

Observing Jets with Displaced Vertices in ATLAS

Hidden Valley Scenarios



Henry Lubatti U of Washington, Seattle

On behalf of the Hidden Valley Working Group: Genova, RomeI, Seattle, SLAC

SLAC ATLAS GROUP



Our Working Group

- University of Rome, La Sapienza
 - Guido Ciapetti
 - Daniele Depedis
 - Carlo Dionisi
 - Stefano Giagu
 - Marco Rescigno
 - Antonio Sidoti
 - Lucia Zanello
- SLAC
 - Ignacio Aracena
 - Claus Horn
 - Su Dong

- University of Genova
 - Carlo Schiavi
 - Fabrizio Parodi
 - Andrea Coccaro
- University of Washington, Seattle
 - Laura Bodine
 - Henry Lubatti
 - Giuseppe Salamanna
 - Daniel Ventura

Theory Consultant Matt Strassler, Rutgers University

Long Lived Neutral Particles

- Several extensions of SM have neutral, weakly-coupled unstable particles with macroscopic decay lengths, for example
 - gauge-mediated SUSY extensions of the MSSM, MSSM with R-parity violation, split SUSY, inelastic dark matter and the Hidden Valley (HV) Scenario in which a new sector is weakly coupled to the SM
 - The long-lived neutral states can appear in Higgs Boson, Z' and SUSY processes and decay to quark pairs and lepton pairs throughout the detector volume, which are challenges for the trigger and reconstruction capabilities of ATLAS
- The Hidden Valley scenario* provides a range of models where we can study and perfect triggers to insure that if such states do exist we will be able to detect them in ATLAS

^{*}M. Strassler, K. Zurek, Phys. Lett. B651 (2007) 374

^{*}M. Strassler, K. Zurek, Phys. Lett. B661 (2008) 263

^{• *}M. Strassler "Possible Effects of a Hidden valley on Supersymmetric Phenomenology arXiv:hep ph/0607160



Hidden Valley Scenario

• A "hidden sector" (v-sector) and communicators that weakly couple the v-sector to the standard model



- Communicators include <u>Higgs Boson, Z' Bosons</u>, neutralinos
- Hidden Valley particle are all singlets under the Standard Model

SLAC ATLAS GROUP



Hidden Valley Processes

- To study detection of long lived states we chose two processes to simulate: Higgs Boson and Z' decays to HV particles, which in turn decay to heavy flavor pairs
 - h \rightarrow XX, where X is neutral, weakly interacting & long lived and X \rightarrow bb (mostly)
 - $Z' \rightarrow XX...XYY...Y$, where Y is stable and invisible
 - Challenge to ATLAS triggers: high multiplicity final states, lots of multi-leptons decays, displaced multi track vertices, large missing ${\rm E}_{\rm T}$
 - Displaced vertex can introduce high rejection factors at Level1 and Level2 triggers
 - The goal of our study is to optimize trigger performance for long lived neutral particles
- This choice addresses problems common to many other processes with long-lived particles



Hidden Valley Processes

Higgs



See also L. Carpenter et al. arXiv:hep-ph/0607204 S. Chang et al. arXiv:hep-ph/0511250 Many Displaced vertices



 $N(X) \sim 3 - 6 (X \rightarrow bb)$ $N(Y) \sim 6-12 \quad (Y \rightarrow MET)$

Many b decays

Z



Hidden Valley ATHENA Samples

- Samples of Higgs and Z' generated using ATHENA 12.0.6.4
 - For Higgs used a standard PYTHIA card
 - gg-fusion, vector boson fusion and W-higgs channels
 - Z' special HVMC PYTHIA based MC routine provided by Matt Strassler (implemented in 13.0.30)

• Parameters: Masses

- m_h = 140 GeV
- m_x = 40 GeV
- m_{z'} = 2 TeV

Lifetimes

- Higgs: cτ = 1500 mm
- Z': cτ = 300 mm

Current data sets Higgs: 50K/channel Z': 50K



Detection in ATLAS

- Current ATLAS trigger paths have not been optimized for picking up decays of neutral particles that decay to di-jets throughout the detector
 - Muons from vertices that are far from the pp interaction point do not have inner detector tracks and fail Level2 muon trigger (muComb)
 - Jets from late decays will not have normal energy deposition in Calorimeter and may punch through
 - Depending on where the decay occurs (inner tracker, calorimeter or muon system) different approaches are required.

– Signature Driven Triggers



• Hidden Valley particles $(\pi_v's)$ can have a range of lifetimes with displaced bbar vertices occurring throughout the detector volume







Decays in or beyond ECAL gives E_{HAD}/E_{EM} ratio larger than observed for jets originating at IP - Possible L2 Trigger Object

Decays near end of HCAL & before 1st m trigger plane give hadron clusters in small $\Delta R(\eta,\phi)$ region of muon spectrometer and L1 muon trigger returns multiple RoIs in this small ΔR region - **Possible L2 Trigger Object**

SLAC ATLAS GROUP



Decays near end of HCAL & before 1^{st} m trigger plane give hadron clusters in small $\Delta R(\eta, \phi)$ region of muon spectrometer and L1 muon trigger returns multiple RoIs in this small ΔR region - **Possible L2 Trigger Object**

28 March 2008

Decays in or beyond ECAL gives E_{HAD}/E_{EM} ratio larger than observed for jets originating at IP - Possible L2 Trigger Object





• π_v Decay in TRT gives <u>trackless-jets</u>,

jets with no connection to IP

Need a fast, robust L2 vertex finding algorithm to have high efficiency for finding such events



TRT has lots of background that complicates pattern recognition, especially at the trigger level



π_v Decay in TRT gives <u>trackless-jets</u>,

jets with no connection to IP

Need a fast, robust L2 vertex finding algorithm to have high efficiency for finding such events



ATHENA output for this event



TRT has lots of background that complicates pattern recognition, especially at the trigger level

SLAC ATLAS GROUP





MuonTriggers

- Level 1 muon triggers result from semileptonic decay of one of the b's or the $\pi_{\rm v}$ decays between end of HCal and before first muon trigger plane
- Level 2 muon trigger has two algorithms: muFast and muComb and both reject m's from displaced vertices
- Triggers are MU06, MU20 and 2MU06 (CSC-06 trigger menu)

	Level 1			
	MU06	MU20	2MU06	
Gluon Fusion	$30.3 {\pm} 0.3\%$	$16.7 \pm 0.2\%$	$16.5 \pm 0.2\%$	
Vector Boson	36.7±0.3%	$22.9 \pm 0.2\%$	$22.0 \pm 0.2\%$	
Fusion				
W Higgs	39.1±0.3%	$26.5 \pm 0.2\%$	$20.8{\pm}0.2\%$	
Z'	60.0±0.3%	41.0±0.3%	36.8±0.3%	

	Combined Level 1 and 2			
	mu6l	mu6	mu20	
Gluon Fusion	2.21±0.07%	$1.34{\pm}0.05\%$	0.30±0.03%	
Vector Boson	3.96±0.09%	$2.77 \pm 0.07\%$	0.70±0.04%	
Fusion				
W Higgs	$13.3 \pm 0.2\%$	$11.7 \pm 0.2\%$	7.7±0.1%	
Ζ′	$4.4{\pm}0.1\%$	3.10±0.07%	$0.84 \pm 0.04\%$	

Level 1 efficiencies are reasonable but the Level 2 triggers requirement of a connection to the IP reduces the efficiency to an impossibly small value

W→µ Branching Fraction is ~10% which accounts for the higher Level2 acceptance for W-higgs production



Jet Triggers

- For level 1 we require events pass logical OR of J80, 2J55 and 3J35 as defined in CSC-06 menu
 - Here Jnn refers to Jet with energy greater than nn GeV, which is convention used in release 13
 - Trigger tables for 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ still being refined
- Level 1 efficiency will depend on the production mechanism and the event final states
 - gg events have no extra jets, while VB and WH both have other jets



Jet Triggers

- For level 1 we require events pass logical OR of J80, 2J55 and 3J35 as defined in CSC-06 menu
 - Here Jnn refers to Jet with energy greater than nn GeV, which is convention used in release 13
 - Trigger tables for 10^{33} cm⁻²s⁻¹ still being refined
- Level 1 efficiency will depend on the production mechanism and the event final states
 - gg events have no extra jets, while VB and WH both have other jets

	Level 1			
	J80	2J55	3J35	Total
Gluon Fusion	$13.1 \pm 0.2\%$	8.3±0.1%	$5.0 \pm 0.1\%$	16.4±0.2%
Vector Boson	$43.7 \pm 0.3\%$	29.0±0.2%	$18.7 {\pm} 0.2\%$	51.1±0.3%
Fusion				
W Higgs	$33.5 {\pm} 0.3\%$	$22.5 \pm 0.2\%$	$20.0{\pm}0.2\%$	41.1±0.3%
Ζ′	72.1±0.4%	49.3±0.3%	$33.9 {\pm} 0.3\%$	85.3±0.4%

Total has overlap removed



Jet Triggers

Level 2 events must pass Level 1 trigger and the logical OR of: J160, 2J120 and 3J65

 Triggers chosen because for 10³² running they were not prescaled and full table not available when analysis was done

• The combined Level one and two triggers for Level 1 and 2 muon and jet triggers

	Level 1 Triggers			Combined Level 1 and 2 Triggers		
	Jet	Muon	Total	Jet	Muon	Total
Gluon Fusion	$16.4 \pm 0.2\%$	$30.3 \pm 0.3\%$	$35.8 \pm 0.3\%$	$4.4 \pm 0.1\%$	$2.21 \pm 0.07\%$	$4.7 \pm 0.1\%$
Vector Boson	51.1±0.3%	$36.7 \pm 0.3\%$	66.2±0.4%	$17.4 \pm 0.2\%$	$3.96 \pm 0.09\%$	$17.9 \pm 0.2\%$
Fusion						
W Higgs	$41.1 \pm 0.3\%$	$39.1 \pm 0.3\%$	$59.8 \pm 0.3\%$	$13.1 \pm 0.2\%$	$13.3 \pm 0.2\%$	$20.0 \pm 0.2\%$
Z'	85.3±0.4%	$60.0 \pm 0.3\%$	85.3±0.4%	53.6±0.3%	$4.4 \pm 0.1\%$	$53.9 \pm 0.3\%$

From these results it is clear that other trigger paths are needed for the Higgs

The Z' which has many jets with higher average energy has an acceptable Level2 pass rate



Decay's in the Muon System



Trigger object

muROI clusters

Get muon ROI clusters in a narrow ηφ cone

HVMC Z' event shown in event display we use to study characteristics of HV event



Average $\mu ROI's vs. X-decay point$



Average number of Level1 μ ROI's with P_T > 6 GeV in a Δ R=0.4 cone centered around the π_v direction

> Higgs gg Barrel only



Muon RoI Trigger

• About 80% of events with $N_{RoI} \ge 3$ have those RoI's in a cone of $\Delta R = 0.4$ about direction of the π_v line of flight



 The potential problem with this trigger is the QCD di-jet background, which has very large cross section and we will return to this issue



Decay's in Calorimeter



Trigger object

$Log[E_{HAD}/E_{EM}]$ for jets

Decays at end of ECAL result in non standard sharing of jet energy between HCAL and ECAL



Decays in the Calorimeters

- Decays in the Calorimeters characterized by jets with few or no tracks and a non-usual energy distribution in the calorimeters
 - Decays at end of Ecal or beginning of Hcal will have very large ration of $E_{\rm HAD}/E_{\rm EM}$ as shown below





E_{HAD}/E_{EM} verses π_v decay point





Decays in the Calorimeter

Events with π_v decays two nearly distinct distributions

- peak at ~ -0.5 from IP jets
- Peak at about + 1.5 from π_{v} 's

J3



Distribution QCD di-Jets with origin at the IP





Decays in the Calorimeter

- Jets with log₁₀(E_{HAD}/E_{EM}) ≥ 0.5 and -2.5 < η <2.5 (inner tracker coverage) have 95% of jets with no tracks in a 0.2 x 0.2 region of (δη ξδφ) centered on the jet RoI
- For the same criteria less than 25% of SM QCD jets have 0 reconstructed tracks







The distribution is relatively flat in the region $0.4 < Log[E_{HAD}/E_{EM}] < 1$

Fraction of Events that survive cut on max Log[E_{HAD}/E_{EM}] and have no L2 track

max Log[E_{HAD}/E_{EM}] is the largest obtained for any jet in each event



Decays in the Inner Detector

Trackless jets

Pixel detector

EM Calorimeter

HVMC Z' event shown in event display used to study characteristics of HV event Std L2 tracking algorithms are not optimized for decays beyond the pixel layers resulting in low efficiency vertex reconstruction for these events

<u>Trigger object</u>

Jets with no charged track connecting to IP; a possibility especially in combination with another trigger object



Inner Tracker

- Very low efficiency for finding displaced vertices beyond the pixel layers because current tracking strategies forms track seeds requiring at least three hits in the pixel layers
 - Suggests using trackless jet may be a way to proceed
 - SM jets are prompt so have hits in pixel layers
- We find the QCD di-jet SM background for events with trackless jets to be of order 200 nb after Level 1
 - To suppress this background we require at Level 2 that our trackless jets contain at least one charged particle identified as a muon RoI at Level 1
 - In SM jets muons will leave track in inner tracker, but in the displaced decays the muon misses the innermost tracking elements and has no reconstruct able track



• The performance of the dedicated triggers (Muon RoI, $E_{\rm HAD}/E_{\rm EM}$ and Trackless jets with a muon) significantly improve our acceptance for detecting displaced vertices

	$\log_{10}(E_{HAD}^{jet}/E_{EM}^{jet})$	Trackless Jet	Muon RoI	Total pass	Total pass
	trigger	with a muon	cluster	HV triggers ¹¹⁾	all triggers ¹²⁾
Gluon Fusion	$5.0{\pm}0.1\%$	$3.8 {\pm} 0.1\%$	$9.0{\pm}0.1\%$	$15.7 {\pm} 0.2\%$	$18.5 {\pm} 0.2\%$
Vector Boson	$8.5 {\pm} 0.1\%$	6.3±0.1%	$12.8 {\pm} 0.1\%$	$24.3 \pm 0.2\%$	$35.2 \pm 0.3\%$
Fusion					
W Higgs	$7.3 {\pm} 0.1\%$	$5.1 \pm 0.1\%$	$10.7 {\pm} 0.1\%$	$20.6 {\pm} 0.2\%$	$34.2 \pm 0.3\%$
Z'	$19.3 \pm 0.2\%$	$32.2 \pm 0.3\%$	$13.8 {\pm} 0.2\%$	$46.4 {\pm} 0.3\%$	$67.3 \pm 0.4\%$

The total pass rate is the sum of all triggers with overlap removed



SM Backgrounds

 OCD di-jet 	S
--------------------------------	---

N(Events)	Energy Range	cross section
	(GeV)	(nb)
152000	35 - 70	96,300
121500	70 - 140	6135
160000	140 - 280	316.8
112000	280 - 560	12.47
208000	560 - 1120	0.3445
	N(Events) 152000 121500 160000 112000 208000	N(Events) Energy Range (GeV) 152000 35 - 70 121500 70 - 140 160000 140 - 280 112000 280 - 560 208000 560 - 1120

• SM muon enriched di-jets

SM muon enhanced	N(Events)	Energy Range	cross section
dijet sample		(GeV)	(nb)
$J2\mu$	90000	35 - 70	1510
J3µ	95000	70 - 140	156
J4 μ	181000	140 - 280	11.7

Very large cross sections require significant rejection factors



Background Acceptances

 Jn cross sections accepted at Level 2 using signature based triggers

	$\log_{10}(E_{HAD}^{jet}/E_{EM}^{jet})$	Trackless Jet	Muon RoI	Total $\sigma^{13)}$
	trigger	with a muon	cluster	(nb)
J2	$0^{+1.9}_{-0}$	$1.3 {\pm} 0.9$	$3.2{\pm}1.4$	$4.4^{+2.5}_{-1.7}$
J3	$0.15 {\pm} 0.9$	$0.8 {\pm} 0.2$	$0.2{\pm}0.1$	$1.1{\pm}0.2$
J4	$0.012 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.09 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.05 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.15 {\pm} 0.02$
J5	$(2.2\pm1.6)\ 10^{-4}$	$(3.7\pm0.7)\ 10^{-3}$	$(9\pm1)\ 10^{-3}$	$0.013 {\pm} 0.001$
J6	$(3.3\pm0.7)\ 10^{-5}$	$(1.8\pm0.2)\ 10^{-4}$	$(8.0\pm0.4)\ 10^{-4}$	$(1.02\pm0.04)\ 10^{-3}$
J2µ	$0^{+0.05}_{-0}$	$1.2{\pm}0.1$	$0.41 {\pm} 0.08$	$1.6 {\pm} 0.1$
J3µ	$0^{+0.005}_{-0}$	$0.44{\pm}0.03$	$0.07 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.51 {\pm} 0.03$
J4µ	$(2.5\pm1.3)\ 10^{-4}$	$0.032 {\pm} 0.002$	$(8.5\pm0.8)\ 10^{-3}$	0.041 ± 0.002

One nb corresponds to 1 Hz 10³³ cm⁻²s⁻²

Implementation of L2 Triggers

- We have developed Level 2 trigger algorithms in frame work of the ATLAS on line trigger system to implement these signature based triggers
 - Have evaluated these using RDO (Raw Data Objects) output of ATHENA for Z' and Higgs simulations
 - Acceptance rates agree well with those discussed using AOD output
- RoI Triggers

SM backgrounds consistent with results obtained with AOD data

	Level 1 (%)	Level 2 (%)	
	2MU06	Cluster $N_{RoI} \ge 3$	Isolation
Gluon Fusion	16.4 ± 0.3	8.11 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 0.2
W Higgs	20.6 ± 0.3	9.9 ± 0.3	9.3 ± 0.3
Z'	35.9 ± 0.4	15.2 ± 0.3	13.4 ± 0.3

Jet energy trigger

Process	Events	acceptance@10 ³¹	acceptance@10 ³³
Gluon Fusion	12500	$(5.27 \pm 0.2)\%$	$(6.00 \pm 0.2)\%$
W Higgs	15000	$(8.21 \pm 0.2)\%$	$(8.35 \pm 0.23)\%$
Z'	180000	$(20.96 \pm 0.30)\%$	$(20.97\pm 0.30)\%$



Implementation of L2 Triggers

- Goal is to implement the muon RoI and Calorimeter energy ratio trigger in release 14
- Currently beginning an official MC run in release 13.0.40 or possible release 14 (the MC bosses will decide)
- We will use this official MC to validate these triggers and request inclusion in the trigger table for this years running (how's that for optimism)

Summary and Future Work

- We have shown that long-lived particles from decays of neural particles in the detector volume to jet-pairs can be selected using a combination of signature driven trigger objects
- We have a preliminary study of QCD di-jet backgrounds with encouraging results
- An ATLAS note is currents in the ATLAS referee black hole
- Future work planned
 - Improve L2 triggers to optimize signal and SM background rejection
 - Implement and test the new L2 trigger algorithms
 - Work on L2 tracking for decays beyond pixel layers
 - Develop and test an Event Filter
 - Off line analysis to isolate events
 - We have begun an effort to include SUSY LSP and other states as portals to Hidden Valley - many of these decays are well covered by the current signature driven triggers