- Collisions of Flux-Tubes: Ridge effect in p-p scattering
- Multiparton amplitudes: Cluster decomposition, Jz conservation, Parke-Taylor
- Multi-gluon initiated processes: Novel nuclear effects
- Non-Universal Anti-shadowing
- Hadronization from first principles -- at the Amplitude Level
- Principle of Maximum Conformality
- Connection to Pomeron

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Off -Shell T-Matrix

Event amplitude generator

- Quarks and Gluons Off-Shell
- LFPth: Minimal Time-Ordering Diagrams-Only positive k+
- J^z Conservation at every vertex
- Frame-Independent
- Cluster Decomposition Chueng Ji, sjb
- "History"-Numerator structure universal
- Renormalization- alternate denominators
- LFWF takes Off-shell to On-shell
- Tested in QED: g-2 to three loops

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics





Roskies, Suaya, sjb

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

Only Hadrons can Appear!

BLFQ

Use AdS/CFT orthonormal Light Front Wavefunctions as a basis for diagonalizing the QCD LF Hamiltonian

- Good initial approximation
- Better than plane wave basis
- DLCQ discretization -- highly successful I+I
- Use independent HO LFWFs, remove CM motion
- Similar to Shell Model calculations
- Hamiltonian light-front field theory within an AdS/QCD basis. J.P. Vary, H. Honkanen, Jun Li, P. Maris, A. Harindranath,

<u>G.F. de Teramond, P. Sternberg</u>, X. Zhao, <u>E.G. Ng</u>, <u>C. Yang</u>, sjb

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Ads/QCD and Light-Front Holography $\mathcal{M}_{n,J,L}^2 = 4\kappa^2 \left(n + \frac{J+L}{2} \right)$

- Zero mass pion for m_q =0 (n=J=L=0)
- Regge trajectories: equal slope in n and L
- Form Factors at high Q²: Dimensional $[Q^2]^{n-1}F(Q^2) \to \text{const}$ counting
- Space-like and Time-like Meson and Baryon **Form Factors**
- Running Coupling for NPQCD

 $\alpha_s(Q^2) \propto e^{-\frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2}}$

• Meson Distribution Amplitude $\phi_{\pi}(x) \propto f_{\pi} \sqrt{x(1-x)}$

CERN TH January 22, 2014





CERN TH January 22, 2014



 $E\frac{d\sigma}{d^3p}(pp \to HX) = \frac{F(x_T, \theta_{cm} = \pi/2)}{p_T^n}$



Photons and Jets agree with PQCD xT scaling Hadrons do not!

Arleo, Hwang, Sickles, sjb

(ロ) (四) (三) (三)

- Significant increase of the hadron n^{exp} with x_{\perp}
 - $n^{
 m exp} \simeq 8$ at large x_{\perp}
- Huge contrast with photons and jets !
 - n^{exp} constant and slight above 4 at all x_{\perp}

Baryon can be made directly within hard subprocess



CERN TH January 22, 2014



RHIC/LHC predictions

PHENIX results

Scaling exponents from $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV preliminary data

A. Bezilevsky, APS Meeting

S C



• Magnitude of Δ and its x_{\perp} -dependence consistent with predictions

 $\Delta = n_{expt} - n_{PQCD}$

Arleo, Hwang, Sickles, sjb

Raju Venugopalan

Two particle correlations: CMS results



 Ridge: Distinct long range correlation in η collimated around ΔΦ≈ 0 for two hadrons in the intermediate 1 < p_T, q_T < 3 GeV

Possible origin of same-side CMS ridge in p p Collisions

Bjorken, Goldhaber, sjb





Possible multiparticle ridge-like correlations in very high multiplicity proton-proton collisions

Bjorken, Goldhaber, sjb

We suggest that this "ridge"-like correlation may be a reflection of the rare events generated by the collision of aligned flux tubes connecting the valence quarks in the wave functions of the colliding protons.

The "spray" of particles resulting from the approximate line source produced in such inelastic collisions then gives rise to events with a strong correlation between particles produced over a large range of both positive and negative rapidity.



are obtained by evolving the BHPS result to $Q^2 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ using $\mu = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ and $\mu = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$, respectively. The normalizations of the calculations are adjusted to fit the data at x > 0.1 with statistical errors only, denoted by solid circles.

 $s(x, Q^2) = s(x, Q^2)_{\text{extrinsic}} + s(x, Q^2)_{\text{intrinsic}}$

Fixed LF time



Probability (QED) $\propto \frac{1}{M_{\star}^4}$

Probability (QCD) $\propto \frac{1}{M_O^2}$

Collins, Ellis, Gunion, Mueller, sjb M. Polyakov, et al. Proton 5-quark Fock State : Intrínsíc Heavy Quarks



QCD predicts Intrinsic Heavy Quarks at high x!

Minimal off-shellness

Probability (QED) $\propto \frac{1}{M_{*}^{4}}$

Probability (QCD) $\propto \frac{1}{M_{\odot}^2}$

Collins, Ellis, Gunion, Mueller, sjb Polyakov, et al.

Hoyer, Peterson, Sakai, sjb

Intrínsic Heavy-Quark Fock States

- Rigorous prediction of QCD, OPE
- Color-Octet Color-Octet Fock State!



- Probability $P_{Q\bar{Q}} \propto \frac{1}{M_Q^2}$ $P_{Q\bar{Q}Q\bar{Q}} \sim \alpha_s^2 P_{Q\bar{Q}}$ $P_{c\bar{c}/p} \simeq 1\%$
- Large Effect at high x
- Greatly increases kinematics of colliders such as Higgs production (Kopeliovich, Schmidt, Soffer, sjb)
- Severely underestimated in conventional parameterizations of heavy quark distributions (Pumplin, Tung)
- Cannot use $c(x,Q^2)$ to determine $g(x,Q^2)$



Calculations of the $\bar{c}(x)$ distributions based on the BHPS model. The solid curve corresponds to the calculation using Eq. 1 and the dashed and dotted curves are obtained by evolving the BHPS result to $Q^2 = 75 \text{ GeV}^2$ using $\mu = 3.0 \text{ GeV}$, and $\mu = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, respectively. The normalization is set at $\mathcal{P}_5^{c\bar{c}} = 0.01$. **Consistent with EMC**



DGLAP / Photon-Gluon Fusion: factor of 30 too small Two Components (separate evolution): $c(x,Q^2) = c(x,Q^2)_{\text{extrinsic}} + c(x,Q^2)_{\text{intrinsic}}$

Goldhaber, Kopeliovich, Schmidt, Soffer sjb

Intrínsic Charm Mechanism for Inclusive Hígh-X_F Híggs Production



Also: intrinsic strangeness, bottom, top

Higgs can have > 80% of Proton Momentum! New production mechanism for Higgs AFTER: Higgs production at threshold!

Intrinsic Heavy Quark Contribution to Inclusive Higgs Production



Goldhaber, Kopeliovich, Schmidt, sjb



Color-Opaque IC Fock state ínteracts on nuclear front surface

Kopeliovich, Schmidt, Soffer, sjb



 $\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pA \to J/\psi X) = A^{2/3} \times \frac{d\sigma}{dx_F}(pN \to J/\psi X)$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky



Excess beyond conventional PQCD subprocesses

• IC Explains Anomalous $\alpha(x_F)$ not $\alpha(x_2)$ dependence of $pA \rightarrow J/\psi X$ (Mueller, Gunion, Tang, SJB)

• Color Octet IC Explains $A^{2/3}$ behavior at high x_F (NA3, Fermilab) Color Opaqueness (Kopeliovitch, Schmidt, Soffer, SJB)

• IC Explains $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho \pi$ puzzle (Karliner, SJB)

• IC leads to new effects in *B* decay (Gardner, SJB)

Higgs production at x_F = 0.8

CERN TH January 22, 2014





Two gluons at $g(0.005) \sim \frac{13}{0.005} = 2600$ vs. one gluon at $g(0.01) \sim \frac{8}{0.01} = 800$



QCD and the Standard-Model Vacuum on the Light Front

- Light Front Quantization
- The LF Vacuum and the Physical Universe
- QCD Condensates and the Cosmological Constant
- Higgs Model on The LF and the Cosmological Constant
- Light-Front Holography and AdS/QCD

CERN TH January 22, 2014





DARK ENERGY AND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PARADOX

A. ZEE

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA Kavil Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA zee@kitp.ucsb.edu

$$(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{QCD} \sim 10^{45}$$

$$(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{EW} \sim 10^{56}$$

$$\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76(expt)$$

$$(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{QCD} \propto < 0 |q\bar{q}|_{0} > 4$$

QCD Problem Solved if quark and gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum!

R. Shrock, sjb Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. 108 (2011) 45-50 "Condensates in Quantum Chromodynamics and the Cosmological Constant"

C. Roberts, R. Shrock, P. Tandy, sjb Phys.Rev. C82 (2010) 022201 "New Perspectives on the Quark Condensate"

Two Definitions of Vacuum State

Instant Form: Lowest Energy Eigenstate of Instant-Form Hamiltonian

 $H|\psi_0>=E_0|\psi_0>, E_0=\min\{E_i\}$

Eigenstate defined at one time t over all space; Acausal! Frame-Dependent

Front Form: Lowest Invariant Mass Eigenstate of Light-Front Hamiltonian

$$H_{LF}|\psi_0\rangle_{LF} = M_0^2|\psi_0\rangle_{LF}, M_0^2 = 0.$$

Frame-independent eigenstate at fixed LF time τ = t+z/c within causal borizon

Frame-independent description of the causal physical universe!

Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum

Casher and Susskind Maris, Roberts, Tandy Shrock and sjb

- Bound-State Dyson Schwinger Equations
- AdS/QCD
- Implications for cosmological constant --Eliminates 45 orders of magnitude conflict

CERN TH January 22, 2014





These authors argue that dynamical chiral-symmetry breaking can be realized as a property of hadrons, instead of via a nontrivial vacuum exterior to the measurable degrees of freedom

Original Note of Warning

Chiral Magnetism (or Magnetohadrochironics) A. Casher and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 436

The spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry in hadron dynamics is generally studied as a vacuum phenomenon.¹ Because of an instability of the chirally invariant vacuum, the real vacuum is "aligned" into a chirally asymmetric configuration. On the other hand an approach to quantum field theory exists in which the properties of the vacuum state are not relevant. This is the parton or constituent approach formulated in the infinitemomentum frame.² A number of investigations

The essential ingredient required for a spontaneous symmetry breakdown in a composite system is the existence of a divergent number of constituents – DIS provides evidence for divergent sea of low-momentum partons – parton model.

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 82, 022201(R) (2010)

New perspectives on the quark condensate

Stanley J. Brodsky,^{1,2} Craig D. Roberts,^{3,4} Robert Shrock,⁵ and Peter C. Tandy⁶ ¹SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309, USA ²Centre for Particle Physics Phenomenology: CP³-Origins, University of Southern Denmark, Odense 5230 M, Denmark ³Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ⁴Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ⁵C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA ⁶Center for Nuclear Research, Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA (Received 25 May 2010; published 18 August 2010)

We show that the chiral-limit vacuum quark condensate is qualitatively equivalent to the pseudoscalar meson leptonic decay constant in the sense that they are both obtained as the chiral-limit value of well-defined gauge-invariant hadron-to-vacuum transition amplitudes that possess a spectral representation in terms of the current-quark mass. Thus, whereas it might sometimes be convenient to imagine otherwise, neither is essentially a constant mass-scale that fills all spacetime. This means, in particular, that the quark condensate can be understood as a property of hadrons themselves, which is expressed, for example, in their Bethe-Salpeter or light-front wave functions.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Ward-Takahashí Identíty for axíal current GMOR satisfied, no VEV

 $P^{\mu}\Gamma_{5\mu}(k,P) + 2im\Gamma_5(k,P) = S^{-1}(k+P/2)i\gamma_5 + i\gamma_5 S^{-1}(k-P/2)$

$$S^{-1}(\ell) = i\gamma \cdot \ell A(\ell^2) + B(\ell^2) \qquad m(\ell^2) = \frac{B(\ell^2)}{A(\ell^2)}$$



Identify pion pole at $P^2 = m_\pi^2$

$$P^{\mu} < 0 |\bar{q}\gamma_5\gamma^{\mu}q|\pi > = 2m < 0 |\bar{q}i\gamma_5q|\pi >$$
$$f_{\pi}m_{\pi}^2 = -(m_u + m_d)\rho_{\pi}$$

Effective Confinement potential from soft-wall AdS/QCD gives Regge Spectroscopy plus higher-twist correction to current propagator

$$M^2 = 4\kappa^2(n + L + S/2)$$
 light-quark meson spectra



$$R_{e^+e^-}(s) = N_c \sum_q e_q^2 (1 + \mathcal{O}\frac{\kappa^4}{s^2} + \cdots)$$

mimics dimension-4 gluon condensate $<0|\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}G^{\mu\nu}(0)G_{\mu\nu}(0)|0>$ in

 $e^+e^- \to X, \, \tau \text{ decay}, \, Q\bar{Q} \text{ phenomenology}$

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Standard Model on the Light-Front

- Same phenomenological predictions
- Higgs field has three components
- Real part creates Higgs particle
- Imaginary part (Goldstone) become longitudinal components of W, Z
- Higgs VEV of instant form becomes k+=0 LF zero mode!
- Analogous to a background static classical Zeeman or Stark Fields
- Zero contribution to $T^{\mu}{}_{\mu}$; zero coupling to gravity

CERN TH January 22, 2014


Determinations of the vacuum Gluon Condensate

$$< 0 \left| \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \right| 0 > \left[\text{GeV}^4 \right]$$

 -0.005 ± 0.003 from τ decay.Davier et al. $+0.006 \pm 0.012$ from τ decay.Geshkenbein, Ioffe, Zyablyuk $+0.009 \pm 0.007$ from charmonium sum rules

Ioffe, Zyablyuk



Consistent with zero vacuum condensate

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Light-Front vacuum can símulate empty universe Shrock, Tandy, Roberts, sjb

- Independent of observer frame
- Causal
- Lowest invariant mass state M= 0.
- Trivial up to k+=0 zero modes-- already normal-ordering
- Higgs theory consistent with trivial LF vacuum (Srivastava, sjb)
- QCD and AdS/QCD: "In-hadron" condensates (Maris, Tandy Roberts)
- QED vacuum; no loops
- Zero cosmological constant from QED, QCD

CERN TH January 22, 2014





DARK ENERGY AND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PARADOX

A. ZEE

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA Kavil Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA zee@kitp.ucsb.edu

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Omega_{\Lambda})_{QCD} \sim 10^{45} \\ & (\Omega_{\Lambda})_{EW} \sim 10^{56} \end{aligned} \qquad \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76(expt) \end{aligned}$$

QCD gives Λ=zero if Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum!

Electroweak contribution gives Λ =zero from Zero Mode solution to Higgs Potential

Electroweak Problem also could be solved in technicolor -- condensates within technihadrons

$$(\Omega_{\Lambda})_{QCD} = 0 \qquad (\Omega_{\Lambda})_{EW} = 0$$

Central Question: What is the source of Dark Energy? $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76(expt)$ Higgs Zero-Mode Curvature?

QCD Myths

- Anti-Shadowing is Universal
- ISI and FSI are higher twist effects and universal
- High transverse momentum hadrons arise only from jet fragmentation -- baryon anomaly!
- heavy quarks only from gluon splitting
- renormalization scale cannot be fixed
- QCD condensates are vacuum effects
- Infrared Slavery
- Nuclei are composites of nucleons only
- Real part of DVCS arbitrary

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Lessons from QED

In the (physical) Gell Mann-Low scheme, the momentum scale of the running coupling is the virtuality of the exchanged photon; independent of initial scale.

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha(t_0)}{1 - \Pi(t, t_0)} \qquad \Pi(t, t_0) = \frac{\Pi(t) - \Pi(t_0)}{1 - \Pi(t_0)}$$



For any other scale choice an infinite set of diagrams must be taken into account to obtain the correct result!

In any other scheme, the correct scale displacement must be used

$$\log \frac{\mu_{\overline{MS}}^2}{m_{\ell}^2} = 6 \int_0^1 dx \, x(1-x) \log \frac{m_{\ell}^2 + Q^2 x(1-x)}{m_{\ell}^2}, \quad Q^2 \gg m_{\ell}^2 \log \frac{Q^2}{m_{\ell}^2} - \frac{5}{3}$$
$$\alpha_{\overline{MS}}(e^{-5/3}q^2) = \alpha_{GM-L}(q^2).$$

Principle of Maximum Conformality (PMC)



BLM/PMC: Absorb β-terms into running coupling

$$\mathcal{O} = C(\alpha_s(Q^{*2})) + D(\frac{m_q^2}{Q^2}) + E(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{Q^2}) + F(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{m_Q^2}) + G(\frac{m_q^2}{m_Q^2})$$

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Príncíple of Maxímum Conformalíty (PMC)

- Sets pQCD renormalization scale correctly at every finite order
- Predictions are scheme-independent
- Satisfies all principles of the renormalization group
- Agrees with Gell Mann-Low procedure for pQED in Abelian limit
- Shifts all β terms into α_s, leaving conformal series
- Automatic procedure: R_δ scheme

Xing-Gang Wu, Matin Mojaza Leonardo di Giustino, SJB

- Number of flavors n_f set
- Eliminates n! renormalon growth
- Choice of initial scale irrelevant
- Eliminates unnecessary systematic error -- conventional guess is schemedependent, disagrees with QED
- Reduces disagreement with pQCD for top/anti-top asymmetry at Tevatron from 3σ to 1σ

S

Systematic All-Orders Method to Eliminate Renormalization-Scale and Scheme Ambiguities in Perturbative QCD

Matin Mojaza*

CP3-Origins, Danish Institute for Advanced Studies, University of Southern Denmark, DK-5230 Odense, Denmark and SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94039, USA

Stanley J. Brodsky[†]

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94039, USA

Xing-Gang Wu[‡]

Department of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China (Received 13 January 2013; published 10 May 2013)

We introduce a generalization of the conventional renormalization schemes used in dimensional regularization, which illuminates the renormalization scheme and scale ambiguities of perturbative QCD predictions, exposes the general pattern of nonconformal $\{\beta_i\}$ terms, and reveals a special degeneracy of the terms in the perturbative coefficients. It allows us to systematically determine the argument of the running coupling order by order in perturbative QCD in a form which can be readily automatized. The new method satisfies all of the principles of the renormalization group and eliminates an unnecessary source of systematic error.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



δ -Renormalization Scheme (\mathcal{R}_{δ} scheme)

In dim. reg. $1/\epsilon$ poles come in powers of [Bollini & Gambiagi, 't Hooft & Veltman, '72]

$$\ln\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} + c$$

In the modified minimal subtraction scheme (MS-bar) one subtracts together with the pole a constant [Bardeen, Buras, Duke, Muta (1978) on DIS results]:

$$\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E$$

This corresponds to a shift in the scale:

$$\mu_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}^2 = \mu^2 \exp(\ln 4\pi - \gamma_E)$$

A finite subtraction from infinity is arbitrary. Let's make use of this!

Subtract an arbitrary constant and keep it in your calculation: \mathcal{R}_{δ} -scheme $\ln(4\pi) - \gamma_E - \delta,$ $\mu_{\delta}^2 = \mu_{\overline{MS}}^2 \exp(-\delta) = \mu^2 \exp(\ln 4\pi - \gamma_E - \delta)$

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Exposing the Renormalization Scheme Dependence

Observable in the \mathcal{R}_{δ} -scheme:

 $\rho_{\delta}(Q^2) = r_0 + r_1 a(\mu) + [r_2 + \beta_0 r_1 \delta] a(\mu)^2 + [r_3 + \beta_1 r_1 \delta + 2\beta_0 r_2 \delta + \beta_0^2 r_1 \delta^2] a(\mu)^3 + \cdots$

 $\mathcal{R}_0 = \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\ln 4\pi - \gamma_E} = \mathrm{MS}$ $\mu^2 = \mu_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}^2 \exp(\ln 4\pi - \gamma_E)$, $\mu_{\delta_2}^2 = \mu_{\delta_1}^2 \exp(\delta_2 - \delta_1)$

Note the divergent 'renormalon series' $n!\beta^n \alpha_s^n$

Renormalization Scheme Equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\delta} = -\beta(a)\frac{d\rho}{da} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \quad \longrightarrow \text{PMC}$$

 $\rho_{\delta}(Q^2) = r_0 + r_1 a_1(\mu_1) + (r_2 + \beta_0 r_1 \delta_1) a_2(\mu_2)^2 + [r_3 + \beta_1 r_1 \delta_1 + 2\beta_0 r_2 \delta_2 + \beta_0^2 r_1 \delta_1^2] a_3(\mu_3)^3$ The $\delta_k^p a^n$ -term indicates the term associated to a diagram with $1/\epsilon^{n-k}$ divergence for any p. Grouping the different δ_k -terms, one recovers in the $N_c \to 0$ Abelian limit the dressed skeleton expansion.

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Special Degeneracy in PQCD

There is nothing special about a particular value for $~\delta$, thus for any δ

$$\begin{split} \rho(Q^2) = & r_{0,0} + r_{1,0}a(Q) + [r_{2,0} + \beta_0 r_{2,1}]a(Q)^2 + [r_{3,0} + \beta_1 r_{2,1} + 2\beta_0 r_{3,1} + \beta_0^2 r_{3,2}]a(Q)^3 \\ & + [r_{4,0} + \beta_2 r_{2,1} + 2\beta_1 r_{3,1} + \frac{5}{2}\beta_1 \beta_0 r_{3,2} + 3\beta_0 r_{4,1} + 3\beta_0^2 r_{4,2} + \beta_0^3 r_{4,3}]a(Q)^4 \end{split}$$

According to the principal of maximum conformality we must set the scales such to absorb all 'renormalon-terms', i.e. non-conformal terms

$$\rho(Q^{2}) = r_{0,0} + r_{1,0}a(Q) + (\beta_{0}a(Q)^{2} + \beta_{1}a(Q)^{3} + \beta_{2}a(Q)^{4} + \cdots)r_{2,1} + (\beta_{0}^{2}a(Q)^{3} + \frac{5}{2}\beta_{1}\beta_{0}a(Q)^{4} + \cdots)r_{3,2} + (\beta_{0}^{3} + \cdots)r_{4,3} + r_{2,0}a(Q)^{2} + 2a(Q)(\beta_{0}a(Q)^{2} + \beta_{1}a(Q)^{3} + \cdots)r_{3,1} + \cdots + \cdots + r_{1,0}a(Q) - \beta(a)r_{2,1} + \frac{1}{2}\beta(a)\frac{\partial\beta}{\partial a}r_{3,2} + \cdots + \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!}\frac{d^{n-1}\beta}{(d\ln\mu^{2})^{n-1}}r_{n+1,n}$$

$$r_{2,0}a(Q_{2})^{2} = r_{2,0}a(Q)^{2} - 2a(Q)\beta(a)r_{3,1} + \cdots$$

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

Stan Brodsky

CERN TH January 22, 2014 Contributes to the $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{t}tX$ asymmetry at the Tevatron



Small value of renormalization scale increases asymmetry

Xing-Gang Wu, sjb

CERN TH January 22, 2014

New Perspectives for Hadron Physics

The Renormalization Scale Ambiguity for Top-Pair Production Eliminated Using the 'Principle of Maximum Conformality' (PMC)



Top quark forward-backward asymmetry predicted by pQCD NNLO within 1 σ of CDF/D0 measurements using PMC/BLM scale setting

Conformal Template

- Self-Consistent breaking of scale invariance--Unique Confining Potential and Dilaton
- Non-Perturbative QCD Running Coupling
- Principle of Maximum Conformality -sets renormalization scale in PQCD -result is scheme independent!
- ERBL evolution and eigensolutions

Frishman, Sachrajda, Lepage, sjb; Braun

CERN TH January 22, 2014



de Tèramond, Dosch, sjb

AdS/QCD Soft-Wall Model



 $\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}^2_{\perp}$.

Light-Front Holography

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi(\zeta)$$



Light-Front Schrödinger Equation $U(\zeta) = \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\kappa^2 (L + S - 1)$

Confinement scale:

$$1/\kappa \simeq 1/3 \ fm$$

 $\kappa \simeq 0.6 \ GeV$

🛑 de Alfaro, Fubini, Furlan:

Scale can appear in Hamiltonian and EQM without affecting conformal invariance of action!

Unique Confinement Potential!

Conformal Symmetry of the action

An analytic first approximation to QCD AdS/QCD + Light-Front Holography

- As Simple as Schrödinger Theory in Atomic Physics
- LF radial variable ζ conjugate to invariant mass squared
- Relativistic, Frame-Independent, Color-Confining
- Unique confining potential!
- QCD Coupling at all scales: Essential for Gauge Link phenomena
- Hadron Spectroscopy and Dynamics from one parameter
- Wave Functions, Form Factors, Hadronic Observables, Constituent Counting Rules
- Insight into QCD Condensates: Zero cosmological constant!
- Systematically improvable with DLCQ-BLFQ Methods

CERN TH January 22, 2014



New Perspectives for QCD

- Light-Front QCD and Holography
- Unique Color Confinement Potential
- Principle of Maximal Conformality
- Non-Universal Anti-Shadowing and other Novel Nuclear Effects
- Lensing effects and Factorization Breaking
- Direct and Multiparton Processes
- Heavy Quark Distributions and Novel Higgs Production Mechanisms
- Ridge Correlations at the LHC
- The QCD Vacuum and the Cosmological Constant

CERN TH January 22, 2014



Novel QCD Phenomena and New Perspectives for Hadron Physics from Light-Front Holography



CERN TH Seminar

January 22, 2014









