

A novel 60 MW Pulsed Power System based on Capacitive Energy Storage for CERN PS machine

Jean-Paul BURNET

CERN, European Organisation for Nuclear Research

Trieste (IT), 20 may 2008





Menu

- Introduction
- PS power system
- Research of new solutions
- Novel power system with capacitive energy storage
- Introduction to POPS
- Conclusions



3

The CERN accelerator network



- The PS is in operation since 1959
- The PS is part of the LHC injection chain

- It is a cycling machine (1.2s)
- It is composed of 100 main magnets



PS machine



Cycle : 1.2s or 2.4s 100 magnets: 0.95H, 0.32 Ω

- **1- Voltage : ± 9000V**
- 2- Current : 0 to 5500 A
- 3- $P = \pm 40 \text{ MW}$ with $dP/dt = \pm 1 \text{ MW/ms}$







PS power profile

<u>Cycles</u>

- Low cycle: 14 GeV
 - 2.7 kA in 0.4s
 - Flat top: 0.3 s
 - Repetition: 1.2s
- Heavy cycle: 26 GeV
 - 5.5 kA in 0.7s
 - Flat top: 0.4s
 - Repetition: 2.4s
 - Rotor speed 52-49 Hz





PS actual main power system

Ratings

Load: 0.95 H / 0.32 Ω DC output: 5.5 kA / 9 kV Thyristor rectifiers, 1978 10 millions cycles per year!





PS actual main power system

Rotating machine

SIEMENS, 1968 Generator: 90 MVA Motor: 6 MW Speed: 1000 rpm Rotors weight: 80 +10 T









Studies for new power system

- Network solutions
- Storage system
 - Mechanical storage
 - Inductive storage
 - Capacitive storage
- Guideline
 - Suppress single point of failure
 - Modular approach with redundancy



Direct network connection on 400kV

- Many studies were done to study the connection of the rectifiers to the electrical network.
- The ONLY solution was to:
 - Connect the rectifiers via an 90 MVA transformer on the 400 kV EDF network
 - Install a reactive power compensator of 85 MVAR + 75 MVA of harmonic filters

POPCA

- Install an 18 kV power line between Prevessin and Meyrin (4 km)



9



Direct network connection on 400kV



Advantages

- Use of "industrial" products (not off-the-shelf)
- Good strategy for spare transformer and compensator with SPS powering

Drawbacks

- Cost
- Bandwidth response limited due to thyristor technology (2Q converter)
- Far to be sure (the limit of this technology) to get a good voltage stability at the load (10-5; need more studies)
- More sensitive to storms
- Active power taken on the 400 kV (+/- 50 MW every 1.2 second)
- (dedicated distribution)



SMES (Superconducting Magnet Energy storage)

- Use a SMES to store energy and to exchange it with the magnets
- Integration in SVC 18 kV or inside the power converter
- Energy stored must be very high to limit the variation of magnetic field
- 80 MJ to exchange 14 MJ with the magnets
- Study done by ITP Karlsruhe (DE)





SMES (Superconducting Magnet Energy storage)

- Advantages
 - Compact solution
 - Easy to protect (short-circuit the SMES in case of problem)
 - Modular approach is possible
 - Certainly a very interesting solution in the future (higher energy and technology maturation)
- Drawbacks
 - SMES are under study, no industrial product for this level of energy
 - Stray field
 - SMES are current sources, need two stages of conversion to supply the magnets (I-V and V-I)
 - Cryogenic infrastructure and maintenance (no cryogenic in PS)



capacitive energy storage



First ideas

EL group proposal =>





New power system based on capacitive storage

The magnet stored energy is exchanged with capacitors banks The losses are taken on the network

Chargers

- DC/DC converters transfer the power from the storage capacitors to the magnets.
- Four flying capacitors banks are not connected directly to the mains. They are charged via the magnets.
- Only two AC/DC converters (called chargers) are connected to the mains and supply the losses of the system.





Energy management

The main losses of the system are the magnets losses. During a 26GeV cycle, the peak power of the losses is 10MW. The required energy to cover the losses is supplied by the mains. The power rating of each charger is therefore 5MW. The chargers are regulated in power with a reference that follows the magnets losses.





The maximum magnetic energy stored in the magnets is 12MJ for a 26GeV cycle. This energy is supplied by the capacitor banks.





Energy management

When the magnet current rises to 5.5kA, the capacitor bank voltage decreases from 5kV to 2kV. During the ramp down of the current, the capacitor bank voltage returns to 5kV







17

Energy management: redundancy

The system is designed with a redundancy policy. If a capacitor bank, a DC/DC converter or a charger is in fault, the power system can still operate with the complete performance.

Operating with only one charger is the worst case as the input power will be limited to 5MW. However, by changing the charging profile, a 26GeV cycle can still be executed.







By interleaving the firing pulses, the output filter is reduced and the bandwidth of the voltage loop is three times higher than the individual switching frequency



From studies to POPS project

- After an European tour of industry, we thought it was possible to build such a power system
- DG approved the project end of 2006
- Call for tender in 2007
- Contract signature in December 2007
- January 2008: Start of POPS project (POwer for PS)
- Contractor CONVERTEAM (ex Alstom Power Conversion)
 - Main market: Marine, Oil&Gas, industries
 - Turnover: 700M€
 - Employees: 5000







POPS status

- schedule
 - June 08:
 - October 08:
 - March 09:
 - June 09:
 - September 09:
 - November 09:
 - April 2010:

Design report approval Civil engineering works First delivery from Converteam Installation Start of commissioning First test with magnets POPS in operation

• Budget: 12 M€



POPS topology





- DC converter topology
 - Paralleling H-bridges
 - Output chokes
 - Current loop balance







- Medium voltage Drives
 - NPC converter
 - IEGT (Press pack IGBT)

4006

2004

- Water cooled
- Coupled choked





- Phase 0"to neutral point voltage









D2p

D1p

D1m

T2p

"⊩T1m

Technologies

- Thermal design components lifetime
 - Use of reference cycle for semiconductor design

T2p D2p

Switching frequency: 333.33Hz



	T2p	D2p	T1p	D1p	Dcp	T2m	D1m	T1m	D2m	Dcm
ΔT_j charger module	22.26	0	25.52	0	33.6	0	7.22	0	3.27	0.1
ΔT_j flying module	21	0	22.36	0	26.66	0	13.8	0	10	0.3

D1p Dop

Table 1: Junction temperature variation

D2p

Tip D1p

E2D

Magnets current (A)

Charger - capacitor voltage (V Flying module n³ - capacitor voltage Flying module n¹⁴ - capacitor voltage (V lying module nS - capacitor voltage Fiving module n% - capacitor voltage



- Capacitor banks
 - 5kV Dry capacitors
 - Polypropylene metalized self healing
 - Outdoor containers: 2.5m x 12m, 24 tons
 - 0.247F per bank, 126 cans
 - 1 DC fuse
 - 1 earthing switch







- Redundancy
 - Can work without one capacitor bank
 - Can work without one charger
 - Can work without one DC converter

Performances

<u>.</u>			Criterion
Normal operating mode	Ernergy stored	13.7 MJ	> 13.5 MJ
	Voltage available at the end of the shot	15618 V	> 10000 V
Considering inductive voltage drop	Voltage available at the end of the shot	14994 V	> 10000 V
Degraded operating mode	Ernergy stored	12.928 MJ	> 12 MJ
One charger module is failed	Voltage available at the end of the shot	10549 V	> 9800 V
Considering inductive voltage drop	Voltage available at the end of the shot	10127 V	> 9800 V
Degraded operating mode	Ernergy stored	12.38 MJ	> 12 MJ
One flying module is failed	Voltage available at the end of the shot	10999 V	> 10000 V
Considering inductive voltage drop	Voltage available at the end of the shot	10559 V	> 10000 V





- Output filters
 - Normal operating mode: output voltage ripple <4Vrms
 - Degraded operating mode: <8.5Vrms (24Vpp)
 - Damping factor of the LC filter: $\xi > 1$
 - Minimum voltage loop bandwidth (-3dB): 180Hz _
 - Output voltage response from 0 to 10kV: 10ms _
- Interleaving pulses strategy
 - Equivalent output frequency of one DC/DC converter: 2 kHz _
 - Charger modules are interleaved: \rightarrow Equivalent output frequency = 4 kHz
- Flying modules are interleaved: \rightarrow Equivalent output frequency = 8 kHz

Bode diagram : normal mode

- -37dB at 2000Hz
- -49dB at 4000Hz
- -61dB at 8000Hz

- Bode diagram : degraded mode
 - -33.5dB at 2000Hz
 - -57.5dB at 8000Hz







Total losses

Losses in water	Quantity	Losses	Total losses
AC/DC converter	2		
IGBT Stack	3	6.5 KW	19.5 KW
RCdamp	1	4.335 KW	4.335 KW
DC capacitor	1	2.767 KW	2.767 KW
Network reactor	1	16 KW	16 KW
Self crowbar	0	8.4 KW	0 KW
Rfilter damping	1	23 KW	23 KW
Thyristor Crowbar	0	2.5 KW	0 KW
Total			65.602 KW
Conv DC/DC - flying module	6		
IGBT Stack (worst case)	6	6.3 KW	37.8 KW
DC capacitor	1	2.66 KW	2.66 KW
RCdamp	1	1.47 KW	1.47 KW
Ldec	2	15 KW	30 KW
Total			71.93 KW

Total	563 KW
Total +10%	619 KW

Losses in air			
AC/DC converter	2		
Global	1	11 KW	11 KW
Total			11 KW
Conv DC/DC - flying module	6		
Global	1	14.3 KW	14.3 KW
Ldec	2	5 KW	10 KW
Total			24.3 KW
		Total	168 KW

Total

Ľ



POPS layout





POPS layout







Conclusions

- The main advantages of this solution are:
 - the integration of the storage elements in the power converter topology
 - the modularity of the system with redundancy
 - the use of standard products from the medium voltage drive market
- This innovative solution has a real interest for physics laboratories and for all applications requiring rapid exchange of active power
- POPS is planned for operation in 2010
- The main difficulty was to convince industry to follow us

Patent

The global system with dedicated control has been filed as a patent application. European Patent Office, Appl. Nr: 06012385.8



POPC



Thank you for your attention

