

Accelerator Mass Spectrometry at VERA – Current status and new developments

Oliver Forstner

Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics Austrian Academy of Sciences VERA Laboratory Faculty of Physics University of Vienna



- Basic Introduction of Radiocarbon dating
- What is AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry)
- Description of VERA (Vienna Environmental Research Accelerator)
- Search for beta-delayed proton emission in ¹¹Be
- Application of AMS to Astrophysics
- New developments at VERA:
 - Laser Photodetachment as a possible solutions to isobar problem?



3 important Carbon Isotopes:

```
Stable Isotopes: <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>13</sup>C
```

Radioisotope: ${}^{14}C$, $t_{1/2} = 5730$ a

```
Abundances: <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ~10<sup>-2</sup>
<sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ~1.2×10<sup>-12</sup>
```

¹⁴C is produced in the upper atmosphere by cosmic radiation. Production rate is not constant -> Measurement has to be calibrated!

During lifetime of a species carbon is exchanged. After the death no more exchange -> ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio changes by decay of ${}^{14}C$ atoms to ${}^{14}N$.



AMS measures long-lived radioisotopes by counting atoms rather than waiting for their infrequent decay.

$$dN / dt = N \left(\ln 2 / t_{1/2} \right)$$

Example for ¹⁴C dating (${}^{14}C/{}^{12}C = 1.2x10^{-12}$, $t_{1/2} = 5730$ years):

1 mg of modern organic carbon contains: $6x10^7$ ^{14}C atomsDecay counting with LSC or GPC:0.5 ^{14}C atoms/hAtom counting with AMS: $5x10^5$ ^{14}C atoms/h

AMS is one million times more sensitive than decay counting!



Radioisotopes are measured with AMS through isotope ratios

Typical isotope ratios in AMS: radioisotope/stable isotope = 10⁻¹² to 10⁻¹⁶

The main challenge in measuring such minute isotope ratios is the separation of the radioisotope from interfering background of stable isobars and molecules.

Molecules can be removed effectively by stripping and subsequent Coulomb breakup in the terminal of the tandem accelerator



- Sample material into an ion source: (neg. ion beam)
- Low energy mass separation
- (Tandem) accelerator
 - stripping to positive ions (molecule destruction)
 - high particle energies for identification
- High energy mass separation
- Particle identification (detector + Faraday cups)



Three good reasons for the use of negative ions:





Sometimes special negative molecules help:



All other radioisotopes are more difficult to be cleaned up from stable isobar interference.



The remaining molecular background after low energy mass separation is destroyed in the stripping process:





VERA





The Cesium-Beam Sputter Source for Negative Ions

FUIF



universität wien











Injection Side of VERA 45° ESA: r = 300 mm $E/q = 92 \, kV$ Quadrupole **Doublett** 90° Injection Magnet r = 457.2 mm $ME/q^2 =$ 15 MeV amu Multi Beam Switcher





+3 MV Tandem Accelerator

Pelletron type

- 2 charging chains
- maximal charging current: 230 µA
- Ar gas stripper/ foil stipper
- insulating gas: SF₆







Analyzing Side of VERA

Wienfilter ExB = 35 kV/cm x 0.4 T

Analyzing Magnet r = 1270 mm ME/q² = 176 MeV amu

Electrostatic Analyzer









Size of VERA compared to Wien Compact- and Mini-AMS





FШF

Latest Developments at the ETH Zurich: Radiocarbon AMS System with 200 kV Terminal Voltage lons are stripped to 1⁺ charge state





Some AMS-nuclides measured at VERA (3 MV)



Radio- nuclide	Half-life	Overall Efficiency	Detection Limit	Precision
¹⁰ Be	1.39 Ma	1×10 ⁻⁴	< 5×10 ⁻¹⁶	< 3%
¹⁴ C	5730 a	2×10 ⁻²	< 3×10 ⁻¹⁶	< 0.5 %
²⁶ AI	0.7 Ma	5×10 ⁻⁴	< 6×10 ⁻¹⁶	< 1.0 %
129	15.7 Ma	1×10 ⁻²	2×10 ⁻¹⁴	2 %
¹⁸² Hf	8.9 Ma	1×10 ⁻⁴	1×10 ⁻¹¹	5 %
²³⁶ U	23.4 Ma		< 6×10 ⁻¹¹	5 %
²⁴⁴ Pu	80.0 Ma	> 4×10 ⁻⁵		5 %
³⁶ Cl	0.3 Ma		1×10 ^{−13}	
⁴¹ Ca	0.1 Ma		1×10 ^{−13}	
⁵⁵ Fe	2.73 a		< 1×10 ^{−15}	



Why look for beta-delayed proton emission in ¹¹Be?

Only possible due to the low neutron separation energy:

$$Q_{\beta p} = m_n - m_H - S_n(Z,N) = 0.782 - S_n = 0.280 \text{ MeV}$$

- Similar to beta-delayed deuteron emission in ⁶He and ¹¹Li
- If occurring: coupled to the neutron halo in ¹¹Be
- According to theory the decay mechanism is also dynamically coupled to the halo structure: decay directly to continuum



Collection point



Theoret. calculations predict βp branching ratio ~ 10⁻⁸ Aim for collection: 10¹² ¹¹Be Estimated collection time with actual ISOLDE yield: 16 hrs **Collection was continuously** monitored with a HPGE detector. (2124.7 keV gamma line from ¹¹Be decay.







After collection samples where transferred to Vienna and chemically treated to get AMS samples.

Implantation depth of 60 keV beryllium into copper is below 1 µm.

 \rightarrow only surface was leached with nitric acid

359 µg ⁹Be carrier was added to the solution

Solution treated with ammonium hydroxide to precipitate Be(OH)₂

Copper stays in the solution

Beryllium hydroxide was dried at 900°C for at least 8 hrs forming BeO

Mixed 1:1 with high purity copper and pressed into sample holder



¹⁰Be/⁹Be measurement at VERA

ΓШΓ

- ¹⁰Be/⁹Be ratio determined with AMS.
- Extract BeO⁻ from ion source
- Accelerate and strip to Be²⁺
- Used dual anode gas ionization chamber with passive absorber (SiN) to get rid of isobar ¹⁰B
- Overall efficiency: 5×10⁻⁴







Two beam times at ISOLDE: July '12, Dec '12 July 2012:

Due to problems with Booster transfer line and with the high voltage of ISCOOL ¹¹Be yields were not sufficient. However beam time was used to collect ¹⁰Be samples to check sample preparation and efficiency of VERA \rightarrow successful

December 2012:

36 hrs collection of ¹¹Be: $(1.447 \pm 0.055) \cdot 10^{12}$ atoms (Sample S1) Additional collection of two calibration samples:

- S2: ¹¹Li (0.02 mass units above ¹¹Be), decays to ¹⁰Be via β n (83%)
- S3: ¹⁰Be, 3.5 pA, 1 s collection \rightarrow 2.2·10⁷ atoms



AMS Results of the December Run:

Sample	¹⁰ Be/ ⁹ Be ratio	¹⁰ Be atoms
S1-1st	$(4.87 \pm 0.13) \cdot 10^{-13}$	$(1.17 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^7$
S1-2nd	(1.26 ± 0.56) · 10 ^{−15}	$(3.03 \pm 1.35) \cdot 10^4$
S2-1st	(3.10 ± 0.94) ⋅ 10 ⁻¹⁵	$(7.45 \pm 2.27) \cdot 10^4$
S2-2nd	(4.4 ± 3.1) · 10 ^{−16}	$(1.06 \pm 0.75) \cdot 10^4$
S3-1st	$(1.54 \pm 0.03) \cdot 10^{-12}$	$(3.70 \pm 0.13) \cdot 10^7$
S-blank	(4 .9 ± 3.4) · 10 ^{−16}	$(1.18 \pm 0.82) \cdot 10^4$
blank	(1.3 ± 1.3) · 10 ^{−16}	$(3.12 \pm 3.12) \cdot 10^3$







- Search for live radioisotopes as signatures of a nearby SN (⁶⁰Fe, ²⁴⁴Pu, ...)
- Meteorites
 - cosmic ray exposure, ...
- Nucleosynthesis models
 - measure neutron capture cross sections
- Solar system abundance
 - early solar system (presolar, extinct radionuclides)
 - solar system abundance now
- Search for superheavy elements in nature
 - search long lived supernova produced SHEs ores



nearby supernova (SN II): < 100 pc, rate ~ 0.3 - 10 (Ma)⁻¹



G. Korschinek, K. Knie et al. TU Munich



Life ⁶⁰Fe as Signature of a Nearby Supernovae



- Deep-sea manganese crust
- Growth: 2.5 mm / Ma
- 28 layers (1–2 mm) were measured for 60 Fe-content (T_{1/2}=1.5 Ma)
- ⁶⁰Fe: no significant terrestrial production
- GAMS-setup Munich (14–MV tandem)

Universität Life ⁶⁰Fe as Signature of a Nearby Supernovae (contd.)



VOLUME 93, NUMBER 17 PH Y S

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 22 OCTOBER 2004

⁶⁰Fe Anomaly in a Deep-Sea Manganese Crust and Implications for a Nearby Supernova Source

K. Knie,¹ G. Korschinek,^{1,*} T. Faestermann,¹ E. A. Dorfi,² G. Rugel,^{1,3} and A. Wallner^{1,3}







Past and Ongoing Measurements at VERA:

Deep-Sea Manganese Crust

• ²⁴⁴Pu

No natural source for ²⁴⁴Pu on earth. Only recently produced by atmospheric nuclear weapon tests or in nuclear power plants. Can the ⁶⁰Fe peak also be seen in ²⁴⁴Pu?

• ¹⁰Be, ²⁶Al

¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al are produced by cosmic radiation. The increased cosmic radiation from a SN should result in an increase of both.

• ¹⁸²Hf

¹⁸²Hf only produced in nuclear reactors. Is there a peak in deep sea crusts or sediments?



How to measure isotopes under the influence of stable isobaric background?

 \rightarrow only counting atoms no longer sufficient, particle identification is needed.

Solutions:

- Measure ion velocity with TOF detector
- Use the Z-dependence of energy loss in matter
 e.g. gas-filled magnet, split anode gas ionization chamber
 → required particle energy increases with mass
 highest mass so far: ⁶⁰Fe at the Munich 14-MV tandem.
- \rightarrow Other solutions have to be found !!



- Negative lons are hit by an incident Laser beam
- Electron can be detached if photon energy > EA (electron affinity)
 → non-resonant process !!!
- Cross-section around threshold described by Wigner-Law:

 $\sigma \propto \left(E - E_{EA} \right)^{l+1/2}$

- Using Laser Photodetachment for suppression of isobars was applied by Berkovits et al. for the case of S/CI and Co/Ni
 - Berkovits et al., NIM A281 (1989) 663-666
 - Berkovits et al., NIM B52 (1990) 378-383
- Drawback: low cross-sections for the Photodetachment process and low duty cycles of the pulsed Lasers

 \rightarrow Significant Improvement of the Method is necessary



Wigner-Law

Cross Section behavior around the threshold for s-, p- and d-wave detachment (Wigner-Law).





FШF

Laser will neutralize isobar while isotope of interest remains unchanged.





Non-Resonant Laser-Photodetachment (II)

Fraction of negative ions removed by photodetachment is given by:

$$f = 1 - e^{-\sigma \phi t}$$

 ϕ = photon flux (photons/ cm² s) σ = photodetachment cross section (cm²)

$$t = laser-ion interaction time (s)$$





RF Quadrupole



APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS 87, 113504 (2005)

Isobar suppression by photodetachment in a gas-filled rf quadrupole ion guide

Y. Liu, J. R. Beene, C. C. Havener, and J. F. Liang Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6368







Construction of the cooler is in progress. First results expected for spring 2014.

First isobar systems to be studied:

 Selective suppression of molecular isobars CH⁻ and CH₂⁻ Electron Affinities:

C-:	1.262119(20) eV, 982.3494(16) nm
CH⁻:	1.238(8) eV, 1001(6) nm
CH ₂ ⁻ :	0.652(6) eV, 1901(17)nm

Suppression of NiH⁻ from FeH⁻

Electron Affinities:

FeH⁻:	0.934(11) eV, 1327(16) nm
NiH⁻:	0.481(7) eV, 2578(38) nm

Suppression of WF₅⁻ from HfF₅⁻

Electron Affinities currently unknown, however theoretical calculations suggest 8.8 eV HfF_5^- for and 3.9 eV for WF_5^- . H. Chen, P. Andersson, A.O. Lindahl, D. Hanstorp, Chem. Phys. Lett. Vol. 511 (2011) 196-200.



Photodetachment of C⁻





VERA Schematic

FLIF





wien Extension of VERA

- Electrostatic Analyzer + Magnet before RF-Quadrupole to not overload RFQ with unwanted ions
- Magnet after RFQ to clean from molecular breakup products



Ion Source

XX

Electrostatic Analyzer

O. Forstner, ISOLDE 2014

Acknowledgement

Universität Wien - VERA Laboratory:

Pontus Andersson Robin Golser Johannes Lahner Martin Martschini Alfred Priller Peter Steier **Stephan Winkler** The VERA Mechanics and Electronics Workshop

Göteborg University:

Dag Hanstorp Anton Lindahl

Physics Division – Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA

Yuan Liu





FШF



