

Contribution ID: 18

Type: Oral presentation

Is \hat{q} a physical quantity or just a parameter? and other unanswered questions in High- p_T Physics}

Wednesday 10 September 2014 09:00 (40 minutes)

The many different theoretical studies of energy loss of a quark or gluon with their color charges fully exposed passing through a medium with a large density of similarly exposed color charges (i.e. a QGP), have one thing in common: the transport coefficient of a gluon in the medium, denoted \hat{q} , which is defined from the mean 4-momentum transfer²/collision but is expressed as the mean 4-momentum transfer² per mean free path of a gluon in the medium. Thus the mean 4-momentum transfer² for a gluon traversing length L in the medium is,

 $\langle q^2(L) \rangle = \hat{q} \, L = \mu^2 \, L/\lambda_{\rm mfp}$, where $\lambda_{\rm mfp}$ is the mean free path for a gluon interaction in the medium, and μ , the mean momentum transfer per collision, is the Debye screening mass acquired by gluons in the medium. In this, the original BDMPSZ formalism, the energy loss of an outgoing parton due to coherent gluon bremsstrahlung per unit length (x) of the medium, -dE/dx, takes the form:

 $\$ \frac{-dE}{dx} \simeq \alpha_s \left\langle q^2(L) \right\rangle = \alpha_s \, \hat{q} \, L = \alpha_s \, \mu^2 \, L/\lambda_{\rm mfp}$, so that the total energy loss in the medium goes like L^2. Also the accumulated trade. L.

A simple estimate shows that the $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} \{k_{\perp}\}\}\right > \approx\hat\{q\}\$, L should be observable at RHIC via the broadening of di-hadron azimuthal correlations. For a trigger particle with $p_{\poundark{$\setminus$}} \{T_{\poundark{$\setminus$}} t\}$, assume that the away-parton traverses slightly more than half the diameter of the QGP for central collisions of Au+Au, say 8 fm. This corresponds to $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t < \{k_{\poundark{$\setminus$}} t > t \} \$ $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 8 \ GeV2$, for $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 1 \ GeV2$ /fm, compared to the measured $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t < \{k_{\poundark{$\setminus$}} t > t \} \$ $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 8 \ GeV2$, for $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 1 \ GeV2$ /fm, compared to the measured $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t < \{k_{\poundark{$\setminus$}} t > t \} \$ $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 1 \ GeV$ /right $\ensuremath{\mbox{$\setminus$}} t = 1 \ GeV$

Other topics to be discussed include the danger of using forward energy to define centrality in p(d)+A collisions for high p_T measurements, the danger of not using comparison p-p data at the same $\sqrt\{s\}$ in the same detector for $R\{AA\}$ or lately for $R_\{pA\}$ measurements. Also, based on a comment at last year's 9th workshop that the parton energy loss is proportional to $dN_\{\sln mch\}/d\sln et a$, results on the dependence of the shift in the p_T spectra in A+A collisions from the $T_\{AA\}$ -scaled p-p\$spectrum(tobepresented indetail in another talk) will be further discussed.

Author: TANNENBAUM, Michael (Brookhaven National Laboratory (US))

Presenter: TANNENBAUM, Michael (Brookhaven National Laboratory (US))

Session Classification: QCD and medium effect