Event-by-event mean  $p_T$  fluctuations in pp and Pb-Pb collisions measured by the ALICE experiment at the LHC

> Stefan Heckel for the ALICE collaboration

Quark Matter 2011 - Annecy







Event-by-event fluctuations of mean transverse momentum contain information on the dynamics and correlations in pp and heavy-ion collisions.

Reference measurements in pp serve as a baseline with 'known' physics like  $p_T$  correlations due to resonance decays, HBT, (mini-)jets etc.

In heavy-ion collisions, fluctuations may also be related to other effects like a critical behaviour of the system in the vicinity of a phase boundary or the onset of thermalisation of the system.

E-by-e fluctuations of mean 
$$p_{\rm T}$$
:  $\sigma_{\rm total}^2 = \sigma_{\rm stat}^2 \pm \sigma_{\rm pp}^2 \pm \sigma_{\rm AA}^2$ 

$$\sigma_{\mathsf{dyn}}^{\mathsf{z}} = \mathsf{Two-particle correlator } \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{m}}$$

Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

Mean pT fluctuations



'Mean of covariances' of all pairs of particles i and j in the same event wrt. the inclusive  $\langle p_T \rangle$  in multiplicity class m.

 $C_{\rm m}$  = 0 for only statistical fluctuations.

$$\sigma_{\text{dyn}}^{2} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{m}} = \left\langle \Delta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T,i}}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T,j}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{\text{ev}}} \mathcal{N}_{k}^{\text{pairs}}} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\text{ev}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{k}} \left( \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T,i}} - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T}} \right\rangle_{\text{m}} \right) \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T,j}} - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\text{T}} \right\rangle_{\text{m}} \right)$$

 $n_{\rm ev}$ : Number of events in a given multiplicity range m $N_{\rm k}$ : Number of particles in event k $N_{\rm k}^{\rm pa\,irs} = 0.5 \cdot N_{\rm k} \cdot (N_{\rm k} - 1)$ : Number of pairs in event k $\langle \rho_{\rm T} \rangle_{\rm m}$ : Average  $\rho_{\rm T}$  over all events in the given multiplicity range

# ALICE

### Data sets:

- pp data:  $\sqrt{s}$  = 0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV
- Pb-Pb data:  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$

### **Detectors used:**

- Time Projection Chamber (Tracking, Vertex)
- Inner Tracking System (Vertex in pp)

### Acceptance:

- Pseudorapidity range:  $|\eta| < 0.8$
- Transverse momentum range:  $0.15 < p_T < 2 \text{ GeV/c}$
- Multiplicity definition used: Number of accepted tracks N<sub>acc</sub>





First multiplicity dependent analysis of dynamical mean  $p_{\rm T}$ fluctuations in pp!

Significant non-statistical fluctuations

'Dilution' with multiplicity

Moderate energy dependence





**Relative fluctuations:** 

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_{m}}}{\left\langle \mathcal{P}_{T}\right\rangle_{m}} = \frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\left\langle \mathcal{P}_{T}\right\rangle}$$

Looks universal at LHC (except very small Nacc)

Note: Would not work for  $z = N_{acc} / \langle N_{acc} \rangle$ 





Reasonable description by PYTHIA6 for  $N_{acc} \ge 7$ 

Slightly stronger decrease with  $N_{acc}$ 

PHOJET does not fit well





Same analysis as for pp

Like in pp: Significant nonstatistical fluctuations and 'Dilution' with multiplicity

Shape not described by HIJING

### Comparison of pp and Pb-Pb





Pb-Pb and pp data comparable in overlapping region

Pb-Pb data follow the trend up to  $N_{acc} \approx 600$  ( $\approx 30-40\%$  centrality)

pp data serves as baseline for Pb-Pb

Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

## Comparison of pp and Pb-Pb data to HIJING





Power law fit to pp data  $\propto N_{acc}^{-0.385\pm0.003}$ 

Fit-range:  $8 \le N_{acc} \le 40$ , stat. uncert. only

Pb-Pb data agree with pp baseline for  $N_{acc} \le 600$ 

Significant reduction of fluctuations in central Pb-Pb wrt. the pp baseline

HIJING has steeper power  $\propto N_{acc}^{-0.508\pm0.004}$ 

No indication for deviation in central events in HIJING

Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)





Centrality definition in terms of  $dN/d\eta$  to get a similar picture as in N<sub>acc</sub>

Slopes of ALICE and STAR data are similar

Additional decrease in central collisions also in STAR?

Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)





Power of fits taken from ALICE pp data:

A  $(\sqrt{s})$  \* dN/d $\eta^{-0.385}$ 

Power of multiplicity dependence in peripheral collisions agrees in ALICE and STAR

Deviation for central collisions more pronounced in ALICE data





Centrality definition in terms of N<sub>part</sub> to compare same centralities

Similar trend, but in detail ALICE data above STAR at small  $N_{part}$ , crossing around  $N_{part} \approx 250$ 





In terms of N<sub>part</sub> ALICE and STAR data are closer

Slightly different powers: Fits done with free fitting parameters

In the ratio Data/Fit ALICE and STAR data agree

### Qualitative comparison pp and heavy-ions II





Free fitting parameters ALICE and STAR data agree in terms of  $N_{part}$ 

Slope of fits taken from ALICE pp data Deviation more pronounced in ALICE data

#### Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

#### Mean pT fluctuations

#### 05/24/2011 - QM



Mean  $p_{T}$  fluctuations in pp and Pb-Pb collisions have been studied.

Relative fluctuations in pp collisions at the LHC are almost independent of the collision energy.

Multiplicity dependence in pp and peripheral Pb-Pb collisions follows a common trend at the LHC.

In central Pb-Pb a significant additional decrease of mean  $p_T$  fluctuations is observed, which might be related to effects like the onset of thermalisation.

ALICE Pb-Pb results have been compared to Au-Au measurements of the STAR experiment at RHIC:

- The power of multiplicity dependence in peripheral collisions agrees.
- The deviation in central collisions is more pronounced in ALICE data.



# BACKUP

Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

Mean pT fluctuations

05/24/2011 - QM

17

### Comparison of data to HIJING and PYTHIA6





Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

05/24/2011 - QM

18

### Correlator times N<sub>part</sub> in terms of N<sub>part</sub>





Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

#### Mean pT fluctuations

# Relative fluc. times N<sub>part</sub> in terms of N<sub>part</sub>





Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

#### Mean pT fluctuations

### Correlator times dN/dη in terms of dN/dη









Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

#### Mean pT fluctuations

05/24/2011 - QM

22





Stefan Heckel (Uni Frankfurt)

05/24/2011 - QM

23