

# Highlights from Jet “session”

- The “good” :
  - Calibration procedures (see Paola's talk) are well under control, in an advanced state of understanding
  - Focus is the assessment of performance/recalibrate with data (see Vincent's and Paolo's talks)
- The “not so good”:
  - Cone is not IR safe, we have the tools in place to use SISCone, but they are not so widely used
  - A common “data performance package” is not existing yet

# Possible collaborations among Artemis (Jet): suggestions

- Use of IRsafe jets in bootstrap (probably the most sensitive to IRsafety problems): comments to P. Francavilla's talk from J. Butterworth
- Reuse experience from vertexing in trigger (C. Bernius' talk) for PU subtraction
- Study ID correlation with local hadron collimated jets (see P. Giovannini's and V. Giangiobbe's talks)
- Proposal: Can we think of a “combined presentation” from the people involved by end of 2008 in one of our future monthly meetings?