# $t\bar{t}H^0(H^0 ightarrow b\bar{b})$

ARTEMIS 2nd Annual Meeting, Institut Henri Poincare, Paris

#### Lily Asquith

University College London

July 4, 2008



#### $t\bar{t}H^0$ at UCL- who we are













Nikos Konstantinidis

Simon Dean

Catrin Bernius Sebastian Boeser Michael Nash

Lily Asquith



#### Introduction: low mass Higgs search

- Mass range for Higgs is 114 182 GeV (95% C.L.)
- Channels with potential for a low mass Higgs boson:



#### Backgrounds

Rough number of events for L = 30 fb<sup>-1</sup> passing 6 jets + lepton (4 jets b-tagged):



(日) (同) (日) (日)

•  $\rightarrow$  Important backgrounds are  $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$  and  $t\bar{t}jj$ 

## Artemis Deliverables

# 1. Determination of the background shape

- Most troublesome backgrounds identified as ttbb and ttjj
- Kinematic cuts on jets may effect the way the background looks
- Must be able to understand these effects.

- 2. Determination of the signal
  - We want an analysis that can spot the Higgs decay
  - Current  $\frac{signal}{\sqrt{background}}$  hovering around 1.8...
  - Ideas to improve significance (largely by reducing the **combinatorial** background) include a new  $\chi^2$  and use of jet charge

ж

#### Preselection cuts on b-weight of jets

- The requirement that 4 of our jets be b-tagged may introduce a bias
- Must understand how the choice of b-weight affects the shape of the background



#### Event selection

- After p<sub>T</sub> and b-weight cuts on the jets as part of our preselection, and the reconstruction of the leptonically decaying W:
- We select the best 6 jet combination as being the one which minimises









# Effects of $\chi^2$



- The χ<sup>2</sup> performs better when fed σ(E<sub>T</sub>, bweight) and with the W<sub>ii</sub> and t<sub>iib</sub> treated separately.
- Still the best  $\chi^2$  only selects **both** Higgs jets correctly in 30% of events....

э

#### The Jet Energy Scale

- Essential that we have an excellent knowledge of the JES
- Can't calibrate to truth level in real data!
- Techniques for calibration include using di-jet events (η) and Z/γ + jets(E)
- This is not enough for this channel:
  - different calibration coefficients for b and non-b jets
  - the event selection we apply can alter the JES
  - $t\bar{t}H^0$  may have a very different underlying event to eg Z + jets





#### In situ jet calibration using the $W_{jj}$ mass peak

- Use methods similar to top group see: ATL-COM-PHYS-2008-073
- Important differences in preselection:  $t\bar{t}H^0/t\bar{t}$  need 6*jets*  $\geq 20 GeV/4jets \geq 40 GeV$

- High purity of W<sub>ij</sub> is essential for jet calibration:- increased p<sub>T</sub> cut and exactly 2 light jets
- Full Dress Rehearsal –very low statistics





#### Ongoing work: Jet Charge



• 
$$Q_k = \sum_i q_i |p_i \cdot \hat{n}|^k$$

• k = 0.5, Track  $p_T \ge 1.0 GeV$ ,  $d_0 \le 0.1$  w.r.t jet primary vertex

- 4 b-jets in the event must be correctly assigned to H<sup>0</sup>, t and t
- Currently the best  $\chi^2$  method gives us the correct jets for the higgs in just 30% of events
- 50% of events have one of the b-jets from  $H^0$  assigned to t or  $\overline{t}$
- Use jets' tracks to calculate charge of  $b\bar{b}$  pair and include in  $\chi^2$

(日) (同) (日) (日)

## Summary

- Plenty more work to be done on understanding the background shape, how it changes with kinematic cuts.
- New ideas to explore for helping to determine the signal: every little bit counts.
- First data will be very useful for in-situ jet calibration
- Exciting times!

