

# Experience at APS with novel cooling schemes for SC insertion devices

### Yury Ivanyushenkov on behalf of the APS SCU Team

ALERT2014 Workshop, 5 May, 2014

Work supported by U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.



### Scope

- Why a superconducting-technology based undulators (SCUs)?
- SCU challenges
- SCU0 heat loads and cooling scheme
- SCU0 cryogenic performance
- Summary

### **Undulator radiation**



In coordinate frame that moves with an electron in Z:

Electron 'sees' the magnetic structure with the period length  $\lambda_0/\gamma$  moving towards it, and emits as a dipole at the wavelength  $\lambda^* = \lambda_0/\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the relativistic Lorentz factor.

In laboratory (observer) frame:

Observer sees this dipole radiation shifted to even shorter wavelength, through the relativistic Doppler effect. In the forward direction, the observed wavelength of the radiation is  $\lambda_R = \lambda^* \gamma(1-\beta) = \lambda_0(1-\beta) = \lambda_0/2\gamma^2$ .

As a result, a 3.3-cm undulator can emit 10-keV photons on a 7-GeV electron storage ring ( $\gamma = 13700$ ).

### Planar undulator magnetic structure



**Electromagnet structure** 





**Electromagnet structure** with magnetic poles



### SCU motivation - Higher undulator field

	CPMU PrFeB	SCU NbTi	SCU NbTi-APC
Undulator period, mm	15	15	15
Pole gap, mm	5.2	6	6
Undulator field, T	1.0	1.18	1.46
Undulator parameter K	1.40	1.65	2.05

S. Casalbuoni et al,, "Test of short mockups for optimization of superconducting undulator coils," presented at MT23, Boston, 2013.





Y.Ivanyushenkov, ALERT2014, May 5, 2014



J. Bahrdt and Y. Ivanyushenkov, "Short Period Undulators for Storage Rings and Free Electron Lasers," Journal of Physics: Conference Series 425 (2013) 032001.

### SCU motivation - Higher photon fluxes

Advanced Photon Source (APS) undulator brightness tuning curves [2]

(SCUs1.6 cm vs. UA 3.3 cm vs. Revolver U2.3 cm & U2.5 cm)

Superconducting planar undulator at 3-GeV Diamond Light Source(DLS) will increase photon flux of ~ 15 times and brightness of ~ 20 times at 40 keV when compared to the current in-vacuum undulator [1].

[1] J. Clarke et al., "Status of the UL Superconducting Planar Undulator Project," Proc. of IPAC2013, WEPWA062, p. 2259.



Energy (keV)

#### Y.Ivanyushenkov, ALERT2014, May 5, 2014

### First undulators for the APS

APS superconducting undulator specifications

SCU0 and SCU1 spectral tuning curves

	Test Undulator SCU0	Prototype Undulator SCU1
Photon energy at 1 <sup>st</sup> harmonic	20-25 keV	12-25 keV
Undulator period	16 mm	18 mm
Magnetic gap	9.5 mm	9.5 mm
Magnetic length	0.330 m	1.140 m
Cryostat length	2.063 m	2.063 m
Beam stay-clear dimensions	7.0 mm vertical × 36 mm horizontal	7.0 mm vertical × 36 mm horizontal
Superconductor	NbTi	NbTi



This plot shows the large increases in high-energy flux provided by superconducting devices.

### SCU challenges

SCU as a superconducting magnet	SCU as an insertion device	SCU as a photon source
<ul> <li>Choice of superconductor;</li> <li>Design and fabrication of magnetic structure;</li> <li>Cooling of superconducting coils in presence of beam heat load;</li> <li>Design and fabrication of SCU cryomodule.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low field integrals;</li> <li>Measurement of SCU performance before installation into storage ring.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High quality field:</li> <li>Trajectory straightness;</li> <li>Low phase error.</li> <li>Shimming technique.</li> </ul>

### SCUO heat loads

### Estimated cw beam-induced heat loads on the SCU0 chamber, in 100-mA user operations [1]

Heat Source	Value at 20 K (60/300 K)
Resistive wall	4.7 W (9.7 W)
Wakefields	< 0.5 W (0.8 W)
Injection losses	2 W (non-top-up mode) 0.1 W (top-up mode)
Synchrotron radiation	0.2 W
Beam lifetime losses	<< 1 W
Electron cloud	< 2 W
Total	10 W (11 W)

#### Calculated SCU0 heat loads, W [2]

Heat Source	4 К	20 K	60 K
Beam induced heat		10	11
Thermal radiation	0.017	0.205	0.3
Beam chamber bellows			4.8
Beam chamber support at 20 K	0.08		
He vent bellows	0.0004	0.008	0.6
Cold mas supports	0.08		
Thermal shield supports		0.22	3.3
Correction current leads (70 A)	0.064		8.0 (12)
Main current leads (650 A)	0.285		47 (64)
Total	0.53	10.43	75 (96)

[1] K. Harkay et al., "Beam-induced Heat Load Predictions and Measurements in the APS Superconducting Undulator," Proc. of NA-PAC'13, WEPSM06, 2013.

[2] Y. Shiroyanagi et al., "Thermal Modeling of the Prorotype Superconducting Undulator (SCUO)," Proc. of Na-Pac'13, THPAC07, 2013.

Y.Ivanyushenkov, ALERT2014, May 5, 2014

### SCU cooling schemes

### **Direct cooling of SCU coils**

Indirect cooling of SCU coils

coil



Y.Ivanyushenkov, ALERT2014, May 5, 2014

### SCU0 cooling scheme



J. Fuerst et al., "Cryostat design and development for a superconducting undulator for the APS," Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, 57A: 901-908, 2012.

### SCU0 design

## SCU0 Design Conceptual Points:

- Cooling power is provided by four cryocoolers
- Beam chamber is thermally insulated from superconducting coils and is kept at 15-20 K
- Superconducting coils are indirectly cooled by LHe flowing through the channels inside the coil cores
- LHe is contained in a 100-liter buffer tank which with the LHe piping and the cores makes a closed circuit cooled by two cryocoolers
- Two other cryocoolers are used to cool the beam chamber that is heated by the electron beam

#### SCU0 structure



### SCUO - from an idea to real device

#### A model of test coil



The first five 10-pole test coils



First wound 42-pole test coil



Y.Ivanyushenkov, ALERT2014, May 5, 2014

SCU0 3d design model



#### SCU0 in the APS storage ring



### SCU0 cold test - Cryogenic performance: Cool down

 A design concept of cooling the undulator down with compact cryocoolers has been confirmed. The system achieved cool-down during a day, using cryocooler power

alone requiring total three days to stabilize at LHe temperature.



The temperatures of the 4-K cryocoolers during initial cool-down of SCU0. The cryocoolers are 2-stage devices, with the 1<sup>st</sup> stage providing shield cooling and the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage cooling the liquid helium reservoir and superconducting magnet.

### SCUO cold test - Cryogenic performance: Steady state operation

 Steady state cryogenic performance of the SCU0 has met all design goals. The observed temperatures in the system are below the design temperatures.

Component	Observed temperature, K
60-K shield	32-33
20-K shield	8
Beam chamber	8-11
LHe circuit	4.2



Temperature window of the LabVIEW control system

### SCU0 cold test - Cryogenic performance: Helium circuit operation

- Stable operation of superconducting magnet coils indirectly cooled by LHe: a concept of using horizontal thermal syphon loop was proven.
- Helium loss-free operation for 1.5 months
- Cooling power exceeds the heat load : Ability to liquefy warm helium supplied from a gas bottle instead of using a liquid helium Dewar.

Ability to operate below 4.2 K –

operation at 700 A (140% of the maximum operating current) at the temperature of 3.8 K in the LHe tank was demonstrated.

### This opens a way to higher fields.



This figure shows increasing liquid helium level achieved by using the excess 4 K capacity of the system to reliquefy helium gas added from an external cylinder to increase the LHe inventory in the reservoir.

### SCUO cold test - Cryogenic performance: Heat load tests

- Beam heat load was simulated by using a heater attached to the cold part of the SCU0 beam chamber.
- A heat load of 0-45 W was applied to the beam chamber at full operating current of 500 A
- The beam chamber temperature raised from 11 K to 30 K
- The LHe circuit temperature raised from 4.3 K to 4.4 K indicating a very good thermal insulation between the two circuits
- The magnet did not quench during the heat load tests

Heater power, W	0	10	20	45
Main coil current, A	500	500	500	500
Beam chamber temperature, K	10.6	13.5	16.3	30.0
LHe tank temperature, K	4.29	4.30	4.30	4.44

### SCU0 cold test results summary

• The SCU0 is cryogenically stable.

Since the initial cool-down and then filling the SCUO with liquid helium on July 3, 2012, the device was kept cold until August 20, 2012.

- The SCU0 magnet is working at full design current. The SCU0 magnet coils achieved the design excitation current of 500 A upon the first current ramp without quenching.
- The magnet has at least 20 % margin in operation current. The magnet has continuously been energized for up to a week at the maximum design current of 500 A, and for several days at 600 A without inadvertent quenching. At the LHe temperature of 3.8 K the magnet operated at a current of 700 A.
- The device has successfully passed a thermal load test. SCU0 did not quench at 500 A with 45 W of heat applied to the beam chamber for at least 1.5 hours.



SCU0 being tested in July-August 2012

### SCUO performance in storage ring

- Designed for operation at 500 A, operates reliably at 650 A.
- Did not quench except when electron beam was unintentionally dumped, and once with uncontrolled beam steering while ramping
- No loss of He is observed in about 15month period



The measured temperatures in the SCU0 cryostat at beam current of 100 mA (24 bunches). The magnet cores remain at 4 K even with 14 W of beam power on the beam chamber.

### SCU0 measured temperatures and heat loads

Temperature stage	Design temperature, K	Measured temperature, K
4 K	4.2	4.2-4.3
20 К	20	11-13
60 K	60	34-36

Beam current, mA	0	100	100	100	100	
Bunch mode	0	24	324	24	24	
SCU current, A	0	0	0	500	690	
Heat load, W (*)						
4-K stage	1.20 (0.5 **)	1.19	1.16	1.30	1.45	
20-K stage	0.1	14.6 (10 **)	4.1	14.5	15.3	
60-K stage	80 (75 **)	97	85	112	128	

#### (\*)

- Heat loads as obtained from the cryocooler load maps.
- RDK 408S load map by Sumitomo.
- RDK 415D load map is measured at the APS.
- Work in progress.

(\*\*) Design calculation

### Experience with SCUO operation at APS

#### SCU0 in the APS storage ring



#### SCU0 Performance [1]:

- Designed for operation at 500 A, operates reliably at 650-680 A.
- SCU0 flux at 85 keV is 1.4 times higher than the one of 2.4-m U33 (Undulator A)
- E-beam is not affected by quenches.
   Didn't quench except of when the ebeam was intentionally dumped.
- No loss of He is observed in about 14month run period.

[1] K. Harkay et al., "APS Superconducting Undulator Beam Commissioning Results", WEOAA3, presented NA-PAC'13.









Photon flux comparisons at 85 keV. Main: Simulated and measured SCU0 photon flux. Inset: Measured photon flux of in-line U33.



First experiments with SCU0. Diffraction pattern from Al-Co-Ni decagonal quasicrystal showing ten-fold symmetry. Data provided by A. Kreyssig and A. Goldman – Iowa State University and Ames Lab.

### Experience with SCUO operation at APS - Summary

- Beam heat load can be correctly estimated
- Cryocooler-based cooling system can be efficient
- Cryocooler vibrations does not disturb the electron beam
- Helium loss-free operation is possible
- SCU quench does not cause beam dump
- SCU beam chamber does not need baking
- SCU can be successfully operated in user mode