# Near Future Upgrades of the CMS Pixel Detector



Ashish Kumar SUNY at Buffalo (for the CMS Collaboration)



**University at Buffalo** *The State University of New York* 

International Workshop on Semiconductor Pixel Detectors for Particles and Imaging (PIXEL2014) Sept. 1-5, Niagara Falls, Canada







- Motivations for Upgrade & Constraints
- □ Elements of Phase 1 Upgrade
- **Expected Performance**
- □ Status of Upgrade
- Pilot System
- □ Summary

# The CMS Tracker



- All-silicon tracker : largest silicon detector ever built. Immersed in 3.8 T magnetic field
  - Strips

9.3 M channels, Area : 200 m<sup>2</sup>

- Pixels

66 M channels, Area: 1 m<sup>2</sup>







# **Present CMS Pixel Tracker**



- BPIX (barrel)
  - 3 layers
    - r = 4.3, 7.2, 10.8 cm

Radius

48M pixels, 0.78 m<sup>2</sup>



- FPIX (forward)
  - 2 disks / endcap
    - z = 34.5, 46.5 cm
    - 6 cm < r < 15 cm
  - 18M pixels, 0.28 m<sup>2</sup>





- 3-hit (2-hit) coverage for tracks |η| ~2.1 (<2.5)</li>
- Plays crucial role in vertexing (primary and secondary vertices) and tracking

#### LHC Run 1: Performance



- Excellent performance of LHC with peak luminosity 7.67x10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- Almost at design luminosity with bunch spacing 50 ns
- Overlapping interactions per bunch crossing up to 34 at begin of store



Multiple interactions per bunch crossing (pile-up)



- Excellent data taking efficiency
- Stable performance of Pixel detector
  - Fully operational 96%
  - Pixel hit efficiency >99%



#### Covered in the talks by Frank Meier & Janos Karancsi









- Criteria for upgrade
  - Operate with a baseline pileup of ~50 events/crossing, tolerate max of 100
  - Maintain low trigger thresholds with increased event rate
  - Survive doses corresponding to luminosity of ~500 fb<sup>-1</sup>

# Why Upgrade the Pixel Detector?



- Pixel tracker designed for maximum
   L<sub>inst</sub>=1x10<sup>34</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- $L_{inst}=2x10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> likely before 2018
  - Limits of readout chip buffers & speed, high data loss (16% @25 ns / 50% @50 ns) for Layer 1
  - Increasing effects of radiation damage: degradation of spatial resolution Sensors designed to run at design lumi for 2 years with expected fluences of up to 0.6x10<sup>14</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> for Layer 1
  - Reduced physics performance: difficult pattern recognition







**Goal**: Sustain the current pixel performance (efficiency / low fake-rate, resolution) at 50 interactions per bunch-crossing or higher

#### • Elements of upgrade

- More layers (3→4 barrel layers, 2x2→2x3 forward disks) : more 3D space points for robust pattern recognition
- Improved mechanics, cooling and powering : reduction of material in tracking volume => less multiple scattering, fewer photon conversions
- New readout electronics to handle higher rates
- Minimize degradation due to radiation damage : lower temperature, lower pixel threshold
- Constraints of upgrade
  - Schedule : Install during 2016/2017 extended year-end stop
  - Keep services (cables, pipes, fibers ...)
  - Be compatible with the new smaller diameter beam pipe



#### From 3- to-4- Hit Tracking

- Optimized detector layout for 4-hit coverage over full η range (±2.5) with a radius of the innermost layer as close to beam pipe as possible
  - additional 4<sup>th</sup> BPIX layer: 48M pixels → 79M pixels
  - additional 3<sup>rd</sup> FPIX disks: 18M pixels → 45M pixels
- Improves efficiency and resolution for pixel-only tracks important for:
  - High level Triggering
  - Seeds for full tracking=> higher track efficiency and lower fake track rate
  - Vertexing: both primary (-> pile up) and secondary (-> b-tagging)
- Improves impact parameter resolution (-> b-tagging)



# **Barrel Pixel (BPIX) Layout**



 Arranged in 4 layers in 2 halfbarrels : 1184 modules of the same type

layer	radius	faces	modules
1	29 mm	12	96
2	68 mm	28	224
3	109 mm	44	352
4	160 mm	64	512

- Innermost layer:
  - Radius reduced from 44 mm to 29 mm → new beam pipe with outer diameter of 45 mm
  - Replaceable without disconnecting other layers (foreseen after 250 fb<sup>-1</sup>)



#### Forward Pixel (FPIX) Layout



- 3+3 disks with 672 modules
- Independent half disks with inner and outer rings for easier replacement
  - Outer: 34 modules, 17 blades
  - Inner: 22 modules, 11 blades
- Same module design as BPIX with 2x8 readout chips
- All blades rotated by 20<sup>0</sup> around radial axis to enhance charge sharing and improve r-Φ resolution
- Blades on inner ring have additional 12<sup>0</sup> tilt for better z resolution



#### **Pixel** Sensors



#### • Keep present design:

- 285 µm thick Si with pixel size of 100x150 µm<sup>2</sup>, each pixel bump-bonded to its readout
- "n<sup>+</sup>-in-n" radiation tolerant design, under-depleted operation possible
- p-spray (BPIX) or p-stop (FPIX) for nside isolation
- Signal charge is deflected by magnetic field and shared among pixels => enhances position resolution





- Fluence of 1.5 n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> expected during lifetime of Innermost layer (replacement after 250 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- For samples irradiated up to 1.1 n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> capability with biases of 450-600V provide some margin
- Also gain from improvements on lower threshold

Ashish Kumar / Near Future Upgrade of the CMS Pixel Detector

#### New Pixel Readout Chip (ROC)



#### ROC features

- 250 nm, IBM process
- Area: 7.9 x 9.8 mm<sup>2</sup>, 6 metal layers
- Pixel array: 52 columns x 80 rows
- Column drain readout architecture
- Reduced data losses
  - increased time stamp / data buffers 12/32 → 24/80 to prevent overflow with high occupancy
  - Increased readout link speed (40 MHz analog→160MHz digital) for pixel address and pulse heights
- Reduced pixel threshold of 1800e
   from present 3500e



# See talk by Dmitry Hits for more details

## New Cooling & Powering System



- Present pixels use mono-phase C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>14</sub> cooling scheme → major fraction of material budget
- Upgrade to two-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling
  - High heat transfer coefficient; more heat load per channel
  - Requires less flow and smaller diameter pipes despite high pressure operation (up to 70 bar) → major fraction of material savings in pipes & coolant



#### **DC-DC Converters**

- Substantial increase in no of channels (demands more power) but use present LV cables.
- Up scaling existing power system leads to high cable losses.
- Solution: Modified CAEN PS modules deliver higher voltage at lower current. DC-DC converters generate low voltage with high current in the service cylinder

Conversion ratio of 3-4, losses on supply cable less by factor of 10
Ashish Kumar / Near Future Upgrade of the CMS Pixel Detector

## **FPIX Support Structure**



- Module & disk design uses light material (replaces Al/Be)
  - Modules mounted on low mass thermal pyrolytic graphite (TPG) blades. Blades supported and cooled by low mass graphite/carbon fiber rings. Graphite rings are cooled by two-phase CO<sub>2</sub> in embedded stainless steel tubes
  - Disks supported by low mass single shell carbon fiber cylinders



#### Impact on Material Budget



- Despite additional layer/discs, substantial reduction in material budget in the tracking volume
  - New ultra-light mechanical support
  - C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>14</sub> -> two-phase CO<sub>2</sub> cooling (low mass & allow smaller and lightweight pipes)
  - Moving electronic boards and connections out of active tracking region
- Material reduction will reduce the photon conversions, leading to improved electron reconstruction, and will reduce the rate of secondary tracks from nuclear interactions



**Impact** on Tracking

• Use simulated top pair events with 50 pileup vertices



Ashish Kumar / Near Future Upgrade of the CMS Pixel Detector

Improvements in transverse and longitudinal impact parameter resolutions : more pronounced at low  $p_T$ 



#### **B-Tagging-Improvements**

- b-tagging relies on track impact parameter & primary vertex resolutions
   → sensitive to improvements in the upgraded detector
- Study performed on simulated tt sample with Combined Secondary Vertex algorithm
- Significant enhancement in b-jet tagging efficiency at fixed fake rates from mis-identification of charm and light jets
  - result of 4<sup>th</sup> pixel layer and smaller inner layer radius





#### **Pixel** Modules



- Upgraded detector has one type of sensor module w/ active area of 16.2 x 64.8 mm<sup>2</sup>
- Sensor is bump-bonded to 2x8 ROCs and ROCs are wire-bonded to a high density interconnect (HDI) glued to the sensor
- The Token Bit Manager (TBM) controls the readout of the ROCs and distributes clock, trigger and resets







#### Module Production









- Assembled several modules with prototypes and preproduction components.
  - Fully populated HDI (surface mount components + TBM wire-bonded)
  - Bare module (sensor bump-bonded to ROCs)
  - Glue HDI to bare module
  - Wire-bonding of ROCs to HDI
  - Visual inspection and detailed qualification tests for components and at each stage of assembly

Ashish Kumar / Near Future Upgrade of the CMS Pixel Detector



# **Pixel** Modules





#### **BPIX Module**



**FPIX Module** 

# See the poster by Mercedes Moya for details on "Module Production and Qualification Tests"

#### Pilot System



- 8 modules with new digital readout chain installed into present FPix during LS1 (2014) and will be operated during 2014-2016
  - Use pre-production components
  - Use existing power, cooling and optical fiber infrastructure from present system
- Operational experience with LHC realistic conditions will be valuable for the full detector commissioning and operation
  - Firmware / Software development tool



#### Half disk with pilot modules





#### Summary



- Upgrade of the CMS pixel detector motivated by excellent
   performance of LHC as well as accumulated radiation damage
- Phase 1 upgrade with additional detector layer, reduced material budget and improved readout will maintain or even improve performance of the current detector at 50 pile-up or higher
- Detailed simulations show improved detector performance in the high data rate environment
- Upgraded pixels will be installed into CMS during 2016/2017 extended year-end shutdown with minimum impact on other detector components
- Few modules (pilot system) installed into CMS forward pixel to gain operational experience and commission the DAQ

CMS Technical Design Report for the Pixel Detector Upgrade http://cds.cern.ch/record/1481838?In=de

#### Schedule





CMS



# **Upgrade : Change in parameters**



#### Parameter of Pixel System

# layers (tracking points) beam pipe radius (outer) innermost layer radius outermost layer radius pixel size (r-phi x z) In-time pixel threshold pixel resolution (r-phi x z) cooling material budget  $X/X_0$  ( $\eta=0$ ) material budget X/X<sub>0</sub> ( $\eta$ =1.6) pixel data readout speed 1<sup>st</sup> layer module link rate (100%) ROC pixel rate capability control & ROC programming

Present 3 29.8 mm 44 mm 102 mm  $100\mu \times 150\mu$ 3400 e  $13\mu \times 25\mu$  $C_6F_{14}$  (monophase) 6% 40% 40MHz (analog coded) 13 M pixel/sec ~120 MHz/cm<sup>2</sup> TTC & 40MHz I<sup>2</sup>C

Upgrade 4 22.5 mm (LS1) 29.5 mm 160 mm  $100\mu \times 150\mu$ 1800 e  $13\mu \times 25\mu$  (or better) CO<sub>2</sub> (biphase) 5.5% 20% 400Mb/sec (digital) 52 M pixel/sec ~580 MHz/cm<sup>2</sup> TTC & 40MHz I<sup>2</sup>C



## **CMS Coordinate System**





26



#### **Tracker Radiation Dose**

**University at Buffalo** The State University of New York



 $1 \text{ MRad} \approx 3 \ 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2 \text{ pions}$ 

Pixel upgrade TDR 2012



#### **Irradiation Studies**





#### **Module Testing**

- Module functionality tests to discover any problem with bump-bonding, pixel readout, module assembly etc.
  - Sensor IV tests on bare modules
  - Tests on assembled modules
    - Pre-tests to set ROCs current, threshold and delays
    - ROC functionality tests to check pixel readout, address decoding
    - Bump-bond tests
    - Performance/calibration of all pixels including pedestal and noise tests, pulse height test etc.
  - Thermal cycling of unpowered modules: >10 cycles to validate mechanical stress.
  - Repeat tests after thermal cycling
  - More detailed tests using x-rays to validate electronic bump-bonding tests