



Computing in High Energy Physics

John Apostolakis
SoFTware for Physics
Group,
PH Dep, **CERN**

v0.98.3
2014.03.10

John.Apostolakis@cern.ch

Outline



⌘ Uses of Computers

- ☑ Reconstruction: Online, and off-line
- ☑ Simulation
- ☑ Data analysis)

⌘ Size of challenge

- ☑ the GRID solution and its other applications


Reconstruction




A lightning introduction

The Reconstruction challenge

Starting from
this event




Looking for
this “signature”



→ **Selectivity: 1 in 10^{13}**
(Like looking for a needle in 20 million haystacks)


What is reconstruction

- ⌘ Tracker hits form a puzzle
 - ☑ Which tracks created them?
- ⌘ Each energy deposition is a clue
 - ☑ There are **thousands of measurements in each snap-shot**
- ⌘ The experiment's reconstruction must obtain a **solution!**
 - ☑ In well measured magnetic field
 - ☑ Matches the traces to tracks



How it works – a simple example

- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes




Magnetic field

B




Ανακατασκευή στην πράξη


- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes
- Try different combinations
 - Project to subsequent planes
 - Calculate differences between measured positions and 'predictions'




Ανακατασκευή: αποτέλεσμα

- Start with the locations of the traces on first two planes
- Try different combinations
 - Project to subsequent planes
 - Calculate differences between measured positions and 'predictions'
- Finally the candidate tracks are
 - ή «στα γρηγορα» αυτές με μεγάλη ορμη- οι κυριες τροχιες





Data Organisation



Simulation and Detectors



What is simulation ?

Why it exists ?

How is it done ?

Today's detectors

⌘ Many different parts

☑ Different capabilities


- ☑ Measuring Location (trackers)

- ☑ Measuring energy (calorimeters)


⌘ Due to complexity

☑ Different materials,

- ☑ Most studies must use computers to create samples of tracker hits & energy deposition



Today's detector Technologies: ATLAS



What is simulation ?

⌘ We build models

☒ Detector's Geometry

☒ Shape, Location, Material


☒ Physics interactions

☒ All known processes


- Electromagnetic
- Nuclear (strong)
- Weak (decay)

$$\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sum \sigma_{\text{per-interaction}}$$


2.5 MeV e^-
electron



Example detailed geometry




Ενα απλο παραδειγμα



- ⌘ In lead many secondary particles are produced
 - ☒ Most are contained
 - ☒ A few escape into CO₂
- ⌘ Energy deposition is measured in gas
 - ☒ Charged tracks ionise gas
 - ☒ Fewer new tracks produced


GEANT 3



Atlas : Physics Signatures and Event Rates

- ❑ Beam crossing rate 40 MHz
- ❑ $\sigma_{\text{inelastic}} = 80 \text{ mb}$
 - In each beam crossing (rising each year, in 2012 ~ 25 interactions)
- ❑ Different physics 'targets'
 - Higgs Boson(s) (Discovery 2012)
 - Supersymmetric partner particles
 - Unexpected
 - Matter-antimatter differences (B mesons)
- ❑ Many examples of each channel are simulated

ATLAS Barrel Inner Detector
 $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$



Why simulate ?

⌘ To design detectors


☑ Decise details

⌘ To prepare the reconstruction


☑ Before the detector is built and operates

⌘ To understand events in the analysis

11 March 2014




Data Analysis



Data Analysis

- ❑ Uses the results of Reconstruction
 - the products are reconstructed tracks, Energy deposits (calorimeters)
 - Hierarchy of data from original (RAW), to summary (AOD)
- ❑ An experiment's physics teams use the (large) pool of data
 - No longer in one central location, but in multiple locations (cost, space of building, computers, disks, network) using the GRID
- ❑ Hypatia: a small part of analysis for a school setting
 - Introduction /[Portal](#)
 - <http://hypatia.iasa.gr/en/index.html>
 - <http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=257353#2013-07-08>


Data Hierarchy





LHC Computing Grid project (LCG)

- More than 170 computing centres
- 12 large centres for primary data management: CERN (Tier-0) and eleven Tier-1s
- 38 federations of smaller





WLCG Collaboration

- **The Collaboration**
 - 4 LHC experiments
 - ~170 computing centres
 - 12 large centres (Tier-0, Tier-1)
 - 38 federations of smaller “Tier-2” centres
 - ~35 countries
- **Memorandum of Understanding**
 - Agreed in October 2005
- **Resources**
 - Focuses on the needs of the four LHC experiments
 - Commits resources
 - each October for the coming year
 - 5-year forward look
 - Agrees on standards and procedures
- **Relies on EGEE and OSG (and other regional efforts)**



Open Science Grid

EGEE


Enabling Grids for
E-science in Europe



Running jobs on LCG

Running jobs at all sites

11 Weeks from Week 22 of 2010 to Week 34 of 2010




LCG.CERN.ch	17.2%	LCG.RAL-HEP.uk	2.3%	LCG.CSCS.ch	1.4%
LCG.IN2P3.fr	5.8%	LCG.SARA.nl	2.2%	LCG.IPP.bg	1.4%
LCG.GRIDKA.de	5.6%	LCG.PIC.es	2.1%	LCG.NIKHEF.nl	1.4%
LCG.RAL.uk	4.9%	LCG.Liverpool.uk	2.0%	LCG.MILANO-ATLASC.it	1.2%
LCG.CNAF.it	4.3%	LCG.DESY.de	1.8%	LCG.Lancashire.uk	1.2%
LCG.Manchester.uk	4.2%	LCG.Glasgow.uk	1.7%	LCG.NIPNE-07.ro	1.2%
LCG.IN2P3-T2.fr	3.5%	LCG.JINR.ru	1.7%	LCG.CBPF.br	1.0%
LCG.UKI-LT2-IC-HEP.uk	2.6%	LCG.LPC.fr	1.6%	LCG.Torino.it	1.0%
LCG.CNAF-T2.it	2.4%	LCG.LAPP.fr	1.5%	... plus 84 more	

Generated on 2010-08-22 09:04:15 UTC

Terminated jobs


31 Days from 2010-07-10 to 2010-08-10




analysis
cleanup
logcollect
sleepslots
reprocessing
sw_installation
production
integration
production-merge
jobrobot
simulation
merge
privateproduction
storeresults

Maximum: 196,944, Minimum: 0.00, Average: 113,779, Current: 70,004

World Wide - analy_running - year




Running Jobs




Baris → Birmingham → Bologna → Bratislava → Cagliari → Catania → CCIN2P3 → CCIN2P3-CREAM → CERN → CERN-CREAM → CERN-L → Clermont
CNAF-CREAM → CNAF_glexec → CSC → CyberSar-CREAM → Cylfrenet → FZK_CREAM → FZK_glexec → Grenoble → GRIF_IPNO → GRIF_IRFU → GSI-CREAM
Hiroshima → IHEP → IPNL → ISMA → ISS → ITEP-CREAM → JINR → KFKI → KISTI-CREAM → KNU → Kolkata-CREAM → Kosice → KPI → LBL → Legnaro-CREAM
LUNARC → Madrid → MEFPI → NIHAM → NIKHEF → NISG → OSC → PNPI → Poznan → Prague-CREAM → RAL → RRC-KI → SPISU-CREAM → Strasbourg_IRIS
Subatech → Torino-CREAM → TriGrid → Troitsk → Trujillo → UNAM → SUM



2010 Tier-0 Data Taking



Stored ~ 15 PB in 2010 with peaks at 220 TB/day during Pb+Pb



Tier-0 Bandwidth
 Average in: 2 GB/s with peaks at 11.5 GB/s
 Average out: 6 GB/s with peaks at 25 GB/s





GRID vs Cloud

- **“Cloud computing” is gaining importance**
 - Web based solutions (http/https and RES)
 - Virtualization, upload machine images to remote sites
- **GRID has mainly a scientific user base**
 - Complex applications running across multiple sites, but works like a cluster batch system for the end user
 - Mainly suitable for parallel computing and massive data processing
- **Expect convergence in the future**
 - “Internal Cloud” at CERN
 - CernVM – virtual machine running e.g. at Amazon



LCG depends on two major science grid infrastructures ...


EGEE - Enabling Grids for E-Science

OSG - US Open Science Grid



A map of the worldwide LCG infrastructure operated by EGEE and OSG.

- Δεκαδες εφαρμογες σε διαφορους τομεις
 - Φυσικη Υψηλων Ενεργειων (*Pilot domain*)
 - 4 πειραματα LHC, DESY, Fermilab
 - Βιοϊατρικη (*Pilot domain*)
 - Βιοπληροφορικη (Bioinformatics)
 - Ιατρικη απεικόνιση (Medical imaging)
 - Γεωεπιστημες
 - Γεω-επισκόπηση
 - Φυσικη Στερεας Γης (Solid Earth Physics)
 - Υδρολογία, Κλίμα
 - Υπολογιστικη Χημεία
 - Τηξη (Fusion)
 - Αστρονομία
 - Κοσμικό υπόβαθρο μικροκυμάτων
 - ακτίνων-γ
 - Γεωφυσικη
 - Βιομηχανικες εφαρμογές



Backup

A thick, horizontal yellow brushstroke with a textured, painterly appearance, extending across the width of the slide below the 'Backup' title.

More on simulation


Geant4 geometry: what it does

Describes a Detector

- ⌘ Hierarchy of volumes
- ⌘ Many volumes repeat
 - ☑ Volume & sub-tree
- ⌘ Up to millions of volumes for LHC era
- ⌘ Import detectors from CAD systems


Navigates in Detector

- ⌘ Locates a point
- ⌘ Computes a step
 - ☑ Linear intersection



Propagating in a field

Charged particles follow paths that approximate their curved trajectories in an electromagnetic field.



⌘ It is possible to tailor

- ⌘ the accuracy of the splitting of the curve into linear segments,

- ⌘ the accuracy in intersecting each volume boundaries.

⌘ These can be set now to different values for a single volume or for a hierarchy.

Electromagnetic physics

⌘ Gammas:

- ☒ Gamma-conversion, Compton scattering, Photo-electric effect

⌘ Leptons(e , μ), charged hadrons, ions

- ☒ Energy loss (Ionisation, Bremstrahlung) or PAI model energy loss, Multiple scattering, Transition radiation, Synchrotron radiation,

⌘ Photons:

- ☒ Cerenkov, Rayleigh, Reflection, Refraction, Absorption, Scintillation


⌘ High energy muons and lepton-hadron interactions

⌘ Alternative implementation (“low energy”)

- ☒ for applications that need to go below 1 KeV

Antiproton annihilation - CHIPS Model

Antiproton annihilation on ^{238}U nucleus




M. Kossov

Simulation ‘packages’




- ⌘ Provides the means to simulate
 - ☑ the **physical processes** and
 - ☑ **detector response** of an experiment.
- ⌘ As was realised by many in the past,
 - ☑ **most of the parts** needed can be **common** between experiments (eg physics, geometry blocks) .
- ⌘ So it makes eminent sense to create and use a **general purpose package**
 - ☑ That includes the common parts,
 - ☑ And enables an experiment to describe those parts with are specific to it.


X-Ray Surveys of Asteroids and Moons



Induced X-ray line emission:
indicator of target composition
(~100 μm surface layer)




HEP Processing stages and datasets




CERN Centre Capacity Requirements for all


Estimated Mass Storage at CERN



Estimated DISK Capacity at CERN



Estimated CPU Capacity at CERN



processing

K S195

3 700

8 200

19 100

25,000

34,000

disk

PE

5.0

6.7

tape media

PE

36

48

tape I/O


G

39

39


Moore's law

Event Data





- ❑ Complex data models
 - ~500 structure types
- ❑ References to describe relationships between event objects
 - unidirectional
- ❑ Need to support transparent navigation
- ❑ Need ultimate resolution on selected events
 - need to run specialised algorithms
 - work interactively
- ❑ Not affordable if uncontrolled

HEP Metadata - Event Collections




Detector Conditions Data


- ❑ Reflects changes in state of the detector with time
- ❑ Event Data cannot be reconstructed or analyzed without it
- ❑ Versioning
- ❑ Tagging
- ❑ Ability to extract slices of data required to run with job
- ❑ Long life-time



A Multi-Tier Computing Model



Manager View




User View

Distributed Analysis - the real challenge


- ❑ Analysis will be performed with a mix of “official” experiment software and private user code
 - How can we make sure that the user code can execute and provide a correct result wherever it “lands”?
- ❑ Input datasets not necessarily known a-priori
- ❑ Possibly very sparse data access pattern when only a very few events match the query
- ❑ Large number of people submitting jobs concurrently and in an uncoordinated fashion resulting into a chaotic workload
- ❑ Wide range of user expertise
- ❑ Need for interactivity - requirements on system response time rather than throughput
- ❑ Ability to “suspend” an interactive session and resume it later, in a different location
- ❑ Need a continuous dialogue between developers and users

Visualization

- ⌘ Much functionality is implemented
- ⌘ Several drivers:
 - ☑ OpenGL, **VRML**, Open Inventor, Opacs, **DAWN renderer (G4)**
- ⌘ Also choice of User Interfaces:
 - ☑ Terminal (text) or
 - ☑ GUI: Momo (G4), OPACS
 - ☑ Editors for geometry, EM physics code generation



One area: Tracking



⌘ What a simulation code needs to do for each step of particle:

- ☑ Determine the **step length**
 - ☒ Corresponding to the applicable physics processes
 - ☒ Checking if it crosses a geometrical boundary
- ☑ Model the **final state** of the track,
 - ☒ Advancing it, potentially in an EM field,
 - ☒ Applying the actions of the physics processes,
 - which can create **secondary** particles.
- ☑ **Deposit** energy in current position (‘hit’).

Actions during a Step

⌘ During each step

- ☑ Each physics process is given the opportunity to limit the step,
 - ☑ as is the geometry module (at a boundary), and
 - ☑ leading to the decision on this step's length.
- ☑ Physics processes are allowed to apply their effect
 - ☑ If they occur along a step ('continuous')
 - ☑ If they caused the 'hard' event that limited the step ('discreet').

Actions during a Step (cont)

⌘ During a step (continued)

- ☑ An (optional) user-written 'action' is called,

- ☒ Which can be used eg to create histograms or tallies.

- ☑ If the current volume contains a sensitive detector, that is addressed, allowing it eg

- ☒ to record the energy deposited,

- ☒ to record the exact position


in general to create a 'hit' that store all information that is relevant for that detector .

Actions during a Step (cont)

⌘ During a step (continued)

- ⊞ A parametrisation can be triggered (Geant4)
 - ⊞ Taking over from 'detailed' simulation
 - ⊞ Generating directly several hits

This application-specific operates instead of 'normal' physics processes until it returns control and/or resulting particles for further 'detailed' simulation.





GEANT 4



- ⌘ Detector simulation **tool-kit** for HEP
 - ☑ offers alternatives, allows for tailoring
- ⌘ Software Engineering and OO technology
 - ☑ provide the method for building, maintaining it.
- ⌘ **Requirements** from:
 - ☑ LHC
 - ☑ heavy ions, CP violation, cosmic rays
 - ☑ medical and space science applications
- ⌘ **World-wide collaboration**

PbWO4 e- 5 GeV G4-G3 comparison



Multiple scattering model

- ⌘ A new model for multiple scattering based on the Lewis theory is implemented
 - ☑ since public β release in 1998.
- ⌘ It randomizes momentum direction and displacement of a track.
 - ☑ Step length, time of flight, and energy loss along the step are affected, and
 - ☑ It does not constrain the step length.