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## LHC injectors upgrade Goals


"The LHC Injectors Upgrade should plan for delivering reliably to the LHC the beams required for reaching the goals of the HL-LHC. This includes LINAC4, the PS booster, the PS, the SPS, as well as the heavy ion chain..." (This is the mandate ... Upgrade of Brightness)

+ determine possible improvements for high intensity beams.


2012-2013 LHC - 26.7 km - 1380 bunches - 50 ns
__ = Field in main magnets

- = Proton beam intensity (current)
$\uparrow=$ Beam transfer

PS
SPS


PCB
4
1.2 seconds

Time

## LHC25(50)ns Production Scheme as today

Production scheme:
a) Double batch injection from PSB ( $\mathbf{4 + 2} \mathbf{~ b u n c h e s , ~} 6$ bunches for PS at h=7)
b) Up to 4 batches of 72 bunches each transferred to the SPS (288 bunches)

## Transverse emittance produced in the PSB, longitudinal in the PS

Multiturn proton injection in PSB RF gymnastics in PS:

- Triple splitting
- Acceleration
- $2 \times$ Double splittings
- (1 Double splitting for 50 ns )
- Bunch rotation
$>3$ RF systems in PSB
$>5$ RF systems in PS
$5>2$ RF systems in SPS
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## LHC 25(50)ns alternative Production (BCMS)

Production scheme:
a) Double batch injection from PSB ( $4+4$ bunches, 8 bunches for PS at h=9)
b) Up to 5 batches of 48 bunches each transferred to the SPS (240 bunches)

Transverse emittance produced in the PSB, longitudinal in the PS

- Multiturn proton injection in PSB with shaving
- RF gymnastics in PS:
- Batch compression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}=9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 12 \\
& \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 21 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

- Bunch merging
- Triple splitting
- Acceleration
- $2 \times$ Double splittings
(1 Double splitting for 50 ns )
- Bunch rotation


| Schemes 25 ns | PSB - PS bunches | RF gym. in PS | RF gym. at injection | RF gym. at extraction | b/Train to SPS | SPS injections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-spitting (standard scheme) | $4+2$ | /3 $7 / 2 / 2$ | *\|||||||||| |  | 72 | 4 |
| BCMS | $4+4$ | +2C/3才/2/2 |  | , | 48 | 5 |
| BCS | $4+4$ | C $7 / 2 / 2$ |  |  | 32 | 5 |
| $8 \mathrm{~b}+4 \mathrm{e}$ | $4+2$ | /2 $7 / 2 / 2$ | * $\\|\\|\\|\\|\\|\\|\\|$ |  | 48 | 5 |
| '/ Splitting | C Batch | Compression | + Merging | $\lambda A c$ | eration | $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ |

## Present and future performance @ SPS extraction (in terms of beam power for Neutrino beams)

|  | Operation |  | SPS record |  | After LIU (2020) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Aim | Study |
|  | LHC | CNGS | LHC | CNGS | LHC | post-CNGS |
| SPS beam energy [GeV] | 450 | 400 | 450 | 400 | 450 | 400 |
| bunch spacing [ns] | 50 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 5 |
| bunch intensity/10 ${ }^{11}$ | 1.6 | 0.105 | 1.3 | 0.13 | 2.2 | 0.17 |
| number of bunches | 144 | 4200 | 288 | 4200 | 288 | 4200 |
| SPS beam intensity/ $10^{13}$ | 2.3 | 4.4 | 3.75 | 5.3 | 6.35 | 7.0* |
| PS beam intensity/10 ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.75 | 4.0* |
| PS cycle length [s] | 3.6 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 1.2/2.4* |
| SPS cycle length [s] | 21.6 | 6.0 | 21.6 | 6.0 | 21.6 | 6.0/7.2 |
| PS momentum [GeV/c] | 26 | 14 | 26 | 14 | 26 | 14 |
| average current $[\mu \mathrm{A}]$ | 0.17 | 1.17 | 0.28 | 1.4 | 0.47 | 1.9/1.6 |
| power [kW] | 77 | 470 | 125 | 565 | 211 | 747/622 |
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## Challenges in the PS

## Acceleration/Bunch splittings

Longitudinal CBI $\rightarrow$ new damper
Transient beam loading $\rightarrow 1$ turn delay FB Transition crossing $\rightarrow$ no limitation expected


## Injection flat bottom:

Space charge $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{GeV}$ injection upgrade Headtail instability $\rightarrow$ transverse FB

## Space Charge at injection (1.4 GeV - 2 GeV )

Study to determine largest acceptable tune spread.
Today max acceptable: $\Delta \mathrm{Qy} \sim|0.3|$ @ 1.4 GeV HL-LHC max needed: $\Delta Q y>|0.3| @ 2 \mathrm{GeV}$

Goal: demonstrate that possible to inject a beam with $\Delta Q>|0.3|$ with limited emittance blowup (max $5 \%$ )

## Experimental studies:

$\checkmark$ Learn from operational beams experience. Current Laslett at about -0.28 with Qy<0.25
$\checkmark$ Tune scan to identify via beam losses dangerous resonances
$\checkmark$ Driving terms measurements
$\checkmark$ Compensate resonances
(as done already in 1975 with injection at 50 MeV )

## Simulation studies:

- PTC-Orbit simulations
- IMPACT - MADX-FZM simulations
$\checkmark$ Lack of good magnetic error model
- No error tables from magnetic measurements (à la LHC) available from 1958
- Opera©-based magnetic error simulations


2013-2014 important results:

- Better understanding of integer resonance
- Better understanding of $4^{\text {th }}$ (or $8^{\text {th }}$ ) order resonance


PS Limitations for high-intensity beams: what we learned from the CNGS run


## Current activities (mainly presented later by Raymond, Alex, Shinji, Ji and Adrian)

- Improve understanding of existing space charge limits
- Integer resonance
- $4^{\text {th }}$ order resonance
- Normal 3rd order resonance
(in collaboration with LBL)
(in collaboration with RAL, talks of Raymond and Shinji)
(in collaboration with GSI, talks of Raymond and Giuliano)
- Understand indirect space charge effects (talk of Alexander)
- Improve machine modeling
- Random multipoles errors from geometry
- Machine alignment
- Longitudinal and transverse impedance model
- Still missing : chromo-geometric terms modeling
- Investigate alternative solution to increase maximum acceptable di tune shift on top of the $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{GeV}$ injection energy upgrade (baseline)

- Hollow bunches in the longitudinal plane (talk of Adrian)
- Horizontal dispersion increase
- Resonance compensation
- Fully coupled optics : generate vertical dispersion by linear coupling


## Proton Synchrotron main magnetic unit

Combined-function magnet with hyperbolic pole shape

- Dipole field - guiding
- Quadrupole field - focusing
- Higher component from axiliary circuits
- Higher component also present due to saturation at $26 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$



## Focusing and defocusing half (FDDF)

- 5 C-shaped block in each half
- Wedge shaped air gaps between blocks

Complex geometry of coils system In total 100+1 main units of four different types.



## Coils of the PS magnet

## Main coil

- Dipole and quadrupole field mostly Figure-of-eight loop

- Adjusts quadrupole field but also contributes to dipole field

Pole-face windings (PFW)

- Separately for focusing and defocusing half
- Each winding has narrow and wide circuit
- Corrects higher components of the field



## PFW Powering

- 5 currents
- Control of the four beam parameters $Q_{h}, Q_{v}, \xi_{h}, \xi_{v}$
- One current remains free for controlling an additional physical parameter



## Magnet representation in the optical model

## Official optics

- Static elements length
- SBEND
- Bare machine quadrupolar component
- No pole-face angle
- MULTIPOLE
- Beam-based fit of NL-chroma
- JUNCTION=DRIFT


## Model optics

- Dynamic elements length
- effective length correction
- SBEND
- Up to K2 from the model
- Integrated pole-face angle effect
- MULTIPOLES
- K3 (and higher if needed)
- No JUNCTION element
- Beam-based matched effective lengths corrections



## Magnets (Opera© 2/3D model, measurements)

Geometry and magnetic measurements


Opera® model (2-3D)


## Nonlinear chromaticity ( 2 GeV )

| $\nabla$ | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}$ (basic) |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\nabla$ | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{v}}$ (basic) |
| 0 | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}(+\Delta \mathrm{I})$ |
| $\circ$ | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{v}}(+\Delta \mathrm{I})$ |
| $\square$ | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}(-\Delta \mathrm{I})$ |
| $\square$ | measured $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{v}}(-\Delta \mathrm{I})$ |
| $\square$ | calculated $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| $\square$ | calculated $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{v}}$ |

Figure-of-eight variation


Narrow focusing PFW variation


Narrow defocusing PFW variation


Wide defocusing PFW variation

$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f} 8}=-0.018 \mathrm{~A}$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{fn}}=-0.015 \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{fw}}=-14.545 \mathrm{~A}$
$I_{d n}=-4.669 \mathrm{~A} \quad I_{d w}=-8.235 \mathrm{~A}$
M. Juchno Thesis

Measurement data: A. Huschauer
Simulation: M. Juchno

## Machine alignment 2014 (only main magnets)



Magnet alignements known but not included in MADX model yet

## Beta-beating @ 1.4 GeV

T. Bach et. al, IPAC2013


## Space charge reduction, transverse

1. Compensation of resonances $\left(Q_{x / y}=0.21 / 0.24\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Closest resonance $4 Q_{y}=1$ difficult as excited by space charge
$\rightarrow$ Compensation of $2 Q_{x}+Q_{y}=1$ and $3 Q_{y}=1$ lines during studies in 2013
2. Special optics with vertical dispersion
$\rightarrow$ Introduce vertical dispersion to maximize beam size and reduce $D Q_{\mathrm{sc}}$
$\rightarrow$ Optics becomes very irregular, needs simulations and beam studies
$\rightarrow$ Evaluate potential benefit with first beam studies after LSı



## Experimental study 2014

- Compensation of $4 \mathrm{Qv}=1$ with quadrupoles/breaking sym. or with octupoles
- Integer tune split of two units for $4^{\text {th }}$ order resonance
- Integer resonance scan
- Special large horizontal dispersion optics
- Fully coupled optics at injection
- Space charge study with Quadrupolar PU
- Transfer of longer bunches from PSB
- Hollow bunches
- Tune vs kick strenght at different dp/p for chromo-geom. terms at 2 GeV
- Kick response measurements
- Beta-beating and loss maps before and after orbit correction


## Simulation codes requirements

- Combined function magnets, with proper treatment of stray fields
- Inclusion of multipoles (eventually up to octupole)
- Inclusion of skew component (normal and error)
- Inclusion of alignment errors (x,y and tilts)
- Inclusion of time-varying field (injection bump and RF fields for gymns)
- Long term simulations (up to 1.2 s )




## Conclusions

- Outcome of 2013-14 analysis: the beam characteristics foreseen after implementation of all of $\mathrm{LIU}(25 \mathrm{~ns}, 2 \mathrm{E} 11 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}, 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ are good enough for reaching the HL-LHC goal.
- 2 GeV injection energy upgrade is the baseline as solution to reduce directspace charge effects
- Better understanding of different phenomena limiting performances thanks to simulations and improved experiments analysis
- We are in condition to choose between PIC and FZM codes depending on the time scale needed for the simulations thanks to the code development of this year
- Intense MD program for 2014 (as btw in 2012-2013)
- Thanks to all the collaborators inside and outside CERN for the progresses done so far.


[^0]:    $\rightarrow$ Each bunch from the Booster divided by $12 \rightarrow 6 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2=72$

[^1]:    *Feasibility including operational viability (especially in PS) remains to be demonstrated

