

Alex Pomarol, UAB (Barcelona)



The most important oschiese here en en the statt he late the late of the Higgs



Don't panic! It's not a Tecni-Higgs, It looks a lot the SM Higgs (at least in a first approximation)



The SM is established!

But the hierarchy problem still lingering... demanding TeV new-physics that doesn't show up!

Not necessary should follow the "accidents" of the SM

B violations: Proton decay $A \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ L violation: Larger neutrino masses $A \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ Flavor violations $A \gtrsim 10^5 \text{ TeV}$ CP violation: EDMs $A \gtrsim 10 \text{ TeV}$

Not necessary should follow the "accidents" of the SM

B violations: Proton decay → Λ≥10¹⁵ GeV L violation: Larger neutrino masses $\rightarrow \Lambda \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ Flavor violations $\rightarrow \Lambda \gtrsim 10^5 \text{ TeV}$ (e cm) Simplified model with maximal CP 10⁻²² phase **EDMs** 10 ► Λ≥ I0 TeV Own quark Ef 10 Neutron EDM bound 10⁻²⁸ Electron EDM bound -1) 10¹ 10² 1 \tilde{e}_R MSUSY (TeV) $\stackrel{\text{(J. Hisano Moriond 14)}}{\bar{R}}$

Not necessary should follow the "accidents" of the SM

we are **forced** to demand these symmetries to *natural* BSM B violations: Proton decay $A \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ L violation: Larger neutrino masses $A \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ Flavor violations $A \gtrsim 10^5 \text{ TeV}$ CP violation: EDMs

► Λ≳I0 TeV

But no sign of BSM effects in \sim millions of Z:



But no sign of BSM effects in \sim millions of Z:





But no sign of BSM effects in \sim millions of Z:





Expected from strongly-coupled BSM:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}} \sim O(1) \text{ effects}$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} \sim (m_W/\Lambda)^2 \sim 0.01$$

T could be made small by symmetries (custodial) but no S

touching the "BSM's bones"

But no sign of BSM effects in \sim millions of Z:





Expected from strongly-coupled BSM:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}} \sim O(1)$$
 effects
 $\hat{\mathbf{S}} \sim (m_W/\Lambda)^2 \sim 0.01$

T could be made small by symmetries (custodial) but no S

touching the "BSM's bones"

But "one swallow doesn't make a spring"

LEP: First important place for *natural* theories to show up But no sign of BSM effects in \sim millions of Z: Z 0.01 In the supersymmetric SM: 0.009 0.008 stops 0.007 Ζ \widehat{T} 0.006 0.00 mн ~ 100 GeV Higgsless $\hat{T} \sim O(10^{-2})$ (a la QCD) 0.004 X 0.003 mн ~ I TeV stop mass > 300 GeV 0.003 0.004 0.005 0.006 0.007 0.008

LHC: Second important place for natural theories to show up

the Higgs discovery has provided a new

"handle" to catch BSMs



With the **Higgs**, we have had access to new relevant information by measuring its **properties**



The Higgs is usually the most "sensitive" SM particle to new-physics **Examples:**



Examples:



Consequences:

Even with less statistics at the LHC, similar impact today in new-physics as LEP

LEP: ee \rightarrow Z (\rightarrow ff) ~ 10⁶ event LHC: pp \rightarrow h (\rightarrow YY) ~ 10³ events First question to answer:

What are the most relevant Higgs couplings to measure? Higgs physic:

EW obs.

probes testing new directions in the "parameter space" of BSMs

Model independent analysis

Assuming a large new-physics scale: $\Lambda > m_W$ (as LHC suggests)



give the leading deviations to SM Higgs physics from BSM

Only <u>8 Higgs couplings</u> (assuming CP-conservation and family universality)
 can be modified by new-physics, not affecting anything else

Coming from dimension-6 operators whose effects on the vacuum, H = v, give only a redefinition of the SM couplings:





Not physical!

But can affect **Higgs** physics:



There are 8 operators of this type

for one family (assuming CP-conservation)



(assuming CP-conservation)

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{BSM} = \frac{\delta g_{hff}}{\delta f_L f_R} h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c. \qquad (f=b, \tau, t) \\ + \frac{g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}} h \left[W^{+\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2\cos^2 \theta_W} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right] \\ + \frac{\kappa_{GG}}{v} \frac{h}{v} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \\ + \frac{\kappa_{\gamma\gamma}}{v} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F^{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} \\ + \frac{\kappa_{\gamma Z}}{v} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F^{Z}_{\mu\nu} \\ + \frac{\delta g_{3h}}{v} h^3$$

(assuming CP-conservation)

$$\begin{split} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{BSM}} &= \delta g_{hff} h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c. \quad (\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{b}, \tau, \mathbf{t}) \\ &+ g_{hVV} h \left[W^{+\,\mu} W^{-}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2 \cos^2 \theta_W} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right] \\ &+ \kappa_{GG} \frac{h}{v} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma\,\mu\nu} F^{\gamma}_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{important:} \\ &+ \kappa_{\gamma Z} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma\,\mu\nu} F^{Z}_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \delta g_{3h} h^3 \end{split}$$

(assuming CP-conservation)

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{BSM} = \begin{cases} \delta g_{hff} h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c. & (f=b, \tau, t) \\ + g_{hVV} h \left[W^{+\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2\cos^2 \theta_W} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right] \\ + \kappa_{GG} \frac{h}{v} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \\ + \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma} \\ + \kappa_{\gamma Z} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{Z} \\ + \delta g_{3h} h^3 \end{cases}$$

6

Higgs coupling determination



All parameters floating and $\kappa_v \leq 1$

(assuming CP-conservation)

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{BSM} = \delta g_{hff} h \bar{f}_L f_R + h.c. \qquad (f=b, \tau, t)$$
6 measured
at the LHC
$$+ g_{hVV} h \left[W^{+\mu} W_{\mu}^{-} + \frac{1}{2\cos^2 \theta_W} Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} \right]$$

$$+ \kappa_{GG} \frac{h}{v} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}$$

$$+ \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma}$$

$$+ \kappa_{\gammaZ} \frac{h}{v} F^{\gamma \mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^{Z} \qquad h \rightarrow Z\gamma$$

$$+ \delta g_{3h} h^3 \qquad \text{Affects h}^3:$$
It can be measured
in the far future by
GG \rightarrow hh

Experimental bound on $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$



... last hope for finding O(I) deviations?

BR($h \rightarrow Z\gamma$)~0.001 small in the SM since it comes at one-loop:

Prospects for 3h-coupling



from G.Panico's talk at "BSM Higgs Workshop@LPC" Natural expectations for primary Higgs couplings



MSSM with heavy spectrum (>100 GeV)

Main effects from the **2nd Higgs doublet:**



Superpartners can only modify Higgs couplings at the loop-level: Only stops/sbottoms give some contribution to hgg/hYY (not very large)

Relevant plane for susy Higgs couplings:



Relevant plane for susy Higgs couplings:



Relevant plane for susy Higgs couplings:



from arXiv:1212.524

(data before Moriond 13)

Higgs coupling measurements are already ruling out susy-parameter space



Higgs coupling measurements are already ruling out susy-parameter space



Composite Higgs

Composite PGB Higgs couplings

Couplings dictated by symmetries (as in the QCD chiral Lagrangian)

Giudice, Grojean, AP, Rattazzi 07

$$\frac{g_{hWW}}{g_{hWW}^{\rm SM}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}}$$

f = Decay-constant of the PGB Higgs
 related to the compositeness scale
(model dependent but expected f ~ v)

Composite PGB Higgs couplings

Couplings dictated by symmetries (as in the QCD chiral Lagrangian) Giudice, Grojean, AP, Rattazzi 07 Untitled-1 ${\mathcal M}$ model dependent but expected $f \sim v$) 1000 1500 sh Also affects the Z propagator, whose properties were **►** $\xi = (v/f)^2 ≤ 0.1$ well-measured at LEP or, equivalently: δg_{hWW} $\lesssim 5\%$

Composite PGB Higgs couplings

Couplings dictated by symmetries (as in the QCD chiral Lagrangian)

 $\frac{g_{hWW}}{g_{hWW}^{\rm SM}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} f = \\ f = \\ re \end{array}$

f = Decay-constant of the PGB Higgs
related to the compositeness scale
(model dependent but expected f ~ v)

Giudice, Grojean, AP, Rattazzi 07

AP,Riva 12

small deviations on the $h\gamma\gamma(gg)$ -coupling due to the Goldstone nature of the Higgs



observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit of $\xi < 0.12 (0.29)$ MCHM4 $\xi < 0.15 (0.20)$ MCHM5



"mass term"-

Corrections to $hZ\gamma$ -coupling <u>not</u> protected by the PGB symmetry

Corrections to $h\gamma\gamma(gg)$ -coupling protected by the PGB symmetry

 $|H|^2 G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$

 $D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}HB^{\mu
u}$



Corrections to $hZ\gamma$ -coupling <u>not</u> protected by the PGB symmetry

Corrections to $h\gamma\gamma(gg)$ -coupling protected by the PGB symmetry

"mass term"-

 $|H|^2 G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$

 $D_{\mu}H^{\dagger}D_{\nu}HB^{\mu
u}$

A.Azatov, R.Contino, A.DiIura, J.Galloway 13

Going beyond the MSSM and MCHM

Towards a more extended "cartography" of natural BSMs PGB

Composite Higgs

> Elementary Higgs (SUSY)

Towards a more extended "cartography" of natural **BSMs** PGB

Composite Higgs Mostly unexplored territory Susy + TeV Strong dynamics

motivated to keep naturalness

in the absence of superpartners below TeV

and mh~125 GeV (hard susy-breaking effects?)

Elementary Higgs

(SUSY)

Possibilities:

1) Strong-sector with accidental ("emergent") supersymmetry delivering a composite-susy light Higgs ($m_h \ll \Lambda \sim \text{TeV}$)

T.Gherghetta, AP 03, R. Sundrum 04, M.Redi, B.Gripaios 10

2) MSSM Higgs coupled to a TeV strong-sector breaking susy (SBS):

$$g_i \int d^2 \theta \ H_i \mathcal{O}_i$$

A. Azatov, J. Galloway and M. A. Luty 12

T. Gherghetta, AP 11

SBS could also break EWSB

similarity with Bosonic TC

M.Dine, A.Kagan, S. Samuel 90

3) Higgs as a dilaton: v=f_{dilat} (associated to the breaking of scale invariance)

1) Strong-sector with "Emergent supersymmetry" delivering a composite-susy light Higgs ($m_h \ll \Lambda$)

➡ Modifications of Higgs couplings as in MCHM but also in hYY,hGG $\sim \xi = (v/f)^2$ (since no shift-symmetry protecting)

8 of 8

1) Strong-sector with "Emergent supersymmetry" delivering a composite-susy light Higgs ($m_h \ll \Lambda$)

➡ Modifications of Higgs couplings as in MCHM but also in hYY,hGG (since no shift-symmetry protecting)

8 of 8

> but $\hat{T} < O(10^{-3})$ forces f > few TeV

2) MSSM Higgs coupled to a strong-sector breaking susy (SBS):

<u>Higgs mixing to the SBS:</u> ϵ_H

Correction with respect to the SM:



 $\frac{\delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}}{g_{h\gamma\gamma}^{\rm SM}} \sim \epsilon_H^2 \xi$

 $\frac{\delta g_{3h}}{g_{3h}^{\rm SM}} \sim g_*^2 \epsilon_H^6 \xi \sim \epsilon_H^2 \xi$

 $(g_*^2 \epsilon_H^4 \sim \lambda_{\rm SM} \sim 1)$

 $\frac{\delta g_{hVV}}{g_{hVV}^{\rm SM}} \sim \epsilon_H^4 \xi$

4) Higgs as a dilaton:

excitation along the EWSB condensate = scale-breaking condensate

$m_h {\ll} \Lambda {\, \sim \,} \text{TeV}$ since it is a dilaton

B.Bellazzini, C.Csaki, J.Hubisz, J.Serra, J.Terning 14 F.Coradeschi, P.Lodone, D.Pappadopulo, R.Rattazzi, L.Vitale 14 E.Megias, O.Pujolas 14



 $rac{\delta g_{h\gamma\gamma}}{g_{h\gamma\gamma}^{
m SM}}$

extra contributions from the scale anomaly



$$\frac{\delta g_{3h}}{g_{3h}^{\rm SM}} = \frac{5}{3}$$

Expected largest corrections to Higgs couplings:

	hff	hVV	hγγ	hγZ	hGG	h
MSSM	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
NMSSM		\checkmark	\checkmark	√	\checkmark	
PGB Composite		\checkmark		\checkmark		
SUSY Composite		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
SUSY partly-composite			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
"Bosonic TC"						\checkmark
Higgs as a dilaton				\checkmark	\checkmark	

New Higgs decays also possible

TeV susy-breaking allows

Higgs as the superpartner of the neutrino

Fayet,'76; AP, Riva, Biggio'12



Is the Higgs the first SUSY particle discovered?

The Higgs could decay invisibly



No sign of so, up to now:

 CMS:
 BR_{inv} < 58% (44% expected)</th>

 ATLAS:
 BR_{inv} < 75% (62% expected)</th>

Relaxing the MFV condition: Flavor violation in Higgs decays $h \rightarrow f_1 f_2$

Interesting in models where the origin of fermion masses comes from mixing with a new sector



Prediction: $BR(h \rightarrow \tau \mu) \sim \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}} BR(h \rightarrow \tau \tau) \sim 0.4\%$



Beyond the primary Higgs couplings

Beyond the primary Higgs couplings



Beyond the primary Higgs couplings



momentum-dependent hVV couplings

but beaten paths... (not independent from other couplings already tested)





Some modifications in $h \rightarrow Zff$ related to $Z \rightarrow ff$ Constrained by LEPI at the per-mille level! custodial breaking hVV



All effects can be written as a function of contributions to other couplings:

$$\begin{split} \delta g_{ZZ}^{h} &= 2gm_{W}s_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \left(\delta g_{1}^{Z} - \frac{\delta \kappa_{\gamma}}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} \right), \qquad \delta g_{ff'}^{W} = \frac{c_{\theta_{W}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\delta g_{ff}^{Z} V_{\text{CKM}} - V_{\text{CKM}} \delta g_{f'f'}^{Z} \right) \text{ for } f = f_{L} \\ g_{Zff}^{h} &= 2\delta g_{ff}^{Z} - 2\delta g_{1}^{Z} \left(g_{ff}^{Z} c_{2\theta_{W}} + g_{ff}^{\gamma} s_{2\theta_{W}} \right) + 2\delta \kappa_{\gamma} Y_{f} \frac{es_{\theta_{W}}}{c_{\theta_{W}}^{3}}, \qquad g_{Wff'}^{h} = 2\delta g_{ff'}^{W} - 2\delta g_{1}^{Z} g_{ff'}^{W} c_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \\ \kappa_{ZZ} &= \frac{1}{2c_{\theta_{W}}^{2}} \left(\delta \kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} c_{2\theta_{W}} + 2\kappa_{\gamma\gamma} c_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \right), \qquad \kappa_{WW} = \delta \kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_{Z\gamma} + 2\kappa_{\gamma\gamma} , \end{split}$$

 $\begin{cases} \delta g_{I}{}^{z}, \delta \kappa_{\gamma} : \text{ Corrections to TGC} \\ \delta g_{f}{}^{z} : \text{ Corrections to Zff} \\ \delta g^{h}{}_{vv} : \text{ Corrections to hVV} \\ \kappa_{Z\gamma}, \kappa_{\gamma\gamma} : \text{ Corrections to hZ\gamma & h\gamma\gamma} \end{cases}$

custodial breaking hVV



<u>BUT</u> worth to explore. Some interesting physical effects in:

VH associated production



<u>BUT</u> worth to explore. Some interesting physical effects in:







Conclusions

With the Higgs III the SM is completed

No need for anything else (at least) up to around the Planck scale

... but very unnatural theory!



Natural models demand departures from SM Higgs couplings:

 Today, as Higgs coupling measurements agree with the SM, we only place bounds on new-physics

The Higgs is another weapon of BSM destruction

• Tomorrow, who knows, it can illuminate on new-physics

