## Alignment & Calibration of Belle II Tracking Detectors Tadeáš Bilka<sup>1</sup> (bilka@ipnp.mff.cuni.cz), Claus Kleinwort<sup>2</sup>, Sergey Yashchenko<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Charles University in Prague Belle II Belle II 21st International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics (CHEP2015) | Okinawa | April 13 - 17, 2015 Belle II and its tracking detectors The Belle II experiment will start taking data in 2018. With 40 times higher Central Drift Chamber luminosity, it aims to acquire 50 $ab^{-1}$ data sample of B- $\overline{B}$ events. In order to manage higher occupancy and background, a new silicon vertex detector (VXD) combined Charged track momentum recostruction, from pixel and strip sub-detectors, will be installed together with upgrade of the ■ dE/dx particle identification main tracking device, the drift chamber. The high target performance of the ■ He-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> gas wire chamber, length ~ 2 m detector, in particular vertex and momentum resolution, motivated the development ■ Inner / outer radius: 16 cm / 113 cm of fast and reliable alignment and calibration procedures. 14336 sense sense wires (W + Au plating) We present track-based alignment and calibration of the vertex and tracking ■ with 30 µm diameter detector using a global approach of Millepede II combined with advanced track Axial/stereo layers, small cell chamber near IP model, solving the mathematical problem in an efficient and precise way. Spatial resolution ~ 100 µm **Software Framework SVD** Strip Vertex Detector Pixel Detector for simulation, reconstruction, ■ 8M pixels for precise vertex reconstruction ■ Vertex reconstruction + extrapolation to PXD for SVD ■ 2 layers of DEPFET (DEPfeted Field Effect data reduction + e.g. $K_S$ decays outside PXD calibration and analysis Transistor) silicon sensors ■ 4 layers of double-sided silicon strip sensors ■ 1st layer: 14 mm from interaction point ■ 187 sensors (~300 µm thickness) with fast readout with 8 sensors (50 µm x 55–60 µm pixels) ■ 1st layer at R=38mm (pitch z/R- $\varphi$ : 50 / 160 $\mu$ m) Belle 2 Analysis and Simulation Framework (basf2) ■ Modern HEP framework written in C++11 and using Python for ■ 2nd layer: 50 µm x 70–85 µm, 12 sensors ■ Layers 2/3/4 at R=80/115/140 mm (75 / 240 µm) user control (but you can do almost everything with Python:-) ■ All sensors' active areas thinned to 75 µm with slanted forward trapezoidial sensors including software modules ■ Covers all software tasks: data aquisition/simulation, online/ offline reconstruction, calibration, physics analysis ... Track Fitting with General Broken Lines (GBL) ■ Modular design, I/O and object interchange and lifetime managed via DataStore able to stream ROOTified objects **General Broken Lines** Full scale Geant4 and detector simulation **Material Treatment GENFIT** ■ Development of **basf2 Calibration Framework** in progress ■ Track model with proper describtion of ■ Generic track fitting toolkit ■ GBL trajectory constructed from track candidate ■ Uses mature basf2 features: modules, logging, histograming ... ■ Runge-Kutta track extrapolation multiple scattering (MS) ■ Reference seed extrapolated to integrate material Seamless parallelization of event processing and data collection ■ Arbitrary measurement dimension ■ Trajectory constructed from points with a distribution and calculate moments of $x/X_0$ ■ Local measurement system constructed at measurement and/or a thin scatterer $\bar{s} = \int \frac{s \, ds}{X_0(s)} / \int \frac{ds}{X_0(s)}, \qquad \Delta s^2 = \int \frac{(s-\bar{s})^2 \, ds}{X_0(s)} / \int \frac{ds}{X_0(s)}$ virtual planes (detector planes in VXD) (source of track slope variance) ■ Material between each two detector planes (in VXD) DataStore Data Input translated to two equivalent thin scatterers (1st at plane, DST $2^{\mathsf{nd}}$ at $s_2$ ) with MS angle variance $\theta_1^2$ and $\theta_2^2$ $\theta_1^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \Delta s^2}{\Delta s^2 + \bar{s}^2} \qquad \theta_2^2 = \frac{\theta^2 \bar{s}^2}{\Delta s^2 + \bar{s}^2} \qquad s_2 = \frac{\Delta s^2 + \bar{s}^2}{\bar{s}}$ **Geometry Construction** ■ Fit parameters also include kink angles at Init Geometry Translators scattering material scatterers, common curvature correction, detector mid-plane drift time corrections (CDC) thin scatterer ■ Global linearized $\chi^2$ fit by minimization of Ring Buffer ■ Integrated in Belle 2 Software Framework fitted trajectory residuals and kinks yields full covariance ■ Interface to GBL and Millepede calibration matrix of the track (incl. correl. from MS) real trajectory PXD/SVD/CDC Test of simultaneous calibration and alignment . fitting GBL fitting of Belle II silicon vertex detector and central drift chamber **Simulated Track Sample** 100,000 tracks 100,000 tracks Collect Data ct Data ect Data Histograms/ NTuples/ Belle II e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup> Belle II cosmic ray µ generator using measured distribution at Belle pair generator **Alignment & Calibration Parameters** Mille binary files Ring Buffer $\Delta \alpha, \Delta \beta, \Delta \gamma$ Histo/NTuple/Tree Each process writes a separate file. Sensor number Calibration 1338 global parameters - 10 fixed for reference = 1328 free Mis-Alignment & Mis-Calibration Read cache Data ■ No mis-alignment for VXD sensors to check for stability and possible weak modes Misalignment of Läyer number (axial only Läyer number (axial only Layer number (axial only 000000 axial CDC layers Local Fits in $\Delta x$ , $\Delta y$ similar to 2014 survey Visible bias comming from simulations effects Mis-calibration of (linear) x-t relation by using layer not yet taken into account in the reconstruction dependent $\Delta v_d$ Calibration with Millepede II Global matrix The Millepede method is designed to solve linear least squares problems with a simultaneous fit of all global (calibration) and local (track) parameters, irrespectively of the number of local parameters, keeping all correlations in the solution. Millepede II application is integrated into basf2 resolution How prediction ■ Mis-calibration and mis-alignment influence track fit residuals How prediction GBL Store Calibration Constants depends on ■ Sum of normalized residuals $(\chi^2)$ of single measurements prediction depends on calibration params track params **linearized** around initial track and calibration parameters measurement ■ Global (calibration) parameters $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ to be determined $\chi^{2}(\Delta \mathbf{p}, \Delta \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{j}^{\text{tracks}} \sum_{i}^{\text{hits}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{ij}^{2}} \left( m_{ij} - f_{ij}(\mathbf{p}_{0}, \mathbf{q}_{j0}) - \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \Delta \mathbf{p} - \frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{i}} \Delta \mathbf{q}_{j} \right)^{2}$ Minimalize with respect to $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ and all $\Delta \mathbf{q}$ Monitor histograms / NTuples / Trees / Calibration result ■ Local track parameters, including scattering angles and drift time corrections ■ All local and global parameters fitted simultaneously, resulting in a huuuge matrix equation to be solved ■ Millepede utlizes the special structure of the matrix to reduce its dimension to the number of calibration parameters (no approximations) **Root File** Calibration output Corrections to calibration parameters retrieved by inversion (or using other methods for large matrices) of the reduced global matrix Histos / NTuples / Summary & Outlook References **Test Performance** [1] V. Blobel, Software alignment for Tracking Detectors, NIM A, 566 (2006), 5-13 ■ The calibration infrastructure successfuly tested ■ First tests of simultaneous alignment & calibration of VXD&CDC promising,

## ■ More alignment parameters: wire-by-wire alignment of displacement, wire sag effect, CDC end-plates rotations/deformations, sensor deformations ... ■ More calibration parameters: Lorentz angle in silicon sensors, realistic

more detailed studies of systematics will follow

■ Vertex & mass constrained decays, hierachical alignment

## x-t relation

- [2] V. Blobel, C. Kleinwort, F. Meier, Fast alignment of a complex tracking detector using advanced track models, Computer Phys. Com., 182 (2011), 1760-1763
- [3] C. Kleinwort, General Broken Lines as advanced track fitting method, NIM A, 673 (2012), 107-110
- [4] R.Itoh, Implementation of parallel processing in the basf2 framework for Belle II, J.Phys.: Conf. Ser. 396 (2012) 022026
- [5] J. Rauch and T. Schlűter., GENFIT a Generic Track-Fitting Toolkit, (2014) arXiv:1410.3698

■ 8 x Intel<sup>®</sup> Core<sup>TM</sup> i7 950 @ 3.07GHz server

- Time to fit an average cosmic/IP track (on average 120 degrees of freedom): 20 ms (single core)
- Time to fit track sample and collect binary files: ~ 70 min (3 x 4 cores)
- Millepede running time: ~ 8 min