Physics Motivations for Future Machines

Serguei GANJOUR

CEA-Saclay/IRFU, Gif-sur-Yvette, France









$\mathbf{m_H \simeq 125GeV, \hat{\mu} \simeq 1}$





Data strongly favor the scalar 0^+ hypothesis

Precision measurements and reaching higher energy are the Frontiers of PP

$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-) = (2.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$



$\theta_{13} \sim 9^\circ$



No evidence of NP in range 200-3000 GeV depending on its type







With the discovery of a Higgs boson the SM is now complete!

☞ Major questions of PP justified by experimental observations remain unresolved

- ➠ **DM** points to new type particle
- ➠ **BAU** requires $\not B, \not L$ processes
- ➠ **Neutrino mass** suggests sterile or Majorana neutrino

☞ **Need new large scale accelerators**

- ➠ **Indirect searches** through precision measurements (rare processes)
  - ➔ many BSM models predict $\Delta g_{HXX}/g_{HXX} \leq 1 - 10\%$
  - ➔ Is Higgs potential $\lambda(\mu)$ as expected? (check consistency)

☞ **Direct Searches of NP**

- ➠ exploration of Higher Energy scales



The SM begins to unravel when probed much beyond the range of current accelerators
(unstable vacuum at the Plank scale!)

Everything proves that NP must exist, but... **At What Energy Scales?**

Interaction strength varies with energy scale and depends on quantum numbers and particle species

☞ Additional particles such as SUSY partners at energy scale of TeVs affect the running of coupling constants

➠ If BICEP2 result is confirmed, it implies the E-scale of inflation 10^{16} GeV

➔ associated with grand unification of fundamental interactions

➔ comparable with those when **3 non-gravitational** forces become about the same strength





> ☞ Physics at the highest E-scales:
>
> ➠ **Are forces indeed unified?**
>
> ➠ **How is gravity connected?**

Need to explore new territory by pushing energies!

☞ SM is self-consistent model accounting all PP phenomena at energy of current accelerators

➠ with $\mathbf{m_H}$ all parameters of SM are known

☞ $\mathbf{m_W}$ is a fundamental parameter of the SM

$$m_W = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\alpha}{G_F\sqrt{2}}}\frac{1}{\sin\theta_W\sqrt{1-\Delta R}}$$

Radiative corrections $\Delta R \sim 4\%$:



$\Delta R \sim m_t^2$ $\Delta R \sim \log m_H$

m_W = 80385±15 MeV, $\mathbf{m_t}$=173.2±0.9 GeV
current p-value for (data|SM)=0.2
(need to improve $\mathbf{m_W}$, $\mathbf{m_t}$ *and* $\mathbf{m_H}$*)*

[GFitter group, arXiv:1407.3792] N_2LO-test





Precision tests of further consistency of the SM are mandatory!



The exploitation of the full potential of the LHC is the highest priority of the Energy Frontier in both Europe and US

- LHC approved running to deliver **300 fb^{-1} by 2021**
- Post LS3 operation: **3000 fb^{-1} over 10 years**
- Major upgrades required on the LHC **(replace more than 1.2 km):**

- Experiments will undergo a series of **detector and trigger upgrades**
  - to cope with radiation damage and high pileup (140 PU events)
  - to maintain or enhance the current physics performance



$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\theta_c \sigma_z}{2\sigma_x})}}$$

- ☞ Increase $\mathbf{I_{beam}}$: **8 T→11 T $\mathbf{Nb_3Sn}$** dipoles
- ☞ Reduce beam size: IR-quads, triplets **13T, 8m**
- ☞ **HTS links** (2x100 kA, 500m) to protect DFBX
- ☞ **Crab crossing** improves further the luminosity by maximizing overlap of the 2 beams (technology pioneered successfully on KEKB, Japan)
  - ➠ also help to mitigate the harsh PU conditions

Thanks to Nb_3Sn *technology successful magnet R&D is ongoing*

29 distinct vertices have been reconstructed corresponding to 29 distinct collisions within a single crossing of the LHC beam



HL-LHC is the benchmark Higgs factory

(a couple of Higgs per sec)

☞ Most of the exclusive final states are accessible

- ➠ **20K** $\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{ZZ} \to 4\mathrm{l}$
- ➠ **30K** $\mathrm{H} \to \mu\mu$
- ➠ **50** $\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{J}/\psi\gamma$

Channel	σ, pb (14 TeV)	Rate, Hz L=50 pb^{-1} s^{-1} (14TeV)	Events, L=3ab^{-1} (14TeV)	Events , L=30 fb^{-1} (8TeV)
ggH	50.4	2.52	150M	600K
VBF	4.2	0.21	13M	48K
WH	1.5	0.08	4.5M	21K
ZH	0.9	0.04	2.6M	12K
ttH	0.6	0.03	1.8M	4K

HL-LHC enable to probe most of the couplings including direct ttH observation

Systematics:
Scenario 1
unchanged
Scenario 2
scaled $1/\sqrt{\mathrm{L}}$





Theoretical uncertainties affects the ultimate precision achievable by LHC experiments (2-5%) Reducing them it is for sure worth the effort!



- ☞ LHC potential to probe **3 generations**
  - a few % precision for $\mathbf{3^{rd}}$ **generation**
    - ➔ Higgs decays to fermions ($\tau\tau$, bb)
  - access to $\mathbf{2^{nd}}$ **generation** fermions
    - ➔ possibly test lepton universality: $\boldsymbol{\sigma_{H\to\tau\tau}/\sigma_{H\to\mu\mu} = (m_\tau/m_\mu)^2}$
  - $\mathbf{1^{st}}$ **generation** is out of LHC reach
    - ➔ 1 $H \to ee$ event is expected







- ☞ Many models can be probed via the 1^{nd} and 2^{nd} generations
  - push energies and luminosity
  - production through leptons requires high beam quality $\mathbf{\Delta E/E \leq 10^{-4}}$

Even observation of $H \to \mu\mu$ at LHC is tough!

Several models predict SM-like Higgs but different physics at high energy

- ☞ Direct access to EW theory in the unbroken regime ($\sqrt{s} \gg v = 246$ GeV) is a crucial **closure test of the SM**
  - ➠ does H(125) regularize the theory
  - ➠ or is there any new dynamics: anomalous quatric couplings or resonances



Need $|\eta| \geq 6$ at 100 TeV

10% precision on the SM VBS cross-section (discovery if NP observed at 1 TeV) can be reached with HL-LHC

$V_LV_L \rightarrow V_LV_L$ *violates unitarity at TeV scale without Higgs exchange diagram*





Evidence 3.6 σ for EW VBS having 2 same-sign leptons and 2 high mass forward jets

NP at TeV-scale are put under the pressure by the LHC limits

- LHC explored a very vast range of masses, parameters, signatures including $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$
- LHC reuse with 14 TeV will be a new game
  - improve sensitivity on **mass scale about x2** with respect to 8 TeV searches
  - modest improvement in limit **from 1.2 TeV to 1.4 TeV** with 10x s-top production at 14 TeV so far

Parton Luminosities:

rise due to steep fall-off of the lower energy PDF at large x





- If NP exists at the TeV scale and is discovered at **the LHC running at 14 TeV**
  - its **mass spectrum** is quite heavy
  - its **full spectrum** is likely out of reach

Whatever is found or not, pushing energy frontier is inevitable

$\Delta M_H^2 \sim$  $+...\mathcal{O}(E^2)$

- Search for **new particles** up to 10 TeV
  - no NP at 1 TeV $\rightarrow$ 1% fine-tuning
  - no NP at 10 TeV $\rightarrow 10^{-4}$ fine-tuning

Never seen 10^{-4} fine-tuning in PP!



- More precise SM measurements
  - top Yukawa: $\mathbf{\Delta g_{Htt}/\Delta g_{Htt} \sim 1\%}$
  - self-coupling: $\mathbf{\Delta\lambda/\lambda \leq 10\%}$
- Extend mass reach to verify that unitarity is preserved ($\mathbf{V_L V_L}$ **scattering**)



Very high energy (≥ 50 TeV) hadron collider is needed to explore E-scale up to $\sim$10 TeV

Effect of New Physics on couplings:

$$\Delta g_{HXX}/g_{HXX} \lesssim 5\% \times \left(\frac{1\ \mathrm{TeV}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$$

- SUSY model modifies tree level couplings and predict largest effect for b and τ

$$\frac{k_{b,\tau}}{k^{SM}_{b,\tau}} \simeq 1 + 40\%\left(\frac{200\ \mathrm{GeV}}{m_A}\right)^2$$

- **Loop induced** couplings are modified due to a scalar top-partner contribution as

$$\frac{k_g}{k^{SM}_g} \simeq 1 + 1.4\%\left(\frac{1\ \mathrm{TeV}}{m_T}\right)^2, \quad \frac{k_\gamma}{k^{SM}_\gamma} \simeq 1 - 0.4\%\left(\frac{1\ \mathrm{TeV}}{m_T}\right)^2$$

- **Compositness models** reduce couplings according to compositness scale ($\xi^{SM} = 0$)

$$\frac{k_V}{k^{SM}_V} = \sqrt{1-\xi}, \quad \frac{k_f}{k^{SM}_f} = \frac{1-(1+n)\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi}}, \quad n = 0,1,2$$



$\Delta k/k \simeq$0.1-1% precision is needed for discovery!

Possible High Energy Frontier Machines

- Next generation linear collider in **Japan**
  - **International Linear Collider-ILC:**
    e^+e^- collisions up to 1 TeV
- Post-LHC accelerator projects at **CERN**
  - **Future Circular Collider-FCC:**
    FCC-hh (100 TeV), FCC-e^+e^- (350 GeV), possibly ep
  - **Compact LInear Collider-CLIC:**
    e^+e^- collisions up to 3 TeV
- Circular Collider project in **China**
  - **Circular Electron Positron Collider-CEPC:**
    CEPC e^+e^- (250 GeV), SppC pp collider (70-90 TeV)
- **Muon collider** $\leq$5 TeV, **US** (Neutrino Factory first step)







Maximum exploitation of CERN accelerator complex is Europe's top priority: injectors, LEP/LHC tunnel, infrastructure, etc

☞ Two possible cases toward higher energy

- ➠ use existing LEP/LHC 27 km tunnel to reach 33 TeV collisions **HE-LHC**
- ➠ build (or reuse) new 100 km tunnel to reach 100 TeV collisions **FCC-hh**





	Ring, km	Field, T	$\sqrt{s}$, TeV	L, 10^{34}
LHC	27	8.3	14	≤5
HE-LHC	27	16	26	5
HE-LHC	27	20	33	5
SppC-1	50	12	50	2
SppC-2	70	19	90	2.8
FCC-hh	80	8.3	42	–
FCC-hh	80	20	100	≥5
FCC-hh	100	16	100	≥5

Both cases require innovative SC R&D to build 16-20 Tesla magnets

Nb_3Sn up to 16 T; HTS needed for 20 T!

- Beam parameters are not too different from those for the HL-LHC
  - the machine design looks feasible!
  - 25 ns bunch spacing as baseline
    - → 5 ns considered to mitigate PU
- Energy of each beam above 8 GJ **(Airbus 380 at 780 km/h)**
  - extremely demanding project for machine protection issue!
  - collimation to protect experiments
  - protection against quenches
  - high radiation at IP (shielding)
- Approximately x1000 more SR
  - significant power for cooling

Parameter	HL-LHC	FCC-hh
Energy c.m. (TeV)	14	100
Luminosity ($10^{34}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$)	5.0	5.0
Circumference (km)	27	100
Dipole Field (T)	8.3	20
Stored energy (MJ)	390	8400
E-loss/turn (keV)	7	5000
SR Power (kW)	3.6	5800
Bunch spacing (ns)	25	25 (5)
Bunch population (10^{11})	2.2	1(0.2)
Number of bunches	2808	10600(53000)
Pile-up/bx	140	170 (34)



Design takes a reasonable compromise between feasibility and some aggressive choices to avoid excessive cost

Dipole design uses forefront multiple SC material technology (cost is critical!)





from L. Rossi

☞ A 20 T dipole poses big challenges:

- ➠ obtain with compact coil
- ➠ shield it with limited dimensions iron
- ➠ manage the stresses to avoid degradation of the conductor

Material	No turns	Coil fraction (%)	Peak field (T)	$J_{overall}$ (A/mm^2)
Nb-Ti	41	27	8	380
Nb_3Sn (high J_c)	55	37	13	380
Nb_3Sn (low J_c)	30	20	15	190
HTS	24	16	20.5	380



Vigorous R&D program is needed to demonstrate the viability of HTS-based cables and magnet engineering design

Magnets for HL-LHC is an indispensable first step!

Challenges in Detector Design

Detector designed for radiation hardness and pile-up rejection

☞ **Major challenges (few examples):**

- ultra-granular, fast, rad-hard, low power
- calorimeter coverage over $|\eta| \geq 6$
- CMS inspired design: $15\text{m}^3 \sim 120\text{kTons}$ (≥250M€ raw material) of iron
  - ➔ $\text{B}_{\text{in}} = 8.3\ \text{T}$ main solenoid with active shield $\text{B}_{\text{out}} = 2.3\ \text{T}$
  - ➔ combination of solenoid and torroids

50% of signal at $\sqrt{s} = 100$ TeV *has jets with* $|\eta| \geq 5$ *(ATLAS, CMS:* $|\eta| \leq 5$*)*



Very high forces

(optimization is needed!)



☞ Point-like elementary particles

- well-defined and tunable energy
- uses full COM energy
- possible polarization of incoming particles

☞ Only EW interactions

- low SM background
- no selective trigger needed
- detectors designed for precision measurements (PFA concept)
- mostly fully reconstructed events

$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	Physics program
90	Z-pole EW measurements beyond LEP
160	WW precision physics at threshold
250	precision Higgs couplings (HZ)
350	precision Higgs couplings (HZ, H$\nu\nu$) top precision physics at threshold
≥500	ttH, HH (self-couplings) direct searches for NP



A linear collider (LC) is the way to push energy of lepton collisions

(circular e^+e^- colliders much beyond LEP energy is challenge!)



$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	250	500	1000
Luminosity ($10^{34}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$)	**0.75**	**1.8**	**3.6**
Beam size ($\sigma_x/\boldsymbol{\sigma_y}$ **nm**)	730/**8**	470/**6**	480/**3**
Cavity Gradient (MV/m)	14.7	31.5	45
Pulse duration (ms)	0.75	0.75	0.9
Bunch population (10^{10})	2	2	1.7
# bunches/train	1312	1312	2450
Frequency (Hz)	5	5	4
Total AC power (MW)	158	162	300

- Charged particles on bent trajectories emit synchrotron radiation (SR)
  - energy loss per turn (needs to be replaced by RF):

$$\Delta E_{turn} \propto \frac{E^4}{\rho}$$

- A LC has (almost) no radiation losses
  - no bending magnets, lots of RF power
  - accelerate particles in one shot
  - **costs scale linearly** €$\sim E$

- ILC is planned with **two experiments**
  - energy range (baseline design): **250-500 GeV** (upgradeable to 1 TeV)
  - luminosity: 500 fb^{-1} (first 4 years)
  - polarization: **80(30)% for $e^-(e^+)$**

High electric field gradients are realized by 9-cell superconducting (SC) niobium cavities, cooled by 2 K Helium (needs mass production ~ 15000)

- SC cavities absorbs little power
  - reach higher gradient (1.3 GHz)
  - need high efficiency
- Low rate requires squeezing beams to nm size: $\mathbf{L \propto 1/\sigma_y \propto \sqrt{E}}$
  - **low emitance damping rings**
  - large beamstrahlung
- **Industrialization of technology**
  - 17.5 GeV prototype: **XFEL facility** at DESY is about **5% of ILC**
  - ATF2 operating at KEK, currently achieved $\sigma_y = 45 \pm 3\text{nm}$
- Demonstraition of e^+**-source feasibility**





Cavity gradient performance is not uniform, but satisfactory!

CLIC CDR released in 2012



$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	500	3000
Luminosity ($10^{34}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$)	**2.3**	**5.9**
Beam size ($\sigma_x/\boldsymbol{\sigma_y}$ **nm**)	40/**3**	40/**1**
Cavity Gradient (MV/m)	80	100
#bunches/train	354	312
Pulse duration (ns)	0.5	0.5
Frequency (Hz)	50	50
Total AC power (MW)		**600**

2-beam-acceleration concept:
12 GHz RF power is generated by low-E high intensity drive beam and transferred to accelerate the main beam

☞ Main challenges:

- 100 MV/m gradient (50 km)
- stable deceleration of drive beam
- production of RF power
- 156 ns beam trains
- 0.5 ns bunch spacing
- small emitance main beam
  ➔ precise alignment
- keep nm beam size at IP

Although a lot of progress achieved, still a lot of R&D needed!

Primary cost driver for the FCCee storage ring is the tunnel!

- ☞ **Main features:**
  - ➠ very high luminosity
  - ➠ multi-interaction region
  - ➠ low beamstrahlung
  - ➠ excellent E_{beam} knowledge
- ☞ Profit from LEP, PEPII, KEKB
  - ➠ super-KEKB has even more stringent requirements
- ☞ **2-rings** option with crab-waist concept (multi-bunch mode)
  - ➠ required for Z-pole and WW threshold operation
  - ➠ E-range : **90-350 GeV**

FCCee/TLEP





Single-ring scheme: $N^{max}_{bunches} \sim 50$

	FCCee-Z	FCCee-W	FCCee-H	FCCee-t	CEPC
$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	90	160	240	350	240
L ($10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	**28**	**12**	**6**	**1.8**	**1.8**
# bunches	16700	4490	1360	98	50
Total RF voltage (GV)	2.5		5.5	11	6.9
Vertical beam size (nm)	250	130	44	45	160
Beam lifetime (min)	200	50	21	15	60
Total AC Power (MW)	250	250	260	300	250
Lint (ab^{-1}/year/IP)	2.8	1.2	0.6	0.18	0.18

Luminosity increases at low energy!

☞ The maximum SR power is set to

$$\mathbf{P_{SR} = 50\ MW/beam}$$

- ➠ drive the machine design
- ➠ determine the maximum beam current at each energy ($\rho_{\rm arc} \simeq 11$km) (SR limits number of bunches to be accelerated for given RF power)
- ➠ aiming for SC RF cavities with **20 MV/m gradient**
  ➔ RF frequency of 400 MHz

☞ Large bunch population and beamstrahlung at IP limit $\tau_{\rm beam}$ ∼20-15 minutes at high energy

The beams must be topped up continuously!





- ILC released TDR in 2013
  - $\mathbf{x10^4}$ of SLC performance
- FCC aims for a CDR in 2018
  - 100 TeV pp: ultimate goal
  - 90-350 GeV e^+e^-: first step
  - 3.5-6 TeV ep: option

ILC is more advanced in R&D program aimed to demonstrate its feasibility



Param. units	Size km	$\sqrt{s}$ GeV	RF MV/m	Lumi/IP 10^{34}	# IP	Rate Hz	σ_x μm	σ_y nm	Lumi 1% of $\sqrt{s}$	Polarization $e^+/e^-, \%$	Cost estimate	Start approx.
FCC-ee	100	240	20	6	2	$2x10^7$	22	45	$\geq 99\%$	≤161 GeV	tunnel 60%	≥2030
CEPC	54	240	20	1.8	4	$4x10^5$	74	160	$\geq 99\%$	≤161 GeV	3 B$	2028
ILC-1	31	250	14.7	0.75	1	5	0.7	7.7	87%	80/30	8 B$	2026
ILC-2	31	500	31.5	1.8	1	5	0.5	5.9	58%	80/30	(material)	≥2030
CLIC	48	3000	100	6	1	50	0.04	1.0	33%	80/possible	8+4 BCHF	≥2030

Direct *sensitivity to high-scale NP by search for new particles up to* $\mathbf{m \sim \sqrt{s}/2}$,
Indirect *via precision measurements up to* $\mathbf{\Lambda \sim \mathcal{O}(100)}$ **TeV**

- **FCC-ee Tera-Z factory:**
  - **10^{12} Z:** LEP1 dataset every 15'
    10^{13} Z possible with crab sextupoles scheme
    [Phys.Rev.ST Accel.Beams **17**, 041004 (2014)]
  - **$5 \cdot 10^7$ WW** $\Rightarrow \Delta m_W \leq 1\text{MeV}$
  - **10^6 tt** $\Rightarrow \Delta m_t \leq 10\text{MeV}$
- Polarization is possible **up to WW**
  - energy calibration at $\Delta E \simeq 0.1\text{MeV}$
  - physics with longitudinal polarization

Detector design for e^+e^- colliders profit from 15 years dedicated R&D program of LC experiments (ILD, SiD, CLIC)





	$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	L (ab^{-1})	N_H (10^6)	N_{ttH}	N_{HH}
FCCee	0.24+0.35	10	2	–	–
ILC(500)	0.25+0.5	0.75	0.2	1000	100
ILC(1000)	0.25+0.5+1	1.75	0.5	3000	400
CLIC(3000)	0.35+1.4+3	3.5	1.5	3000	3000

				ttγγ, tt4l	bbγγ
HL-LHC	14	3+3	180	3600 ttγγ	250
FCC-hh	100	3	5400	12000 tt4l	20000

A 100 TeV pp collider is the most promising instrument to explore 10 TeV E-scale directly

3 ab^{-1} provides very significant sensitivity to NP

Particle	σ (fb)	Limit (TeV)
Excited quark q^*	10^{-2}	**50**
Z' ($Z' \to l^+l^-$)	$4 \cdot 10^{-3}$	**30**
squark $\tilde{q}$	0.4	**8**
gluino $\tilde{g}$	2	**13**
stop $\tilde{t}$	0.2	**6**







Extend mass reach up to 10 TeV to verify unitarity by probing $\mathbf{V_L V_L \to V_L V_L}$

Extracting Higgs couplings requires assumptions at LHC

☞ e^+e^- **machine provides a direct access to the Γ_H through the Z recoil**

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH) \propto g^2_{HZZ}$$
$$\Gamma_H = \frac{\Gamma(H\to ZZ)}{\mathcal{B}(H\to ZZ)} \propto \frac{g^2_{HZZ}}{\mathcal{B}(H\to ZZ)}$$

☞ Can also be measured with VBF process

$$\Gamma_H = \frac{\Gamma(H\to WW)}{\mathcal{B}(H\to WW)} = \frac{\sigma(\nu\nu H; H\to bb)}{\mathcal{B}(H\to WW)\mathcal{B}(H\to bb)}$$

Process	FCCee	ILC
$e^+e^- \to ZH\ (H \to ZZ)$	3.1%	20%
$WW \to H\ (H \to bb)$@250 GeV	2.4%	12%
$WW \to H\ (H \to bb)$@350 GeV	1.2%	7.0%
$WW \to H\ (H \to bb)$@500 GeV	-	7.0 %
$WW \to H\ (H \to bb)$@1000 GeV	-	11.7%
Combined $\Delta\Gamma_H/\Gamma_H$	**1.0%**	**4.6%**

FCC-ee is more powerful for overall Γ_H due to higher statistics $\mathcal{B}_{XX} \propto \sigma(HZ, H \to XX)$

Keyword: luminosity!









$\sigma_{\nu\nu H} \times \mathcal{B}(H \to bb)$

$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	FCCee	ILC
250	2.2%	10.5%
350	0.6%	1.0%
500	–	0.7%
1000	–	0.5%

Both FCC-ee and ILC can ultimately reach 0.5% precision for VBF process

HL-LHC can ultimately reach 2-5% for most of couplings and observe couplings to μ and top, but assumes SM Γ_H (model dependent)

Coupling $\sqrt{s}$, GeV L, ab^{-1}	HL-LHC 14000 3+3	FCCee 240+350 10+2.6	ILC(500) 250+500 0.25+0.5	ILC(1000) +1000 0.25+0.5+1	CLIC(3000) ++3000 0.5+1.5+2
k_W	2-5%	0.19%	1.2%	1.2%	2.1%
k_Z	2-4%	0.15%	1.0%	1.0%	2.1%
k_g	3-5%	0.8%	2.3%	1.6%	2.2%
k_γ	2-5%	1.5%	8.4%	4.0%	5.9%
k_μ	7%	6.2%	–	16	5.6%
$\boldsymbol{k_c}$	–	0.71%	2.8%	1.8%	2.2%
$\boldsymbol{k_\tau}$	2-5%	0.54%	2.3%	1.7%	2.5%
$\boldsymbol{k_b}$	4-7%	0.42%	1.6%	1.3%	2.1%
k_t	~5%	13%(indir.)	14%	**3.1%**	4.5%
λ	~30%	(indirect?)	83%	21%	**10%**
$\mathbf{BR_{inv}}$	≤10%	≤0.2%	0.9%	0.9%	NA
$\mathbf{\Gamma_{tot}}$	–	1.0%	5.0%	4.6%	NA

FCC-hh:
$k_t \sim 1\%$,
$\lambda \sim 8\%$

FCC-he:
$k_b \sim 1\%$,
$\lambda \leq 10\%$
(absence of PU)

e^+e^- *Higgs factories can go much beyond HL-LHC and perform model independent Γ_H measurement and access to all decay modes*

Best precision (few 0.1%) at circular colliders (thanks to luminosity!), except for heavy states (ttH and HH) where high energy (LC, FCC-hh) are required

Measurements at WW and tt-thresholds

- Determine tt-threshold lineshape for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{tt}}$, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{tt}}^{\mathbf{max}}$, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{FB}}$ observables
  - multi-parameter fit to $\mathrm{m_{top}}$, Γ_{top} and $\mathrm{g_{Htt}}$
  - ILC cross section is higher due to polarizaiton
  - FCCee has precise beam-energy knowledge

	$\mathrm{m_{top}}$	Γ_{top}	$\mathrm{g_{Htt}}$
TLEP	10 MeV	11 MeV	13%
ILC	31 MeV	34 MeV	40%



- Present δm_t and δm_Z are responsible for dominant parametric uncertainty on $\Delta\mathrm{m_W}$

	LHC		ILC		FCCee	
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.
$\Delta\mathrm{m_W}$ (MeV)	10	4	7	1.0	0.5	1.0
$\Delta\mathrm{m_{top}}$ (MeV)	600	250	34	100	10	100
$\Delta\mathrm{m_H}$ (MeV)	100		35		7	
$\Delta\mathrm{m_H}$ (MeV) (EWK fit)	**19**	**9.0**	**6.6**	**2.4**	**1.8**	**2.8**



Theoretical efforts are needed to match present and future precision on EW observables

Circular colliders can profit from precision measurement of α_s at Tera-Z and Oku-W

CP-violation generated by the SM in quark sector is too small to explain BAU

- Large mixing θ_{13} points to sizable **CP-violation in lepton sector** (needs very high intensity ν-beam!)
- Possible solution for neutrino mass term
  - existence of (2 or 3) families of massive right-handed (sterile) neutrinos
- **Manifestation of sterile neutrinos would be a sign of NP**
  - consequences in mixing with active neutrinos, direct search (T2K, SHIP)
  - possibly measurable in **colliders** if mixing with EW sector is sizable
    - → deficit in **Z invisible** decay width
    - → LEP: $N_\nu = 2.984 \pm 0.008$ (close to the systematic limit)



- FCC-ee opens new possibility for ν counting in $Z\gamma$, ZZ and ZH

$$N_\nu = \frac{N(XZ_{inv})}{N(XZ_{ee,\mu\mu})} / \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\nu_l}}{\Gamma_l}\right)_{SM}$$

Statistical sensitivity of $\boldsymbol{\delta N_\nu \leq 0.001}$ *could be achievable and perhaps better* **if run at 126 GeV is considered**

Definitive measurement at future Neutrino Factories (NF)

Muon accelerator facility can address outstanding questions spanning both Neutrino and Higgs sectors

- Concept of ν/**Higgs-Factory:**
  - provide equal fractions of ν_e and ν_μ at very high intensity 10^{21}/year
    $\mu^+ \to e^+\nu_e\bar{\nu}_\mu,\ \mu^- \to e^-\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\mu$
  - SR is strongly suppressed
    - ➔ reach **multi-TeV** collision energy
    - ➔ **high quality** colliding beams
- Important impact:
  - short lifetime ($2.2\mu s$ at rest) limits acceleration and storage time
  - deal with decay background (new!)
- **P5:** the US effort is ramping down

First stage: Neutrino Factory (NF)

Parameters	νSTORM	NuMAX	NuMAX+
Intensity (ν/year)	$3 \cdot 10^{17}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{20}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^{20}$
Stored (μ/year)	$8 \cdot 10^{17}$	$4.7 \cdot 10^{20}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{21}$
Ring momentum (GeV)	3.8	5.0	5.0
Circumference (m)	480	737	737
Bunch population	$6.9 \cdot 10^{9}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{10}$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{10}$
Number of bunches	-	60	60
Frequency (Hz)	-	30	60
6D Cooling	No	Initial	Initial
P-Driver Power (MW)	0.2	1	2.75

Muon collider goes beyond a NF Facility and requires innovative accelerator R&D (6D Cooling)

Parameters	H-Factory	Multi-TeV
Energy c.m. (GeV)	126	3.0
Luminosity ($cm^{-2}s^{-1}$)	10^{32}	$5 \cdot 10^{34}$
Circumference (km)	0.3	4.4
Beam size (μm)	75	3
Bunch population	$4 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2 \cdot 10^{12}$
Number of bunches	1	1
Frequency (Hz)	15	12
Energy Spread (%)	0.003	0.1
P-Driver Power (MW)	4	4

Higgs Factory and Multi-TeV colliders are long term facilities beyond NF

- ☞ Energy spread $\delta\mathrm{E}/\mathrm{E} \leq 10^{-5}$
  - ➠ direct Higgs production via s-channel (Γ_H measurement from natural scan)
  - ➠ precision measurements at threshold
- ☞ Multi-TeV capability ($\lesssim$ **10 TeV**)
  - ➠ very compact machine!
  - ➠ measure self-coupling $\lesssim 10\%$
  - ➠ route to direct NP production via leptons beyond LC energy reach
- ☞ Feasible at FCC-ee due to exceptionally high luminosity at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}} = 126$ GeV
  - ➠ unique possibility to access $\mathrm{g_{Hee}}$

Possible observation with 1(10)ab^{-1} if $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_{SM} \leq 4.6(1.4)$

$\mu^+\mu^- \to \mathrm{H}$ ($\sigma \sim 70$ pb)





Additional 40% reduction due to ISR



The facilities being discussed

- e^+e^- Linear Colliders
- HE e^+e^- Storage Rings
- HE pp Colliders

from N. Walker

Conclusions

LHC remains a main source of information and will continue to drive initial observations in the coming years

☞ HL-LHC is the **highest-priority near-term large project** supported by both **Europe and US**

☞ The discovery of a Higgs boson completed the SM, but major questions remain

☞ **Powerful high energy frontier accelerators** will be needed to address them

- ➠ **cutting edge** technologies are vital to pursuit the realization of our ambitious vision
- ➠ mitigation of technological risks would probably let the cost go up, but ...
- ➠ **LHC has proven, one can firmly risk to advance our knowledge!**
- ➠ **the international participation** is a must for any of the future projects

☞ With the Higgs discovery **the known path is over**, we do not know what is beyond

- ➠ we will probably keep all options open by the time **when physics results from LHC running at 14 TeV will be available**

A wise strategy is an opportunity for all possibilities and not a restraint in a few choices

Backup

Double Higgs production among the main objectives of HL-LHC, but this process is very challenging

- Low rate makes high demands on detectors and integrated luminosity
  - self coupling diagrams **interferes destructively** with double Higgs processes
    → look for a deficiency in a small signal
  - $\sigma_{\rm HH}(100~{\rm TeV})/\sigma_{\rm HH}(14~{\rm TeV}) \simeq 40$

	LHC	FCCee	ILC 1000	ILC upgrade	CLIC 3000	FCChh
$\Delta\lambda/\lambda$	∼30%	indirect?	21%	13%	10%	∼8%

One of the most difficult measurement both hadron and e^+e^- machines, push energies is pivotal!

Hadron Machines



Higgs self coupling



SM Double Higgs

e^+e^- Machines



$\sqrt{s} \leq 1$ TeV



$\sqrt{s} \geq 1$ TeV



Muon based facility will require development of demanding technologies and innovative concepts (MAP program)



- ν**STORM** project is a critical step toward muon based accelerator complex
  - no new technologies required
  - test muon storage ring
  - $3 \cdot 10^{17}$ decays per year
  - precision ν_e xsection (systematics issue for long baseline experiments)
  - **P5:** the US effort is ramping down
- Demonstration of cooling – **MICE**
  - **ionization cooling:** 10% emitance reduction
  - needs for a full 6D cooling:
    - ➔ 100 RF cavities (15MV/m)
    - ➔ 100 SC 0.15 m coils (2.8 T)
- **Multi-MW proton driver**
  - high gradient SC cavities





- **6D phase space cooling:** reduction by $\mathbf{10^6}$ needed for muon collider
  - very high field solenoids (~20 T)
  - high gradient cavities operating in multi-Tesla field

Extracting Higgs couplings requires assumptions at LHC

$$\sigma\mathcal{B}(ii \to H \to ff) \sim \frac{\Gamma_{ii}\Gamma_{ff}}{\Gamma_H} = \sigma_{SM} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{SM} \frac{k_i^2 \cdot k_f^2}{k_H^2}$$



- Total width $\Gamma_{\mathrm{H}} \propto k_H^2$ is not measurable **(zero width approximation!)**
  - assumed $k_H = \Sigma\, k_i BR_i$, only for i in SM
    - ➔ no contributions from BSM
  - ratios of couplings are model independent
- $\mathbf{\Gamma_H}$ is measurable directly at **a e^+e^- collider!**
- Most couplings will reach systematic limit at LHC
  - **experimental uncertainties** are scaled with luminosity... but how?
  - theoretical uncertainties affects the ultimate precision



Reducing theoretical uncertainties it is for sure worth the effort!

Extracting Higgs couplings requires assumptions at LHC

- Total width $\Gamma_{\rm H} \sim k_H^2$ is not measurable
  - not possible to measure directly a production cross section as at a e^+e^- **collider**
- Follow recommendations and fit models described in Yellow Report 3 [arXiv:1307.1347]
  - assumed $k_H = \Sigma\, k_i BR_i$, only for i in SM
    - ➔ total width controlled by $\rm H \to bb$
    - ➔ $\rm H \to cc$ is a 5% inaccessible contribution (assumed to scale with bb)
    - ➔ no contributions from BSM
- **Global fits targeting the k factors**
  - do not resolve loops, effective coupling instead (k_γ, k_g and $k_{Z\gamma}$)



Results reported in terms of 68% uncertainties (-2$\Delta \ln L$=1) on k

BSM (2HDM)

[FTR-13-024]

Many BSM models have extra doublet $(\mathbf{H, A, H^+, H^-})$

- Search additional Higgs fields at high masses
- Performed full MC analysis of $\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{ZZ}$ and $\mathrm{A} \to \mathrm{Zh}$ resonances in Type I and II 2HDM's
  - **type II includes MSSM**
  - constrained 2HDM parameter space of $\tan\beta$ and $\cos(\beta-\alpha)$
  - indirect constrain from coupling fits favor $\cos(\beta-\alpha) \to 0$ (the SM Higgs boson)
  - H/A decays have tt threshold effect
    - ➔ discovery potential $\mathrm{m_{H/A}} < 2\mathrm{m_t}$ (type II)

Direct search can probe region close to the alignment limit, that may still be allowed by coupling fits