# Effects of quark chemical equilibration on thermal photon elliptic flow

## Akihiko Monnai

RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

E-mail: amonnai@riken.jp

**Abstract.** Large hadronic elliptic flow  $v_2$  is considered as an evidence for the existence of a strongly-coupled QGP fluid in high-energy heavy-ion collisions. On the other hand, direct photon  $v_2$  has recently been found to be much larger than that of hydrodynamic estimations, which is recognized as "photon  $v_2$  puzzle". In this study, I discuss the implication of late production of quarks in an initially gluon-rich medium because photons are coupled to quarks. Numerical analyses imply that thermal photon  $v_2$  can be visibly enhanced. This indicates that interplay of equilibration processes and collective expansion would be important.

#### 1. Introduction

Azimuthal anisotropy of particle spectra is a unique observable in heavy-ion collisions. The second harmonics of Fourier expansion of hadronic spectra, elliptic flow  $v_2$ , is proposed as a useful quantity in non-central collisions because it would reflect the magnitude of interaction in the quark-gluon medium [1, 2]. Experimental data of Au-Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV at RHIC show sizable hadronic  $v_2$  which is in remarkable agreement with fluid dynamic description, leading to an understanding that the bulk medium is strongly-coupled in the vicinity of QCD crossover. This is reconfirmed in Pb-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$  TeV at LHC, and higher-order harmonics  $v_n$ , which come from geometrical fluctuation, are also shown to be consistent with hydrodynamic description [3].

Given the success of hydrodynamic models so far, excess of direct photon  $v_2$  compared with the model predictions was a surprising discovery [4, 5]. Here direct photons are defined as the sum of thermal photons, which are emitted softly from the medium, and prompt photons, which are created in the initial hard processes (Fig. 1). The QCD medium is considered to be transparent in terms of electromagnetic interaction. Direct photon  $v_2$  was expected to be much smaller than the hadronic one because the momentum anisotropy of photons would be acquired only indirectly through that of emission sources, *i.e.*, quarks in the QGP phase and hadrons in the hadronic phase. There also is an experimental indication that photon  $v_3$  is large. There are a number of possible solutions, which may be categorized as follows: (i) modification of thermal photon emission rates, (ii) modification of prompt photon emission rates, (iii) introduction of other photon emission sources, (iv) introduction of medium-photon interactions, (v) modification of the bulk medium evolution and (vi) improvement of the experimental data. Here I focus on (i) and (v) and discuss the effects of quark chemical equilibration in the bulk medium [6] on thermal photon emission rates in early stages of hydrodynamic evolution because the color glass theory indicates that the medium can be initially gluon-rich [7, 8].



Figure 1. A schematic picture of the high-energy heavy-ion collision from the viewpoint of photons. Decay photons originate from hadronic decay.

# 2. Hydrodynamics in chemical non-equilibrium

Most modern hydrodynamic models assume that thermal and chemical equilibrations occur simultaneously even though the precise mechanism of the local equilibration is not well known. Since the colliding nuclei are considered to be described as saturated gluons, there are indications that chemical equilibration can be slower than thermalization [9], a requirement for defining the temperature in hydrodynamics. If the quark number is smaller than that at equilibrium at early times, photon emission is suppressed because photons are coupled to quarks but not to gluons. This could be an enhancement mechanism for thermal photon  $v_2$  because the contribution of the photons with larger anisotropy is effectively enhanced [10, 11].

The chemically non-equilibrated hydrodynamic model is formulated as follows. The equation of motion for the system is energy-momentum conservation  $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$  and rate equations for the quark and the gluon number currents

$$\partial_{\mu}N_{q}^{\mu} = 2r_{b}n_{g} - 2r_{b}\frac{n_{g}^{\rm eq}}{(n_{q}^{\rm eq})^{2}}n_{q}^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\partial_{\mu}N_{g}^{\mu} = (r_{a} - r_{b})n_{g} - r_{a}\frac{1}{n_{g}^{\text{eq}}}n_{g}^{2} + r_{b}\frac{n_{g}^{\text{eq}}}{(n_{q}^{\text{eq}})^{2}}n_{q}^{2} + r_{c}n_{q} - r_{c}\frac{1}{n_{g}^{\text{eq}}}n_{q}n_{g}, \qquad (2)$$

where the processes  $g \rightleftharpoons g + g$ ,  $g \rightleftharpoons q + \bar{q}$  and  $q(\bar{q}) \rightleftharpoons q(\bar{q}) + g$  (denoted by the subscripts a, b and c, respectively) are considered. Note that the four-gluon vertex process is suppressed by additional  $\alpha_s$ . Here the net baryon density is assumed to be vanishing. An inviscid case is considered for simplicity, though introduction of viscosity to the formalism is straightforward.  $n_q$  and  $n_g$  are the quark and the gluon number densities defined in the tensor decomposition  $N_q^{\mu} = n_q u^{\mu}$  and  $N_g^{\mu} = n_g u^{\mu}$  where  $u^{\mu}$  is the flow.  $r_a, r_b$  and  $r_c$  are the chemical reaction rates. Since the pair production/annihilation is the only quark number changing process considered here,  $1/r_b$  roughly corresponds to the quark chemical relaxation time of the system.  $n_q^{\text{eq}}$  and  $n_g^{\text{eq}}$  are the equilibrium densities introduced for the parton numbers to be conserved in equilibrium. They are determined using the parton gas picture with  $N_f = 2$ . Thermalization is assumed to be quick enough to restore thermal equilibrium right after the splitting and merging processes occur. Note that the above rate equations are valid only in the QGP phase. The system is simply assumed to be equilibrated below the crossover temperature.

The QGP photon emission rate is modified by simply factoring the phase-space distributions by  $n_q/n_q^{\text{eq}}$  or  $n_g/n_g^{\text{eq}}$  [12]. The hadronic photon emission rate [13, 14] is unchanged because the system is assumed to be in chemical equilibrium in the hadronic phase. They are smoothly connected by a hyperbolic function at  $T_c = 0.17$  GeV with  $\Delta T_c = 0.017$  GeV [10]. The background medium is estimated with a boost-invariant (2+1)-dimensional hydrodynamic model. The hydrodynamic input for numerical estimations is summarized in Table 1.

## 3. Numerical results

Differential elliptic flow of the thermal photons  $v_2(p_T)$  and time evolution of the quark number density  $n_q(t)$  in and out of chemical equilibrium are shown in Fig. 2.  $v_2(p_T)$  is found to become

Fluid properties	Description
Hadronic EoS	Hadron resonance gas $(m_h \le 2.5 \text{ GeV})$
QGP EoS	Parton gas $(N_f = 2)$
Chemical reaction rate	$r_i = c_i T \ (i = a, b, c)$
Initial conditions	Description
Gluon energy density $e_g(\tau_0, x, y)$	Glauber model (Au-Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, $b = 7$ fm) [15]
Quark energy density $e_q(\tau_0, x, y)$	0 GeV·fm <sup>-3</sup>
Gluon number density $n_g(\tau_0, x, y)$	$n_g^{eq} + n_q^{eq}/2$
Quark number density $n_q(\tau_0, x, y)$	0 fm <sup>-3</sup>
Initial time $\tau_0$	0.4 fm/c

Table 1. Input to chemically non-equilibrated hydrodynamic model

visibly larger for slower quark production because the contribution of the hadronic photons becomes effectively large. The quark chemical reaction rate parameter is varied as  $c_b = 0.2, 0.5$ and 2.0 where  $c_a = c_c = 1.5$  are fixed. The equilibrium result is recovered in the large  $c_b$  limit. Estimated chemical relaxation times for the chosen parameters are  $\tau_{\rm ch} \sim 1/c_b T \sim 2.0, 0.5$  and 0.2 fm/c for the average temperature  $T \sim 0.2$  GeV, which are consistent with the numerical results. It should be noted that  $n_q$  does not converge to  $n_q^{\rm eq}$  from the parton gas picture near and below the crossover (indicated by thin lines in the figure) because the information of the hadronic phase is embedded in the EoS and thus is the hydrodynamic flow.



Figure 2. (a) Thermal photon  $v_2(p_T)$  and (b) quark number density  $n_q(t)$  for different quark chemical equilibration rates.

Figure 3 shows the numerical results for several different choices of the chemical equilibration rates for gluon splitting/merging and gluon emission from/absorption into quarks. The reaction rate parameters are varied as  $c_a = c_c = 0.0, 1.5$  and 3.0 for the fixed  $c_b = 0.5$ . One can see that  $v_2(p_T)$  becomes smaller for slower quark-number conserving chemical equilibration, though the dependences on  $c_a$  and  $c_c$  are weaker than that on  $c_b$ . This is because gluons are initially overpopulated and the recombination processes become dominant for gluons. The quark number density is indirectly suppressed by faster gluon recombination at early times.

A source of overestimation is the fact that the vanishing quark number density is assumed in the initial condition, while initial sea quarks and pre-thermal chemical equilibration will lead to a finite number of quarks at the beginning of the hydrodynamic stage. This is effectively taken into account by varying the reaction rates. Also dynamical effects on the EoS itself are important [16], as thermal photons are expected to be sensitive to them.



Figure 3. (a) Thermal photon  $v_2(p_T)$  and (b) quark number density  $n_g(t)$  for different gluon chemical equilibration rates.

## 4. Conclusion and outlook

I estimated the effects of quark chemical equilibration in the hydrodynamic stage on thermal photon  $v_2$ . The newly-developed (2+1)-dimensional ideal hydrodynamic model is coupled to the rate equations for the parton number densities in the QGP phase. Thermal photon  $v_2$  is found to become visibly larger when the quark chemical equilibration is slow because of the initial suppression of the photons with small anisotropy. Here the medium can initially be gluonrich due to the fact that colliding nuclei are described as the color glass condensate. This can partially explain the recently observed excessive photon  $v_2$ . Late gluon chemical equilibration slightly reduces  $v_2$  but the dominant process for the modification is the quark pair production and annihilation. The results imply the importance of considering chemical imbalance of a QCD medium and subsequent equilibration processes in heavy-ion physics.

Future prospects include the estimation of prompt photons, which might wash some of the enhancement effects out of direct photon  $v_2$ . Also the chemical equilibration rates, like the equation of state and viscous coefficients, should be constrained by microscopic theories. Modification of the transport coefficients due to off-equilibrium chemical composition of the bulk medium would be worth-investigating. An additional  $v_2$  enhancement mechanism [17] may also be required to avoid too much suppression of the total yield of photons.

### Acknowledgments

The work is inspired by fruitful discussion with Müller B. The author would like to thank for valuable comments by Akiba Y and McLerran L on the paper. The work is supported by RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researcher program.

#### References

- [1] Ollitrault J -Y 1992 Phys. Rev. D 46 229
- [2] Poskanzer A M and Voloshin S A 1998 Phys. Rev. C 58 1671
- [3] Schenke B, Jeon S and Gale C 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 042301
- [4] Adare A et al. [PHENIX Collaboration] 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 122302
- [5] Lohner D [ALICE Collaboration] 2013 J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 446 012028
- [6] Gelis F, Kajantie K and Lappi T 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 032304
- [7] McLerran L D and Venugopalan R 1994 Phys. Rev. D 49 2233
- [8] McLerran L D and Venugopalan R 1994 Phys. Rev. D 49 3352
- [9] Monnai A and Müller B 2014 arXiv:1403.7310 [hep-ph]
- [10] Monnai A 2014 Phys. Rev. C 90 021901
- [11] Monnai A 2014 arXiv:1410.8621 [nucl-th]
- [12] Traxler C T and Thoma M H 1996 Phys. Rev. C 53 1348
- [13] Turbide S, Rapp R and Gale C 2004 Phys. Rev. C 69 014903
- [14] Arleo F et al. 2003 arXiv:hep-ph/0311131
- [15] Kolb P F, Sollfrank J and Heinz U W 2000 Phys. Rev. C 62 054909
- [16] Gelis F, Niemi H, Ruuskanen P V and Rasanen S S 2004 J. Phys. G 30 S1031
- [17] Monnai A 2014 arXiv:1408.1410 [nucl-th]