α clustering and flow in light-heavy systems or: **throwing triangles against the wall**

Wojciech Broniowski

Inst. of Nuclear Physics PAN, Cracow, and
Jan Kochanowski U., Kielce



WPCF 14
August 2014, Gyöngyös, Hungary

[research with Enrique Ruiz Arriola, Piotr Bożek, Maciej Rybczyński]

Instead of outline

Two phenomena are related:

α clustering in light nuclei

$\updownarrow$

harmonic flow in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions

Surprising link:

lowest-energy ground-state structure $\longleftrightarrow$ highest energy reactions

- New method of investigating many-particle nuclear correlations
- Another test of collective dynamics/harmonic flow

α clusters

Some history

David Brink: *After Gamow's theory of α-decay it was natural to investigate a model in which nuclei are composed of α-particles. Gamow developed a rather detailed theory of properties in his book "Constitution of Nuclei" published in 1931 before the discovery of the neutron in 1932. He supposed that 4n-nuclei like ^{8}Be, ^{12}C, ^{16}O ... were composed of α-particles*





Fig. 1. Alpha-particle configuration for some 4*N* nuclei.

Shell model (and its problems)

Eugene Wigner, Maria Goeppert-Mayer, Hans Jensen, Nobel in 1963

Michael P. Carpenter: *However, in the 1960s, excited states in nuclei that comprise equal numbers of protons and neutrons, (e.g., ^{12}C and ^{16}O) were identified that could not be described by the shell model, and it was suggested by Ikeda and others that these states could be associated with configurations composed of α particles*

[Recent status: SOTANCP3 Conference, Yokohama, May 2014]

α clusters in light nuclei



^{9}Be



^{12}C

ground

Hoyle 0^+

other excited, 2^+ ...

How can we detect the α clusters in the ground state?
What is their spatial arrangement?
Assessment of n-body correlations (one-body not enough)

Flow

Ultra-relativistic A+A collisions (LHC, RHIC, SPS)

- Lorentz contraction
- Collision: essentially instantaneous passage, frozen configuration
- Reduction of the ground-state wave function of the nucleus (like measurement)





- detection of particles in the transverse direction (mid-rapidity)

Phenomenon of flow

Quark-gluon plasma is formed!



"Initial shape – final flow" transmutation detectable in the asymmetry of the momentum distribution of detected particles – follows from collectivity

Merge the two ideas (α's and flow) $\rightarrow$

From α clusters to flow in relativistic collisions

α clusters $\rightarrow$ asymmetry of shape $\rightarrow$ asymmetry of initial fireball $\rightarrow$
$\rightarrow$ hydro or transport $\rightarrow$ collective harmonic flow



nuclear triangular geometry $\rightarrow$ fireball triangular geometry $\rightarrow$ triangular flow

What are the signatures, chances of detection?
(some blurring by fluctuations)
"Easy snap-shot but difficult development"

Related idea: triton/^{3}He–Au at RHIC [Sickles et al. (PHENIX) 2013]
The case of ^{12}C is more promising, as it leads to more abundant fireballs

Our modeling ^{12}C

Three α's in a triangular arrangement, generate nucleon positions with Monte Carlo, parameters (size of the cluster, distance between clusters) properly adjusted $\rightarrow$ two "extreme" cases





Distribution of centers of protons in ^{12}C

(a) dashed: data from ff (after unfolding the proton ff) $\equiv$ BEC, solid - our BEC, dotted - Jastrow (Buendia et al.)

(b) points - variational MC (Wiringa et al.), line - our VMC

^{12}C-^{208}Pb – single event

Why ultra-relativistic?

Reaction time is much shorter than time scales of the structure
$\rightarrow$ a frozen "snapshot" of the nuclear configuration



wounding range determined by $\sigma_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{inel}}$

($N_w > 70$ - flat-on orientation)

Imprints of the three α clusters clearly visible

Simulations with GLISSANDO 2

... more events



... more events



... more events



... more events



... more events



... more events



... more events



... more events



Our intrinsic distributions in ^{12}C: three α's in a triangular arrangement



^{12}C–^{208}Pb collision

Intrinsic distributions in the *transverse plane* of the fireball (here with $N_w > 70$ – large multiplicity enforcing the flat-on collision)



clustered unclustered

Some triangularity in the unclustered case follows from the fluctuations

Geometry of nucleus $\rightarrow$ geometry of fireball

Triangular nucleus causes triangular "damage"!



intrinsic density of ^{12}C $\rightarrow$



geometry of the fireball

Eccentricity parameters

We need some quantitative measures of deformation (heavily used in heavy-ion analyses)

Eccentricity parameters ϵ_n (Fourier analysis)

$$\epsilon_n e^{in\Phi_n} = \frac{\sum_j \rho_j^n e^{in\phi_j}}{\sum_j \rho_j^n}$$

describe the shape of each event (j labels the sources in the event, n=rank, Φ_n is the principal axis angle)
$n = 2$ – ellipticity, $n = 3$ – triangularity, ...

Two components:

- intrinsic (from existent mean deformation of the fireball)
- from fluctuations

Geometry vs multiplicity correlations in ^{12}C-Pb

Two cases of angular orientation

cluster plane parallel or perpendicular to the transverse plane:



higher multiplicity	lower multiplicity
higher triangularity	lower triangularity
lower ellipticity	higher ellipticity

Ellipticity and triangularity vs multiplicity

[WB & ERA, PRL 112 (2014) 112501]



clustered



unclustered

Clusters: (qualitative signal!)

When N_w ↗ then $\langle\epsilon_3\rangle$ ↗ and $\langle\epsilon_2\rangle$ ↘

and $\langle\sigma(\epsilon_3)/\epsilon_3\rangle$ ↘, $\langle\sigma(\epsilon_2)/\epsilon_2\rangle$ ↗

No clusters:

similar behavior for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$

Shape-flow transmutation

The eccentricity parameters are transformed (in all models based on collective dynamics) into asymmetry of the transverse-momentum flow.
Linear response:

v_n grows with ϵ_n



[Bożek 3+1 viscous hydro + THERMINATOR]

Cumulant moments

$$\epsilon_n\{2\}^2 = \langle \epsilon_n^2 \rangle, \ \epsilon_n\{4\}^4 = 2\langle \epsilon_n^2 \rangle - \langle \epsilon_n^4 \rangle$$

$$v_n\{m\} \sim \epsilon_n\{m\}, \ \ n = 2, 3, \ m = 2, 4, 6, \ldots$$

Cumulant moments





Cumulant moments



Cumulant moments



Ratios of cumulant moments



Ratios of cumulant moments



Dependence on the collision energy







$\sigma_{\mathrm{NN}}^{\mathrm{inel}}$ =32mb (SPS) 42mb (RHIC) 72mb (LHC)

Qualitative conclusions hold from SPS to the LHC

Other systems



Other systems (distributions matched to Wiringa's et al. radial densities)











[work with Maciej Rybczyński]

Conclusions

Nuclear structure from ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions

Snapshots of the ground-state wave function

Spatial correlations in the ground state $\rightarrow$ harmonic flow

Signatures in clustered ^{12}C-^{208}Pb collisions

- Increase of triangularity with multiplicity for the highest multiplicity events
- Corresponding decrease of the scaled variance of triangularity
- Anticorrelation of ellipticity and triangularity
- Clear signals from cumulant moments for $c < 10\%$
- Stronger effect at lower $\sigma_{NN}^{\mathrm{inel}}$ (i.e., at lower collision energies)
- Even stronger effect on the ^{12}C side in rapidity
- Effect depends on the nuclear wave function

Possible data (NA61@SPS, RHIC) in conjunction with a detailed knowledge of the evolution of the fireball would allow to place constrains on the α-cluster structure of the colliding nuclei. Conversely, the knowledge of the clustered nuclear distributions helps to verify the fireball evolution models

Back-up

Intrinsic distributions

Ground state of ^{12}C is a 0^+ state (rotationally symmetric wave function). The meaning of *deformation* concerns multiparticle correlations between the nucleons

Superposition over orientations:

$$|\Psi_{0^+}(x_1,\ldots,x_N)\rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d\Omega \Psi_{\rm intr}(x_1,\ldots,x_N;\Omega)$$

The *intrinsic* density of sources of rank n is defined as the average over events, where the distributions in each event have aligned principal axes: $f_n^{\rm intr}(\vec{x}) = \langle f(R(-\Phi_n)\vec{x})\rangle$. Brackets indicate averaging over events and $R(-\Phi_n)$ is the inverse rotation by the principal-axis angle in each event