



## HOM-based Beam Diagnostics: from FLASH to the European XFEL

### <u>N. Baboi</u> for WP12, Task 4 HOM-based Beam Diagnostics

EuCARD-2 Annual Meeting, 20 May 2014







The Cockcroft Institute



The University of Manchester



ASSOCIATION

EuCARD-2 is co-funded by the partners and the European Commission under Capacities 7th Framework Programme, Grant Agreement 312453





- Aim, principle, benefits
- HOMBPMs for 1.3 GHz cavities
  - Experience at FLASH
  - Status of work for the E-XFEL
- HOMBPMs for 3.9 GHz cavities
  - Experience at FLASH (EuCARD)
  - Status for XFEL
- HOM-based beam phase
- Summary





- HOM-based beam diagnostics for the European XFEL (E-XFEL)
  - Beam phase (wrt RF) and position (HOMBPM)
  - In 3.9 GHz and 1.3 GHz cavities



Strong interconnection to the FLASH linac











- <u>Additional beam diagnostics</u> without additional vacuum component
  - Additional information on cavity alignment
- <u>Reduction in emittance dilution</u> from transverse wakefields by centering beam in accelerating cavities
- Direct, <u>on-line measurement of beam phase</u> wrt RF phase

# **EUCARD<sup>2</sup>** Accelerating Cavities in the E-XFEL and FLASH





#### HOMBPMs at FLASH: 1.3 GHz Cavities

- Electronics built by SLAC
- HOMBPM-electronics installed in 40 cavities in FLASH
  - Use 1 dipole mode at 1.7 GHz
  - Used as operator tool for beam alignment
  - Used for measurement of cavity alignment
  - Demonstrated use as BPM 10 μm rms resolution
- EuCARD-2
  - Study stability of calibration into BPM-signals







#### HOMBPMs at FLASH: 1.3 GHz Cavities (cont. 1)

- Preliminary stability study
  - Mostly parasitical measurements
  - It seems that there is a strong correlation between calibration
    stability and the data position
- Relevant for the E-XFEL as well





#### HOMBPMs at the E-XFEL: **1.3 GHz Cavities**

- **Electronics** Design •
  - Direct sampling of all three frequencies without any DCW
  - MTCA standard
  - Multiple filtering

Input signal

*Not object of EuCARD-2* 





#### HOMBPMs at FLASH: 3.9 GHz Cavities

- Theoretical studies made within EuCARD
- 4 coupled cavities





HOMBPMs at FLASH: 3.9 GHz Cavities (cont. 1)

Experimental studies made within EuCARD

- Specs for FLASH and E-XFEL
- EuCARD<sup>2</sup>: Stability study





Pei Zhang,
ex-DESY
(now CERN)

Position typeResolution# of channelsLocal position in the cavity~ 50 μm6 HOM couplersGlobal position over the module~ 20 μm2 HOM couplers



#### HOMBPMs at FLASH: 3.9 GHz Cavities (cont. 2)

- Electronics built at FNAL
  - Now under commissioning



 Will serve as prototype for the E-XFEL



Nathan Eddy, FNAL





#### HOMBPMs at the E-XFEL: 3.9 GHz Cavities

- Electronics under design, based on same specifications as for FLASH
  - Designed at DESY
  - Design of 5GHz DCW and PLL ready

Thomas Wamsat, DESY





HOMBPMs at the E-XFEL: 3.9 GHz Cavities (cont. 1)

- But much more challenging:
  - 8 coupled cavities cf. 4
  - 4.5 cf 1 MHz bunch frequency
  - Different orientation of cavities
- EuCARD<sup>2</sup>: Need significant theoretical and experimental studies
  - Prototype cavity arrived at DESY and will be measured soon
  - SSC (State Space Concatenations) approach developed at Uni Rostock and ready for simulation of 8-cavity simulations
  - Direct simulations of full module started at Uni Manchester



HOMBPMs at the E-XFEL: 3.9 GHz Cavities (cont. 2)

#### Impedance Parameter Comparison with SSC





#### HOM-based Beam Phase Measurement wrt RF



- Phase monitors for 1.3 GHz cavities
- No nice monopole mode found for 3.9 GHz cavities

80

60

40

20



HOM-based Beam Phase Measurement wrt RF (cont)

- Same electronics as for HOMBPMs / 1.3GHz / E-XFEL
  - Prototype under construction
  - First beam tests expected in the next few months







- Aim: HOM-based beam diagnostics for the E-XFEL
- Based on large previous experience at FLASH
  - <u>1.3 GHz cavities</u>: same specs as FLASH
    New concept for electronics, no DCW, multiple filter
    New: <u>beam phase</u>
  - <u>3.9 GHz cavities</u>: same specs as in FLASH, but much more challenging:
    - 8 coupling cavities instead of 4
    - different orientation of cavities
    - 4.5 MHz beam instead of 1 MHz

