

Stefan Ritt, Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland

A NEW TIMING CALIBRATION METHOD FOR SWITCHED CAPACITOR ARRAY CHIPS TO ACHIEVE SUB-PICOSECOND RESOLUTIONS

Overview

- Limitations of timing resolutions in Switched Capacitor Arrays (SCA)
- New method for SCA calibration
- Results

Schematic Overview (DRS4)



Noise limited time accuracy



A few examples

U [mV]	DU[mV]	t _r [ns]	f _{3dB} [MHz]	f _s [GSPS]	Dt [ps]	
10	1	1	333	3	60	
100	1.8	1	333	2	13	TARGET
100	0.65	1	333	3	3.8	SAM
100	0.7	0.23	1500	10	1.9	PSEC4
100	0.35	0.36	950	5	1	DRS4

- Small (<20 mV) signals give poor timing
- Theoretical limit for TARGET/SAM/PSEC4 is reached
- Limit for DRS4 is lower than current resolution (O(20ps)) What is the reason for this?

Time definition



- single inverter delay: $\Delta t_i \rightarrow differential$ nonlinearity
- Sum of single inverter delay: $\Delta t_{a,b} = \Sigma \Delta t_i \rightarrow integral$ nonlinearity

Local Calibration



Credits: D. Stricker-Shaver, Univ. Tübingen, NSS/MIC 2012 proceedings

13 March 2014

Local Calibration



How to calibrate?

- External function generator
 - Not convenient for re-calibration
 - Arbitrary waveform generation has ~20 ps jitter



- FGPA: LVDS output with slew rate limitation
 - Anything coming from an FPGA has 50-100 ps jitter
- Dedicated quartz oscillator
 - Can be on-board
 - Generate sine wave via passive low-pass filter
 - Only use region around zero as linear slope

Oscillator



R164

Workshop on Picosecond Photon Sensors, Clermont-Ferrand

GND

GND

GND

GND

Effect of local calibration

before

after



Distribution of Δt_i



13 March 2014

How to quantify accuracy of calibration



13 March 2014

Global Calibration



- Measure period of sine wave (linearly interpolate zero crossings)
- Calculate correct factor
- Distribute correction equally to cells between zero crossings
- Iterate with random phase

Effect of global calibration



13 March 2014

Measure resolution with real pulses



13 March 2014

Result of time difference @ 5 GSPS



- Rise time: 500 mV / 2 ns = 100 mV / 0.36 ns
- Delay = 11.6 ns
- Value in RMS
- Linear interpolation
- Single measurement $2.5ps/\sqrt{2} = 1.8 ps$

Calibration of all channels

- Calibrating one channel of DRS4 chip \rightarrow 12 ps
- Calibrating each channel individually $\rightarrow 2.5 \text{ ps}$ 1024 Cells
- Large system needs clock distribution with ~ps accuracy



Advanced Analysis

 Accuracy can be increased by taking more points into account (unlike TDC)



13 March 2014

Results in dependence of delay



- Improvement of ~40 x to old calibration
- No degradation for delay > 0
- 10x better than Acquiris ADC 2 GSPS/10 bit
- Cross correlation gives best result
- Result < 1ps (single measurement) for delay < 30 ns

Temperature Dependence



Calibration seems stable on the 1-2 ps level over wide temperature range

Limitation of time measurement

- SNR of detector signal
- Variation of $V_{th} \rightarrow calibration$
- Noise inside inverter chain
- DLL phase noise



DLL Phase Noise



Application of precise timing

- Readout of straw tubes / drift chambers
- Position along the wire with charge division
- Time measurement with cluster technique should give 3 ps \rightarrow 0.5 mm



Conclusions

- New calibration for DRS4 chip give sub-ps resolution for delay < 30 ns
- Patent PCT/EP2013/070892
 <Bernd.Pichler@med.uni-tuebingen.de>
- SNR of detector signal limits timing
- To improve timing even further:
 - work hard on SNR
 - carefully calibrate voltage response of EACH cell
 - reduce DLL phase noise

FEMTO WORKSHOP ON PLEOSECOND PHOTON SENSORS

FOR PHYSICS AND MEDICAL APPLICATIONS, MARCH 12-13-14 2014 2017



Place and Purpose

A workshop on picosecond photon sensors, their electronics and their applications for particle physics and medical imaging will take place in Clermont-Ferrand, France, on March 12-13-14, 2014.

This workshop will address particularly the latest developments on Ultra Fast timing from 1-50 picoseconds levels becoming achievable with the new technological development around recent photodetectors like solid state photodetectors (SiPM) and Multichanel Plates Photodetectors devices (MCP) but also new development with gazeous detectors like RPCâand MGPDâ However, there are many challenging issues at many levels. The