Feynman Graphs with 3 Loops and 2 Massive Cycles for the Variable Flavor Number Scheme

Alexander Hasselhuhn

RISC (LHCPhenonet)

5.6.2014

in collaboration with: J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. Round, C. Schneider, F. Wißbrock





LHCphenOnet

Introduction

► Computing Graphs with two massive quark cycles of equal masses

Graphs with two massive cycles of unequal mass

Conclusions

Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

DIS [inclusive, unpolarized, electromagnetic] gives a clean probe of the proton substructure.

Lepton
$$l$$

 q
 P the second difference P the second difference

kinematic variables: $Q^2 = -q^2$, $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P.q}$, $y = \frac{P.q}{P.l}$

parametrization of the hadronic tensor with structure functions

$$\begin{split} W_{\mu\nu}(q,P,s) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4\xi \exp(iq\xi) \langle P,s \mid [J_{\mu}^{em}(\xi), J_{\nu}^{em}(0)] \mid P,s \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2x} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} \right) F_L(x,Q^2) + \frac{2x}{Q^2} \left(P_{\mu}P_{\nu} + \frac{q_{\mu}P_{\nu} + q_{\nu}P_{\mu}}{2x} - \frac{Q^2}{4x^2} g_{\mu\nu} \right) F_2(x,Q^2) \end{split}$$

 \rightarrow contributions of massive and massless quarks

The Heavy Flavor Wilson Coefficients

Use Mellin-space descriptions:
$$\widehat{f}(N) := \int_0^1 dx \; x^{N-1} f(x)$$
 .

At leading twist, the structure functions factorize

$$F_{(2,L)}(N,Q^2) = \sum_{j} \mathbb{C}_{j,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) f_j(N,\mu^2)$$

into perturbative Wilson coefficients and nonpert. parton densities (PDFs).

Divide the Wilson coefficients into massless and massive parts:

$$\mathbb{C}_{j,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) = C_{j,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) + H_{j,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right)$$

For $Q^2 \gg m^2$ $(Q^2 \gtrsim 10m^2$ für F_2) the massive Wilson coefficients factorize

$$H_{j,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2},\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) = \sum_i C_{i,(2,L)}\left(N,\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right) A_{ij}\left(\frac{m^2}{\mu^2},N\right),$$

[Buza, Matiounine, Smith, van Neerven 1996 NPB]

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 - のへで

Factorization for $Q^2 \gg m^2$ at 3 Loops

$$\begin{split} &L_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f+1) = A_{qq,Q}^{(3),\mathrm{NS}} + A_{qq,Q}^{(2),\mathrm{NS}}C_{q,2}^{(1),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f+1) + \hat{C}_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f) \ , \\ &L_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f+1) = A_{qq,Q}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}} + n_f A_{gq,Q}^{(2)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)}(n_f+1) + n_f \hat{C}_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f) \ , \\ &L_{g,2}^{(3)}(n_f+1) = A_{qq,Q}^{(3)} + n_f A_{gg,Q}^{(1)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(2)}(n_f+1) + n_f A_{gg,Q}^{(2)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)}(n_f+1) \\ &\quad + n_f A_{Qg}^{(1)} \tilde{C}_{q,2}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f+1) + n_f \hat{C}_{g,2}^{(3)}(n_f) \ , \\ &H_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f+1) = A_{Qq}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}} + \tilde{C}_{q,2}^{(3),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f+1) + A_{gg,Q}^{(2)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)}(n_f+1) + A_{Qq}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}} C_{q,2}^{(1),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f+1) \ , \\ &H_{g,2}^{(3)}(n_f+1) = A_{Qg}^{(3)} + A_{Qg}^{(2)} C_{q,2}^{(1),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f+1) + A_{gg,Q}^{(2)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(1)}(n_f+1) + A_{gg,Q}^{(2)} \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(2)}(n_f+1) \\ &\quad + A_{Qg}^{(3)} \Big\{ C_{q,2}^{(2),\mathrm{NS}}(n_f+1) + \tilde{C}_{q,2}^{(2),\mathrm{PS}}(n_f+1) \Big\} + \tilde{C}_{g,2}^{(3)}(n_f+1) \ . \end{split}$$

OMEs are local operators O_i sandwiched between partonic states j = q, g

$$A_{ij} = \langle j \mid O_i \mid j \rangle.$$

Definition of a Variable Flavor Number Scheme

The matching conditions onto a zero mass description: [Buza, Matiounine, Smith, van Neerven 1998 Eur.Phys.J.C] \rightarrow NLO [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein 2009 Nucl.Phys.B] → NNLO

$$\begin{split} f_k(N, n_f + 1, \mu^2, m^2) + f_{\bar{k}}(N, n_f + 1, \mu^2, m^2) \\ &= A_{qq,Q}^{\rm NS} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \left[f_k(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2) + f_{\bar{k}}(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n_f} A_{qq,Q}^{\rm PS} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \Sigma(N, n_f, \mu^2, x) + \frac{1}{n_f} A_{qg,Q} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) G(N, n_f, \mu^2, x) \\ f_Q(N, n_f + 1, \mu^2, m^2) + f_{\bar{Q}}(N, n_f + 1, \mu^2, m^2) \\ &= A_{Qq}^{\rm PS} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \Sigma(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2) + A_{Qg} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) G(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2) \\ G(N, n_f + 1, \mu^2, m^2) \\ &= A_{gq,Q} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) \Sigma(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2) + A_{gg,Q} \left(N, n_f, \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) G(N, n_f, \mu^2, m^2) \\ \end{split}$$
 where:
$$\left(\Sigma(N, n_f, \ldots) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_f} (f_k + f_{\bar{k}}), \quad n_f = 3\right)$$

6/26

Massive quark contributions:

- > amount to 20–30% for small x
- contribute scaling violations which differ in shape from those of massless quarks
- \blacktriangleright are sensitive to the gluon and sea quark PDFs for small x
- allow for the determination of the strange PDF via charged current DIS
- ▶ necessary for the precision determination of α_s at 3 loops
- \blacktriangleright note: asymptotic representation holds at the 1%-level for F_2

Status of massive quark contributions

Leading Order: [Witten 1976, Babcock, Sivers 1978, Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov 1978, Leveille, Weiler 1979, Glück, Reya 1979, Glück, Hoffmann, Reya 1982] NLO:

[Laenen, van Neerven, Riemersma, Smith 1993] $Q^2 \gg m^2$: via IBP [Buza, Matiounine, Smith, Migneron, van Neerven 1996] via ${}_pF_q$'s, more compact [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein, 2007] $O(\alpha_s^2 \varepsilon)$ -contributions (for all-N) [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein, Schneider 2008] [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein, 2007]

$\underline{\text{NNLO:}} \quad Q^2 \gg m^2$

moments of F_2 : N = 2...10(14) [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein 2009] contributions to transversity: N = 1...13 [Blümlein, Klein, Tödtli 2009] all n_f -contributions (all-N): [Ablinger, Blümlein, Klein, Schneider, Wißbrock 2011]

[Blümlein, AH, Klein, Schneider 2012]

Known at 3 Loop:

A^{PS}_{qq,Q}, A_{qg,Q}, A^{NS,(TR)}_{qq,Q}, A_{gq,Q}, A^{PS}_{Qq}: complete [Ablinger, Blümlein, De Freitas, AH, von Manteuffel, Round, Schneider, Wissbrock 2014]...
A_{Qg}, A_{gg,Q}: all O(n_fT²_FC_{A/F})-contributions known
A_{gg,Q}: O(T²_FC_{A/F})-contributions (m₁ = m₂) known → this talk
A_{gg,Q}: O(T²_FC_{A/F})-contributions (m₁ ≠ m₂) → this talk Computing Graphs with two massive quark cycles $(T_F^2$ -graphs, $m_1 = m_2$)

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

9/26

The Case $m_1 = m_2$



 \rightarrow both quark lines can be massive with mass m

Start from the following calculation paradigm:

- introduce Feynman parameterizations
- rewrite integrals in terms of hypergeometric functions at 1
- represent them in terms of a convergent series
- solve the sums with Sigma [C. Schneider]

 \rightarrow successful in all 2-loop contributions to the massive OMEs and large classes at 3-loop order $[{\rm cf.\ page\ 8}]$

Hypergeometric series for T_F^2 -graphs

Applying this paradigm:

Feynman parameterization contains

$$(z_1x + z_2y(1-x))^{a+b\varepsilon} = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} d\sigma \ \frac{\Gamma(-\sigma)\Gamma(\sigma - a - b\varepsilon)}{2\pi i \Gamma(-a - b\varepsilon)} \frac{[z_2y(1-x)]^{\sigma}}{(z_1x)^{-a - b\varepsilon + \sigma}}$$

▶ Mellin-Barnes-Representation $\rightarrow B(c + N - \sigma, d + \sigma)$

▶ unbalanced factor $\Gamma(c + N - \sigma) \rightarrow \text{sum of residues diverges}$

Solution:

observe:

$$B(c+N-\sigma, d+\sigma) = \int_{0}^{1} dx \ x^{c+N-1} (1-x)^{d-1} \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{\sigma}$$

 \rightarrow direction for closing the contour depends on x

- split x-integral into two parts x < ¹/₂ and x ≥ ¹/₂
 → incomplete beta function, integrate later
- \blacktriangleright close contour differently \rightarrow convergent sum of residues

 \rightarrow $\varepsilon\text{-expansion}$ and summation: SumProduction, EvaluateMultiSums, Sigma [C. Schneider]

Solving the last Feynman parameter integral

Idea: solve the last integral in the space of cyclotomic HPLs

<code>Sigma/EvaluateMultiSums</code> deliver (cyclotomic) S-sums at ∞

- \rightarrow transform to multiple polylogs and cyclotomic extensions
- \rightarrow move the integration variable to the argument

$$\text{e.g. } S_{(2,1,1),(2,1,1)}(-T,1;\infty) = -\frac{H_{(4,0)}\left(\sqrt{T}\right)}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{H_{0,(4,0)}\left(\sqrt{T}\right)}{\sqrt{T}} - \frac{H_{(4,1),(4,0)}\left(\sqrt{T}\right)}{\sqrt{T}}$$

One finds a cyclotomic alphabet

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}, \quad f_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x},$$

$$f_{(4,0)}(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}, \quad f_{(4,1)}(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^2},$$

Mellin Transformation

Cast into the form of a Mellin transformation

$$\int_0^1 dx \ x^{N-1} f(x)$$

 $\rightarrow f(x)$ is the x-space representation

The Mellin trafo can be performed as follows:

go to the generating function for the moments

$$x^N \to \frac{1}{1-xt}$$

perform the integral in space of multiple poylogs

e.g.
$$\int_0^1 dx \ \frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-xt} = -\frac{1}{t}H_{1/t,0}(x)$$

▶ determine the N-th coefficient (HarmonicSums & Sigma) → logarithmic parts can be cared for automatically introducing plus functions

Integration by Parts & Differential Equations

For some graphs:

- ▶ work on generating functions: $\hat{f}(x) := \sum_{N}^{\infty} x^{N} f(N)$
- ▶ IBP reduction to master integrals (REDUZE [von Manteuffel, Studerus 2012])
- some masters already solved, or simple
- derive system of differential equations from remaining masters
- ▶ back to N-space \rightarrow system of difference equations
- uncouple (OreSys [S. Gerhold 2002])
- solve (Sigma [C. Schneider])
- ► determine constants, i.e. calculate initial values → using e.g. MATAD [M. Steinhauser 2000] or other methods (Mellin-Barnes, hyperg. series, symb. summation,...)
- combine and simplify (HarmonicSums, EvaluateMultiSums, Sigma)
- \rightarrow some steps fail for ≥ 2 variables (e.g. 2 different masses)

Results: $O(\alpha_s^3 T_F^2)$ -Contributions to $A_{gg,Q}$

$$\begin{split} A^{(3),T_{2}^{2}}_{gg,Q^{2}} &= \log^{3}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right) \left\{ C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \frac{80(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{9(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} + C_{A}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{448(N^{2}+N+1)}{27(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)} - \frac{224}{27}S_{1}(N) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \log^{2}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right) \left\{ C_{A}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{8P_{1}}{27(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} - \frac{640}{27}S_{1}(N) \right] + C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{8P_{2}}{9(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)} + \frac{32(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{3(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)}S_{1}(N) \right] \right\} + \log\left(\frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}}\right) \left\{ C_{A}T_{F}^{2} \left[- \frac{2P_{3}}{27(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)} + \frac{32(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{3(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)}S_{1}(N) \right] \right\} + C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \left[- \frac{8P_{5}}{27(N-1)N^{4}(N+1)^{4}(N+2)} + \frac{16(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{3(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{2}-3S_{2}(N) \right) + \frac{32P_{6}}{9(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)}S_{1}(N) \right] \right\} \\ &+ C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{3(N-1)N(N+1)^{2}(N+2)}{3(N-1)N(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{2}-3S_{2}(N) \right) + \frac{32P_{6}}{9(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)}S_{1}(N) \right] \right\} \\ &+ C_{F}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{16P_{1}}{3(N-1)N(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{2}-3S_{2}(N) \right) + \frac{8P_{7}}{9(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)}S_{1}(N) \right] \\ &+ \frac{16P_{1}}{27(N-1)N^{3}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{2}-3S_{2}(N) \right) - \frac{8P_{7}}{8(N-1)N^{4}(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)}S_{1}(N) \\ &+ \frac{16(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{13(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{2}-3S_{2}(N) \right) - \frac{8P_{7}}{8(N-1)N^{4}(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)}S_{1}(N) \\ &+ \frac{16(N^{4}+2N^{3}+5N^{2}+4N+4)}{13(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{2}(N+2)} \left(S_{1}(N)^{3}-9S_{2}(N)S_{1}(N) - 22S_{3}(N) + 36S_{2,1}(N) \right) - \frac{128\zeta_{2}}{3} \right] \\ &+ C_{A}T_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{4(20N^{6}+85N^{4}+133N^{3}+571N^{2}+629N+142)}{135(N-1)N^{2}(N+1)^{3}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)} S_{1}(N) - \frac{896}{27} \zeta_{3}S_{1}(N) \\ &+ \frac{8P_{12}}{3645(N-1)N^{4}(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)} - \frac{7(128TN^{4}+3726N^{3}-2407N^{2}-6574N-1984)}{136(N-1)N(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)} S_{1}(N) \\ &+ \frac{4P_{13}}{3645(N-1)N^{4}(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)} - \frac{7(128TN^{4}+376A^{3}-2407N^{2}-6574N-1984)}{126(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)} S_{2}(N) \\ &+ \frac{4P_{14}}{45(N-1)N(N+1)^{4}(N+2)(2N-3)(2N-1)} - \frac{7(128T$$

15/26

T_F^2 -contributions to A_{ggQ} for $m_1 = m_2$

inverse binomial sums occur:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{4^{j} S_{1}(j-1)}{\binom{2j}{j} j^{2}} = \int_{0}^{1} dx \, \frac{x^{N}-1}{1-x} \int_{x}^{1} dy \, \frac{1}{y\sqrt{1-y}} \\ \times \left[\ln(1-y) - \ln(y) + 2\ln(2)\right]$$

• x-space letters:
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}, \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x}}$$

 \blacktriangleright removable poles at N=1/2, N=3/2

- ▶ applied to QCD graphs in the T_F^2 -contribution to $A_{gg,Q}^{(3)}$ → [Ablinger, Blümlein, De Freitas, AH, von Manteuffel, Round, Schneider 2014]
- checks with MATAD [M. Steinhauser 2000]
- ▶ graphs are similar to the case $m_1 \neq m_2 \rightarrow$ same ideas are applicable with generalization

Effects of Two Massive Flavors $(T_F^2$ -graphs, $m_1 \neq m_2)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

17/26

Graphs with Two Massive Cycles

Same topologies as before: 🐭



- \rightarrow both quark lines are massive, say, with masses m_1,m_2
 - $m_1
 eq m_2$, with $\eta := rac{m_c^2}{m_b^2} pprox rac{1}{10}
 ightarrow$ non-negligible
 - break usual VFNS
 - ▶ power corrections in η are small \rightarrow expand
 - ▶ for fixed N map onto tadpoles [Bierenbaum, Blümlein, Klein 2009], expand in η with Q2E/EXP [Harlander, Seidensticker, Steinhauser 1998, Seidensticker 1999] and evaluate coefficients MATAD [Steinhauser 2000] → fixed Mellin-Moments (N = 2, 4, 6) known to $O(\eta^3 \log^3(\eta))$
 - For all-N expansion in η not possible before integration
- \rightarrow calculate for all-N and all- η

Two Masses, General N

As before: Mellin-Barnes, and sum of residues leads to divergent series, so:

• split Feynman parameter due to $\left(\frac{\eta X}{1-X}\right)^{\xi}$:

$$\left(\frac{\eta X}{1-X}\right) = \begin{cases} > 1, & \text{if } X < \frac{1}{1+\eta} \\ < 1, & \text{if } X > \frac{1}{1+\eta} \end{cases}$$

- Further advantage: After remapping to integration domain 0..1, Mellin Barnes integrals are independent of mass ratio η.
- Now: Collect residues and obtain infinite sums
- The remaining intgral can be made convergent using integration by parts
- Perform *ɛ*-expansion and recast the infinite sums in generalized harmonic polylogarithms using SIGMA [C. Schneider] and HarmonicSums [J. Ablinger]

Iterated Integrals with Square Roots

Rewrite the integral as a Mellin transformation:

$$h(N) \int_0^1 dy \ g(y) \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta + y^2}\right)^N \to \int_0^1 dX \ X^N f(X)$$

► f(X) is expressed in terms of generalized harmonic polylogarithms, e.g.:

$$G\left(\left\{\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1-\tau}, \frac{\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1-\tau}}{1+(\eta-1)\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}\right\}, x\right) \\ = \int_0^x d\tau_1 \ \sqrt{\tau_1}\sqrt{1-\tau_1} \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \ \frac{\sqrt{\tau_2}\sqrt{1-\tau_2}}{1+(\eta-1)\tau_2} \log\left(\tau_2\right)$$

$$L_1(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\eta} dx \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1-x} \ln^2(x)$$

4 ロ ト 4 酒 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト 三 か 9 9 20/26
20/26

An Example



▲ロ▶ ▲冊▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ - ヨ - の々で 21/26

Mellin transformation

- Use all known identities to cancel artificial divergences
- \blacktriangleright Regulate the remaining divergence at $x \rightarrow 1$ using a plus function
- Construct generating function by

$$x^N \to \frac{1}{1-xt}$$

- Perform the last integral and extract the Nth coefficient via a difference equation
- These steps are implemented in HarmonicSums [J. Ablinger] and rely on SIGMA [C. Schneider]

The Example in Mellin Space

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 23/26

$$\begin{split} &-\frac{1}{45}\frac{2^{-2N-8}\binom{2N}{N}P_{10}}{(\eta-1)\eta(N+1)^2(N+2)}\sum_{i_1=1}^{N}\frac{2^{2i_1}(1-\eta)^{-i_1}S_1\left(1-\eta,i_1\right)}{\binom{2i_1}{i_1}}\right]\log(\eta)+\left[\frac{\left(27\eta^2+10\eta+27\right)}{5760\eta^{5/2}(N+1)}\right]\\ &-\frac{2^{-2N-8}\binom{2N}{N}P_1}{45\eta^{5/2}(N+1)^2(N+2)}\Big]L_1(\eta)-\frac{1}{45}(\eta-1)\frac{2^{-2N-6}\binom{2N}{N}}{\eta^3(N+1)^2(N+2)}P_2+\frac{2^{-2N}\binom{2N}{N}P_2}{11520(\eta-1)\eta^3(N+1)^2(N+2)}\\ &\times\sum_{i_1=1}^{N}\frac{2^{2i_1}\left(\frac{-1+\eta}{1+\eta}\right)^{i_1}\left[S_{1,1}\left(\frac{-1+\eta}{\eta},1,i_1\right)-S_2\left(\frac{-1+\eta}{\eta},i_1\right)\right]}{\binom{2i_1}{i_1}}-\frac{P_9}{2880\eta^3N(N+1)^2(N+2)}\\ &+\frac{(\eta+1)P_3S_1\left(N\right)}{5760\eta^3(N+1)^2(N+2)}+\frac{(\eta^3+1)}{180\eta^3N(N+1)^2(N+2)}\Big[S_2\left(N\right)-S_1\left(N\right)^2\Big]+\frac{(\eta^3+1)S_3(N)}{180\eta^3(N+1)}\\ &+\frac{(1-\eta)^{-N}P_6}{5760(\eta-1)\eta^3N(N+1)^2(N+2)}\Big[S_{1,1}\left(1-\eta,1,N\right)-S_2\left(1-\eta,N\right)\Big]\\ &+\frac{\left(\frac{\eta}{\eta-1}\right)^NP_5}{5760(\eta-1)\eta^2N(N+1)^2(N+2)}\Big[S_{1,1}\left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},1,N\right)-S_2\left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},N\right)\Big]\\ &+\frac{1}{180(N+1)}\Big[S_1\left(\frac{1}{1-\eta},N\right)S_{1,1}\left(1-\eta,1,\frac{1}{1-\eta},N\right)-S_{1,1,1}\left(1-\eta,\frac{1}{1-\eta},1,N\right)\Big]\\ &+S_{1,2}\left(1-\eta,\frac{1}{1-\eta},N\right)-S_{1,1,1}\left(1-\eta,\frac{1}{\eta-1},1,N\right)+S_{1,2}\left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},\frac{\eta}{\eta-1},N\right)-S_{1,2}\left(\frac{\eta}{\eta-1},\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},N\right)\\ &-S_{1,1,1}\left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},1,\frac{\eta}{\eta-1},N\right)-S_{1,1,1}\left(\frac{\eta-1}{\eta},\frac{\eta}{\eta-1},1,N\right)\Big]+\frac{2^{-2N}\binom{2N}{N}P_1}{1520(\eta-1)\eta(N+1)^2(N+2)}\\ &\times\sum_{i_1=1}^{N}\frac{2^{2i_1}\left(1-\eta\right)^{-i_1}\left[S_2\left(1-\eta,i_1\right)-S_{1,1}\left(1-\eta,1,\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\right]}{\binom{2i_1}{(i_1)}}\Big\}$$

Conclusions

- ▶ For the precise determination of α_s from DIS data, the heavy flavor Wilson coefficients are needed at the 3 loop order.
- ▶ 6 out of 8 massive OMEs have been completed recently ($A_{gg,Q}$ and A_{Qg} remain).
- ► The calculation of graphs with two massive cycles with equal masses was presented together with the corresponding contribution to A_{gg,Q}. [Ablinger, Blümlein, De Freitas, AH, v. Manteuffel, Round, Schneider 2014]
- The computations lead to inverse binomial sums in the result, which correspond to iterated integrals with square roots in x-space.
- The same graphs occur with two massive cycles of unequal masses, which break the variable flavor number scheme.
- All scalar prototype graphs for the unequal mass case were calculated, showing the applicability of the calculation method in this case.
- ► The results deliver generalizations of the inverse binomial sums, involving an additional parameter (cf. S-sums ↔ harmonic Sums).

Conclusions

- Also progress has been made in applying IBP relations (REDUZE [von Manteuffel, Studerus 2012]) and differential/difference equation methods to massive graphs with operator insertions.
- These developments are only possible due to continuous improvements in the software developed by our mathematical friends (Sigma, EvaluateMultiSums,... [C. Schneider], HarmonicSums [J. Ablinger])