Squark flavor mixing in B decays

Kei Yamamoto

Niigata University



Based on: arXiv:1404.0520 with M.Tanimoto (Niigata Univ.)



1. Introduction

• Higgs Discovery 2012 $m_H \simeq 126 {
m GeV}$

No evidence of SUSY
 SUSY scale may be much higher than 1 TeV

• We need indirect Search for SUSY

➡ Flavor physics

1. Introduction

- SM explains CP violation of K and B mesons successfully
- No significant deviation, but
 a number of tensions
 - future measurements can improve a lot
- \$\mathcal{O}(20\%)\$ New Physics contributions _1.0
 to loop processes are still possible

We examine the sensitivity of High Scale SUSY in the CP violations of K and B mesons



0.0

0.5

 $\overline{\rho}$

1.0

1.5

2.0

-0.5

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Squark Mass Spectrum
- 3. CP violations of K and B chromo-EDM of strange quark
- 4. Summary

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Squark Mass Spectrum

3. CP violations of K and B chromo-EDM of strange quark

4. Summary

2. Squark Mass Spectrum

• We consider squark-gluino interactions in B meson system



which depends on the squark and gluino mass spectrum

SUSY-GIM works

Carteright mixing of sbottom is important

Coop functions depend on sbottom-gluino mass ratio

We should consider SUSY particle spectrum, which is consistent with Higgs Discovery.



The quadratic terms in the MSSM potential

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \label{eq:linear_states} \ensuremath{\wedge} & & \ensuremath{\vee} V_2 = m_1^2 |H_1|^2 + m_2^2 |H_2|^2 + m_3^2 (H_1 \cdot H_2 + h.c.) \\ & & m_1^2 = m_{H_1}^2 + |\mu|^2 & m_2^2 = m_{H_2}^2 + |\mu|^2 \\ & & m_{\pm}^2 = \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2}\right) + m_3^2} \\ \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}_0 & & \ensuremath{\mathsf{Below}} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}_0 \text{ scale, SM} \\ & & V_{\mathrm{SM}} = -m^2 |H|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |H|^4 \\ \end{array}$$

We put the matching condition

$$m_{-}^2 = -m^2(Q_0)$$
 and $m_{+}^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m^2 \equiv m_{\mathcal{H}}^2$

by the fixing $m_3^4 = (m_1^2 + m^2)(m_2^2 + m^2)$

[Delgado, Garcia, Quiros, arXiv:1312.3235] ⁶/23

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{0} &+ m^{2} = \frac{m_{1}^{2} - m_{2}^{2} \tan^{2} \beta}{\tan^{2} \beta - 1} \\ \lambda &= \frac{1}{4} (g^{2} + g'^{2}) \cos^{2} 2\beta + \frac{3h_{t}^{2}}{8\pi^{2}} X_{t}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{X_{t}^{2}}{12}\right) \\ m_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} &= Q_{0}^{2} \\ \mu(Q_{0}) &= Q_{0} \end{aligned} \qquad X_{t} = \frac{A_{t}(Q_{0}) - \mu(Q_{0}) \cot \beta}{Q_{0}} \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{RGE's} &= \underbrace{\mathsf{SM Higgs} \ H = \cos \beta H_{1} + \sin \beta \tilde{H}_{2}}_{\mathsf{Heavy Higgs} \ \mathcal{H} = -\sin \beta H_{1} + \cos \beta \tilde{H}_{2}} \\ \mathbf{m}_{\mathsf{H}} &+ \underbrace{m_{H}^{2} = \lambda(m_{H})v^{2} = 2m^{2}(m_{H}), \quad v = 246 \text{GeV}}_{(126 \text{ GeV})^{2}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{where} &< H_{1} > = v_{d} = v \cos \beta \\ < H_{2} > = v_{u} = v \sin \beta \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} H > = v \\ \mathcal{H} > = 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

 Taking universal soft parameters at SUSY breaking scale Λ (gravity mediated-like model)

$$\begin{split} m_{\tilde{Q}_{i}}^{2}(\Lambda) &= m_{\tilde{U}_{i}^{c}}^{2}(\Lambda) = m_{\tilde{D}_{i}^{c}}^{2}(\Lambda) = m_{0}^{2} \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \ , \\ M_{1}(\Lambda) &= M_{2}(\Lambda) = M_{3}(\Lambda) = m_{1/2}, \ , \\ m_{H_{1}}^{2}(\Lambda) &= m_{H_{2}}^{2}(\Lambda) = m_{0}^{2} \ , \\ A_{U}(\Lambda) &= A_{0}y_{U}(\Lambda), \quad A_{D}(\Lambda) = A_{0}y_{D}(\Lambda), \quad A_{E}(\Lambda) = A_{0}y_{E}(\Lambda). \end{split}$$

6 parameters taking with $\mu=Q_0$

A, Q_0 , tan β , m_0 , $m_{1/2}$, A_0

• We tune $m_{1/2}$ and At to give m_H =126 GeV

$$Q_0 = 10 \text{TeV}$$
 $\Lambda = 10^{17} \text{TeV}$

RGE running



RGE running



Squark flavor mixing

- $\begin{array}{c|c} \text{ The gluino-squark-quark interaction} & \tilde{b} & s \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\tilde{g}q\tilde{q}) = -i\sqrt{2}g_s \sum_{\{q\}} \tilde{q}_i^*(T^a) \overline{\tilde{G}^a} \left[(\Gamma_{GL}^{(q)})_{ij}L + (\Gamma_{GR}^{(q)})_{ij}R \right] q_j + \text{h.c.} & \tilde{g} \\ \text{Rotation matrix :} & \\ \Gamma_{GL}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}^L & 0 & s_{13}^L e^{-i\phi_{13}^L} c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -s_{13}^L e^{-i\phi_{13}^L} s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \\ -s_{23}^L s_{13}^L e^{i(\phi_{13}^L \phi_{23}^L)} & c_{23}^L & s_{23}^L c_{13}^L e^{-i\phi_{23}^L} c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -s_{23}^L c_{13}^L e^{-i\phi_{23}^L} s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \\ -s_{13}^L c_{23}^L e^{i\phi_{13}^L} & -s_{23}^L e^{i\phi_{23}^L} & c_{13}^L c_{23}^L c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -s_{13}^L e^{-i\phi_{23}^L} s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \\ & -s_{13}^L c_{23}^L e^{i\phi_{13}^L} & -s_{23}^L e^{i\phi_{23}^L} & c_{13}^L c_{23}^L c_{\theta} & 0 & 0 & -s_{13}^L c_{23}^L s_{\theta} e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix} & \mathbf{q}_L \\ & & \mathbf{f}_{GR}^{(d)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & s_{13}^R s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi_{13}^R} e^{-i\phi} & c_{13}^R & 0 & s_{13}^R e^{-i\phi_{13}^R} c_{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & s_{23}^R c_{13}^R s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi_{23}^R} e^{-i\phi} & -s_{13}^R s_{23}^R e^{i\phi_{13}^R} & -s_{23}^R e^{i\phi_{23}^R} & c_{13}^R c_{23}^R c_{\theta} \\ 0 & 0 & c_{13}^R c_{23}^R s_{\theta} e^{-i\phi} & -s_{13}^R c_{23}^R e^{i\phi_{13}^R} & -s_{23}^R e^{i\phi_{23}^R} & c_{13}^R c_{23}^R c_{\theta} \end{pmatrix} & \mathbf{q}_R \end{array}$
- 1st and 2nd family squarks are degenerate: s₁₂=0
- Parameters Mixing parameter: s_{23}^L , s_{23}^R , s_{13}^L , s_{13}^R $\rightarrow |s_{23}^L| = |s_{23}^R| |s_{13}^L| = |s_{13}^R|$ Phase: ϕ Left-Right mixing angle: θ

Left-Right mixing angle θ

• Remark:

Dominant contributions of C_{_{8G}}, C_{_{7\gamma}} and cEDM come from Left-Right mixing θ

$$M_{\tilde{q}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\tilde{d}_{L}}^{2} & m_{b}(A_{b} - \mu \tan\beta) \\ m_{b}(A_{b} - \mu \tan\beta) & m_{\tilde{d}_{R}}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
 Third family
$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{2m_{b}(A_{b} - \mu \tan\beta)}{m_{\tilde{d}_{L}}^{2} - m_{\tilde{d}_{R}}^{2}}$$

$$\theta = 0.35^{\circ}$$

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Squark Mass Spectrum

3. CP violations of K and B chromo-EDM of strange quark

4. Summary







$S_{J/\psi K_s}$ - $| E_{\kappa} |$ tension

 $\frac{12}{23}$

• $|\epsilon_K|$ is given in terms of $\sin(2\beta)$ because there is only one CP violating phase in the SM.

• It is noticed that the consistency between the SM prediction and the experimental data in $\sin(2\beta)$ and $|\epsilon_K^{SM}|/\hat{B}_K$ is marginal.

Constraints

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}\overline{\mathbf{K}}, \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{B}}, \mathbf{B}\overline{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{mixing:} & \left| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{K} \right| \; \Delta M_{d} \; \Delta M_{s} \\ M_{12}^{q} &= \left\langle B_{q} \right| H_{\text{eff}} \left| \bar{B}_{q} \right\rangle = M_{12}^{q,\text{SM}} + M_{12}^{q,\text{SUSY}} \\ \Delta M_{d} &= 2 \left| M_{12}^{d} \right| \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{K} \propto \text{Im}(M_{12}^{K}) \\ & \left| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{K} \right| \propto s_{13}s_{23} \quad \Delta M_{d} \propto s_{13} \quad \Delta M_{s} \propto s_{23} \end{split}$$

Time dependent CP asymmetry : $S_{J/\psi K_S}$ $S_{J/\psi \phi}$

$$S_{J/\psi K_S} \rightarrow \sin(2\beta_{\rm SM} + \operatorname{Arg}(1 + \left|\frac{M_{12}^{\rm SM}}{M_{12}^{\rm SUSY}}\right|e^{2i\sigma})) \xrightarrow{B_d^0} S_{J/\psi\phi} \rightarrow \sin(-2\beta_{s,\rm SM} + \operatorname{Arg}(1 + \left|\frac{M_{s,12}^{\rm SM}}{M_{s,12}^{\rm SUSY}}\right|e^{2i\sigma_s}))$$

 $S_{J/\psi K_S} \propto s_{13}$ $S_{J/\psi \phi} \propto s_{23}$

(SM + SUSY

 $\frac{s}{\overline{d}}$

We scan s_{ii} randomly in the region of 0 \sim 0.5 with taking $|s_{ii}^{L}| = |s_{ii}^{R}|$

S₂₃

 $Sin(2\Phi_1)^{SUSY}/Sin(2\Phi_1)^{SUSY+SM}$

Sin(2Φs)^{SUSY}/Sin(2Φs)^{SUSY+SM}

¹⁴/₂₃

15/₂₃

Time dependent CP asymmetry $S_{\phi K_S}, S_{\eta' K^0}$

Time dependent CP asymmetry

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\Gamma\left(B^{0}(t) \to f\right) - \Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}(t) \to f\right)}{\Gamma\left(B^{0}(t) \to f\right) + \Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}(t) \to f\right)}$$
$$= \frac{|\lambda|^{2} - 1}{|\lambda|^{2} + 1} \cos\left(\Delta m_{B} t\right) + \frac{2\mathrm{Im}\lambda}{|\lambda|^{2} + 1} \sin\left(\Delta m_{B} t\right)$$
$$\int_{f}^{|\lambda|^{2} + 1} \left(\lambda = \frac{q}{p} \frac{\bar{A}}{\bar{A}}\right)$$

SM prediction

 $S_{J/\psi K_S} \simeq S_{\phi K_S, \eta' K_S}$

Both CP violations come from CP phase in the $B_d^0 - \overline{B}_d^0$ mixing.

SUSY contribution [S. Khalil , E. Kou(2003), A.L. Kagan(2002), M.Endo, S.Mishima, M.Yamaguchi (2005)]

$$O_{8G} = \frac{g_s}{16\pi^2} m_b \bar{s}_i \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_R T^a_{ij} b_j G^a_{\mu\nu}$$

$$A^{SUSY} \left(\bar{B}_d \to \phi K_s \right) \propto C^{\tilde{g}}_{8G} (m_b) + \tilde{C}^{\tilde{g}}_{8G} (m_b) \qquad \tilde{C} : C(L \Leftrightarrow R)$$

$$A^{SUSY} (\bar{B}_d \to \eta' K_s) \propto C^{\tilde{g}}_{8G} (m_b) - \tilde{C}^{\tilde{g}}_{8G} (m_b)$$

- $C^{\tilde{g}}_{8G}$ is depend on S₂₃
- Difference of sign comes from parity of final state

Time dependent CP asymmetry $S_{\phi K_S}, S_{\eta' K^0}$ $S_{\eta' K^0}$

insensitive...

Semi-leptonic CP asymmetry

• CP asymmetry in the semileptonic decay in $\overline{B}_q^0 \Longrightarrow B_q^0 \to \mu^+ X$

$$\begin{split} a_{sl}^{q} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{+} X\right) - \Gamma\left(B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-} X\right)}{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{+} X\right) + \Gamma\left(B_{q}^{0} \to \mu^{-} X\right)} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{SM} + \mathsf{SUSY}} \underbrace{\mathsf{SM} + \mathsf{SUSY}}_{\substack{W^{+} \\ \overline{D}_{d,s}^{0} \\ \overline{D}_{d,s}^{$$

SM predictions

[A.Lenz and U.Nierste, arXiv:1102.4274 [hep -ph]]

$$a_{sl}^{s,\text{SM}} = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$$

 $a_{sl}^{d\text{SM}} = -(4.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$

Experimental results [PDG 2012]

$$a_{sl}^s = (-0.24 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-2}$$
$$a_{sl}^d = (-0.3 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$$

 a_{sl}^d, a_{sl}^s

-
$$a^d_{sl}$$
 is depend on s_{13} , a^s_{sl} is depend on s_{23}

Semi-leptonic CP asymmetry a_{sl}^d, a_{sl}^s

insensitive...

19₂₃

Chromo-EDM of strange quark

²⁰/₂₃

Chromo-EDM of strange quark vs. $\varepsilon_{\rm K}$

 $|d_s^C| \propto s_{23}^L c_{13}^L s_{23}^R c_{13}^R \sin(2\theta) e^{-i(\phi_{23}^L + \phi_{23}^R + \phi)}$

²¹/₂₃

Chromo-EDM of strange quark vs. $\varepsilon_{\rm K}$

Contents

- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Squark Mass Spectrum
- 3. CP violations of K and B chromo-EDM of strange quark
- 4. Summary

4. Summary

• We examined the sensitivity of High scale SUSY, in which squark spectrum is consistent with Higgs mass

	(a) $Q_0 = 10 \text{ TeV}$	(b) $Q_0 = 50 \text{ TeV}$
\checkmark $ \epsilon_K $	40%	35%
$S_{J/\psi K_S}$	6%	0.1%
$S_{J/\psi\phi}$	8%	0.1%
ΔM_{B^0}	6%	0.1%
ΔM_{B_s}	0.4%	0.005%
$ S_{\phi K_S}/S_{\eta' K^0} - 1$	0.2%	0.001%
$BR(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$	0.3%	0.001%
$\left a_{sl}^{d}\right $	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-3}$	$\leq 8 \times 10^{-4}$
$\left a_{sl}^{s}\right $	$\leq 5 \times 10^{-5}$	$\leq 4 \times 10^{-5}$
$\checkmark [d_s^{\overline{C}}]$	$\leq 4 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{cm}$	$\leq 1 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}$

4. Summary

• We examined the sensitivity of High scale SUSY, in which squark spectrum is consistent with Higgs mass

4. Summary

• We examined the sensitivity of High scale SUSY, in which squark spectrum is consistent with Higgs mass

Backup

	Input at Λ and Q_0	Output at Q_0
Case (a)	at $\Lambda = 10^{17}$ GeV,	$m_{\tilde{g}} = 12.8 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{W}} = 5.2 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{B}} = 2.9 \text{ TeV}$
	$m_0 = 10 \text{ TeV},$	$m_{\tilde{b}_L} = m_{\tilde{t}_L} = 12.2 \text{ TeV}$
	$m_{1/2} = 6.2 \text{ TeV},$	$m_{\tilde{b}_R} = 14.1 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{t}_R} = 8.4 \text{ TeV}$
	$A_0 = 25.803 \text{ TeV};$	$m_{\tilde{s}_L,\tilde{d}_L} = m_{\tilde{c}_L,\tilde{u}_L} = 15.1 \text{ TeV}$
	at $Q_0 = 10$ TeV,	$m_{\tilde{s}_R,\tilde{d}_R} \simeq m_{\tilde{c}_R,\tilde{u}_R} = 14.6 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\mathcal{H}} = 13.7 \text{ TeV}$
	$\mu = 10 \text{ TeV},$	$A_t = -1.2 \text{ TeV}, \ A_b = 5.1 \text{ TeV}, \ X_t = -0.22$
	$\tan\beta=10$	$\lambda_H = 0.126, \ \theta = 0.35^{\circ}$
Case (b)	at $\Lambda = 10^{16}$ GeV,	$m_{\tilde{g}} = 115.6 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{W}} = 55.4 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{B}} = 33.45 \text{ TeV}$
	$m_0 = 50 \text{ TeV},$	$m_{\tilde{b}_L} = m_{\tilde{t}_L} = 100.9 \text{ TeV}$
	$m_{1/2} = 63.5 \text{ TeV},$	$m_{\tilde{b}_R} = 104.0 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{t}_R} = 83.2 \text{ TeV}$
	$A_0 = 109.993 \text{ TeV};$	$m_{\tilde{s}_L, \tilde{d}_L} = m_{\tilde{c}_L, \tilde{u}_L} = 110.7 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\tilde{s}_R, \tilde{d}_R} = 110.7 \text{ TeV}$
	at $Q_0 = 50$ TeV,	$m_{\tilde{c}_R,\tilde{u}_R} = 105.0 \text{ TeV}, \ m_{\mathcal{H}} = 83.1 \text{ TeV}$
	$\mu = 50 \text{ TeV},$	$A_t = -20.2 \text{ TeV}, \ A_b = 4.7 \text{ TeV}, \ X_t = -0.65$
	$\tan\beta=4$	$\lambda_H = 0.1007, \ \theta = 0.05^{\circ}$

Table 1: Input parameters at Λ and obtained the SUSY spectra in the cases of (a) and (b).

 $\overline{m}_t(m_t) = 163.5 \pm 2 \text{ GeV}$

[Delgado, Garcia, Quiros, arXiv:1312.3235]

Figure 4: Contour lines of constant $\log_{10}[\mathcal{M}/\text{GeV}]$ in the $(\tan\beta, X_t)$ plane for $X_t \ge 0$ (left panel) and $X_t < 0$ (right panel).

[Delgado, Garcia, Quiros, arXiv:1312.3235]

$\Delta F=2 \text{ process} : K-\overline{K}, B-\overline{B}, Bs-\overline{Bs} \text{ mixing } |\epsilon_K| \Delta M_d \Delta M_s$

 $|\epsilon_K^{exp}| = (2.228 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{-3}$ $\Delta M_s = (116.942 \pm 0.1564) \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}$ $\Delta M_d = (3.337 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-13} \text{GeV}$

$\Delta F=1 \text{ process}$: Time dependent CP asymmetry $S_{J/\psi K_S} S_{J/\psi \phi}$

 $S_{J/\psi K_S} = 0.679 \pm 0.020$ $S_{J/\psi \phi} \ \phi_s = 0.07 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.01$

Complementarity of Belle II and LHCb

Observable	Expected th.	Expected exp.	Facility	
	accuracy	uncertainty		
CKM matrix				
$ V_{ns} [K \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu]$	**	0.1%	K-factory	
$ V_{cb} [B \rightarrow X_c \ell \nu]$	**	1%	Belle II	
$ V_{ub} [B_d \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu]$	*	4%	Belle II	
$sin(2\phi_1) [c\bar{c}K_S^0]$	***	$8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	Belle II/LHCb	
φ2		1.5°	Belle II	
<i>\$</i> 2	***	39	LHCb	
CPV				
$S(B_s \rightarrow \psi \phi)$	**	0.01	LHCb	
$S(B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi)$	**	0.05	LHCb	
$S(B_d \rightarrow \phi K)$	656	0.05	Belle II/LHCb	
$S(B_d \rightarrow \eta' K)$	***	0.02	Belle II	
$S(B_d \rightarrow K^*(\rightarrow K^0_S \pi^0)\gamma))$	***	0.03	Belle II	
$S(B_s \rightarrow \phi_{\gamma}))$	***	0.05	LHCb	
$S(B_d \rightarrow \rho \gamma))$		0.15	Belle II	
A_{SL}^d	686	0.001	LHCb	
AsL	***	0.001	LHCb	
$A_{CF}(B_d \rightarrow s\gamma)$	*	0.005	Belle II	
rare decays				
$B(B \rightarrow \tau \nu)$	**	3%	Belle II	
$B(B \rightarrow D\tau\nu)$		3%	Belle II	
$B(B_d \rightarrow \mu\nu)$	88	6%	Belle II	
$\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu)$	***	10%	LHCb	
zero of $A_{FB}(B \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu)$	**	0.05	LHCb	
$B(B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\nu\nu)$	***	30%	Belle II	
$B(B \rightarrow s\gamma)$	KEK-PH2	013 Fal4%	Belle II	
$B(B_s \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$		$0.25 \cdot 10^{-6}$	Belle II (with 5 ab ⁻¹)	
-		-	-	

20131001

27

[A.Ishikawa talk (KEK-TH2013)]

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
		precision	2018	(50 fb^{-1})	uncertainty
B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s o J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003
	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17[10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01
	$A_{\mathrm{fs}}(B^0_s)$	$6.4 imes 10^{-3}$ [18]	$0.6 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.03 imes 10^{-3}$
Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$	-	0.17	0.03	0.02
penguin	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	-	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K_S^0)$	0.17[18]	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma)$	-	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
currents	$ au^{ m eff}(B^0_s o \phi \gamma)/ au_{B^0_s}$	-	5%	1 %	0.2%
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08[14]	0.025	0.008	0.02
penguin	$s_0 A_{FB}(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25 % [14]	6%	2 %	7%
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 { m GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.25[15]	0.08	0.025	~ 0.02
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25 % [16]	8%	2.5 %	$\sim 10 \%$
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	1.5×10^{-9} [2]	0.5×10^{-9}	0.15×10^{-9}	0.3×10^{-9}
penguin	${\cal B}(B^0 ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) / {\cal B}(B^0_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$	-	$\sim 100~\%$	$\sim 35~\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \rightarrow D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	~ 10–12° [19, 20]	4°	0.9°	negligible
triangle	$\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	-	11°	2.0°	negligible
angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \ K_S^0)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible
Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	0.40×10^{-3}	0.07×10^{-3}	-
CP violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	_

Table 1: Statistical sensitivities of the LHCb upgrade to key observables. For each observable the current sensitivity is compared to that which will be achieved by LHCb before the upgrade, and that which will be achieved with $50 \,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ by the upgraded experiment. Systematic uncertainties are expected to be non-negligible for the most precisely measured quantities.

The cEDM of the strange quark from gluino contribution is given by [65]

$$d_s^C(Q_0) = -2\sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s(m_{\tilde{g}})} \operatorname{Im}[A_s^{g22}(Q_0)], \qquad (64)$$

where

$$A_{s}^{g22}(Q_{0}) = -\frac{\alpha_{s}(m_{\tilde{g}})}{4\pi} \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{2m_{\tilde{d}_{3}}^{2}} \left\{ \left(m_{s}(\lambda_{GLL}^{(d)})_{3}^{22} + m_{s}(\lambda_{GRR}^{(d)})_{3}^{22} \right) \left(9F_{1}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) + F_{2}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) \right) + m_{\tilde{g}}(\lambda_{GLR}^{(d)})_{3}^{22} \left(9F_{3}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) + F_{4}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) \right) \right\}$$

$$(65)$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(-\left(\lambda_{g}^{(d)} \right)_{3}^{22} + m_{g}^{(d)} \right)_{3}^{22} \left(9F_{3}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) + F_{4}(x_{\tilde{g}}^{3}) \right) \right\}$$

$$(65)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2m_{\tilde{d}_6}^2}\left\{\left(m_s(\lambda_{GLL}^{(d)})_6^{22}+m_s(\lambda_{GRR}^{(d)})_6^{22}\right)\left(9F_1(x_{\tilde{g}}^6)+F_2(x_{\tilde{g}}^6)\right)+m_{\tilde{g}}(\lambda_{GLR}^{(d)})_6^{22}\left(9F_3(x_{\tilde{g}}^6)+F_4(x_{\tilde{g}}^6)\right)\right\}\right].$$

Including the QCD correction, we get

$$d_s^C(2\text{GeV}) = d_s^C(Q_0) \left(\frac{\alpha_s(Q_0)}{\alpha_s(m_{\tilde{g}})}\right)^{\frac{14}{15}} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_{\tilde{g}})}{\alpha_s(m_t)}\right)^{\frac{14}{21}} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_t)}{\alpha_s(m_b)}\right)^{\frac{14}{23}} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(2\text{GeV})}\right)^{\frac{14}{25}} .$$
(66)

Factorization relation

$$\langle O_3 \rangle = \langle O_4 \rangle = \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_c} \right) \langle O_5 \rangle, \quad \langle O_6 \rangle = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle O_5 \rangle,$$
$$\langle O_{8G} \rangle = \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{8\pi} \left(-\frac{2m_b}{\sqrt{\langle q^2 \rangle}} \right) \left(\langle O_4 \rangle + \langle O_6 \rangle - \frac{1}{N_c} (\langle O_3 \rangle + \langle O_5 \rangle) \right),$$

[R. Harnik, D. T. Larson, H. Murayama and A. Pierce (2004)]