

# Fabric Infrastructure and Operations



# CCRC Review of Tape at CERN

### Tim Bell February 2008





## Agenda



- Tape usage during CCRC
  - Volumes
  - Efficiency
- Review of CCRC from a tape perspective





## CCRC Data Written





•CMS high volume during 1<sup>st</sup> two weeks and then Atlas

CERN - IT Department CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it





## **CCRC** Data Read





•CMS high volume throughout



CERN - IT Department CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland WWW.cern.ch/it



## File size and performance

200 MB

Jan '08



**Typical Drive Write Performance** 



250 MB

	F
2000 MB	200 M
	550 W

В



### Total performance to tape



**Total Rate Including Mount Time** 



Alice and LHCb running with Castor 2.1.4 so expected write rates when migrated are around double
Atlas write rates were up to 30 MB/s during Week 8 as file

•Atlas write rates were up to 30 MB/s during Week 8 as file size increased

•CMS write rates have doubled since January

•Read remains inefficient for all VOs





Data per Mount





Read Write

Write data volumes improving with new policiesRead data volumes remains a concern

•Disk cache size versus Garbage collection policy



CERN - IT Department CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland WWW.cern.ch/it



## Tape usage read dominated







•Random read dominates drive time (90% reading)

•Writing under control of Castor policies

•Reading much more difficult to improve from the Castor side



8

CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it



## Production vs Users - CMS



#### Offline Requests for CMS during Feb CCRC

CERN

Department



•Counts of requests for production files which were not on disk during CCRC period for CMS

CMS production is under cmsprod and phedex (16% total)
Requests for tape recalls dominated by non-production
Full user list available on request

CERN



## **Production vs Users - Atlas**



•Count of requests for production data files which were not

•Requests for tape recalls dominated by non-production

#### **Offline Requests for Atlas during Feb CCRC**

CERN

Department

on disk by user

**CERN - IT Department** CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it

## Tape Review of CCRC



- Service ran well during CCRC
  - One robot failure was transparent to end users
  - Tape server and drive maintenance was transparent
  - Peaks of 4GB/s writing, 5 GB/s reading
- Tuning approach successful for write
  - New write policies doubled write performance for CMS and Atlas
  - Atlas performance improved when large simulation files were used
  - LHCb and Alice will improve with Castor 2.1.6
- Read mount share remain high
  - 90% of the mounts but only 45% data transferred compared to write
  - Production users competing for resources with less efficient end users
  - End users using Tier-0 resources ?
  - Is the contents/size of the disk caches correct ?
  - Dedicating tape resources may be required
    - Allocate drives / robots to each VO to ensure fair share
    - Reduce resilience as drive or robot failure has larger impact
    - Monitoring to continue with the implementation of read policies during March through May CCRC



CERN - IT Department CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it



## CERN

# Fabric Infrastructure and Operations

CERN Department

## **Backup Slides**

## Metrics for Tape Efficiency

- File size
  - Average size of files to/from tape
- Repeat mount rate
  - Average number of times a tape is mounted for each tape touched that day
- Data transfer per mount
  - Average volume of data transferred for each mount
- Total Rate
  - Data read/written per-VO divided by total time on drives including mount, unmount and data transfer.



CERN

Department



## **Repeat Mounting**





#### **Repeat Mounts per Tape Touched**

**CERN - IT Department** CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it •Alice write repeat mounts will drop to at least 5 with Castor 2.1.6

•Atlas write performance limited by smaller files





www.cern.ch/it



CCRC CMS

# CERN Department



CERN - IT Department CH-1211 Genève 23 Switzerland WWW.cern.ch/it

•Note scale difference for read vs write





## Additional Information



- Metrics Definition
  - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/MssEfficiencyCERN</u>
- Tape Efficiency Summary
  - <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LCG/MssEfficiencyCERN</u>

