

FCC-ee Workshop CERN, June 19-21 2014

## Overview of FCC-hh Physics Workshop (May 26-28 2014)

Michelangelo L. Mangano \* CERN, PH-TH

\* on behalf of the other FCC-hh co-conveners, Austin Ball and Fabiola Gianotti

Due to limited time, will review explicitly only phenomenology talks. For further info and details, see the indico agenda

## FCC-hh physics activities documented on:



o http://indico.cern.ch/categoryDisplay.py?categId=5258 o https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/FutureHadroncollider

Mailing list exists (see e.g. header of any of the mtgs in the Indico category above) => register to be kept uptodate

So far:

- 7 preparatory mtgs of the pp WG
- Task force on "Software platform for FCC studies (hh/ee/eh)"
- "BSM opportunities at 100 TeV" Workshop:
  - http://indico.cern.ch/event/284800/
- "100 TeV Physics" Workshop, May 26-28:
  - http://indico.cern.ch/event/304759/
- Several mtgs of the Heavy Ion subgroup

**PLAN**: prepare a report documenting the physics opportunities at 100 TeV, on the time scale of end-2015, ideally in cooperation with efforts in other regions

# Main directions of ongoing studies

- Document properties of pp interactions at 100 TeV:
  - global event features: total cross sections, multiplicities, spectra (relevant for discussion of radiation levels, pileup modeling, as well as to stimulate interesting QCD studies in totally new dynamical regimes)
  - SM cross sections: including TH systematics, availability/reliability of MC modeling tools, etc
- Extend studies of discovery potential of phenomena from 14 TeV to 100 TeV
- Explore new directions and opportunities, specific to physics at  $E \gg 14$  TeV (see in particular the "BSM Workshop" in February)
  - identify new observables
  - identify possible "no-lose" BSM scenarios (e.g. for electroweak baryogenesis, weak-scale DM, ...)
  - define analysis and/or detector scenarios beyond LHC landscape

## Wshop agenda

#### FCC project reports:

Status of FCC project (Michael Benedikt Status of FCC-hh accelerator studies (Daniel Schulte Status of FCC-eh physics studies (Max Klein Status of FCC-ee physics studies (Jonathan R. Ellis



#### Global event properties, boosted objects, etc

Event structure and small-x issues at 100 TeV (Peter Skands Report from the "Pileup mitigation" workshop (Gavin Salam Tagging hyper-boosted gauge bosons (Maurizio Pierini Tagging hyper-boosted top quarks (Michele Selvaggi

#### Studies with SM probes (precision physics, search for indirect BSM phenomena)

Physics potential of flavour physics in pp with L~ab-1 (Luca Silvestrini Rare W decays (Thomas Edward Melia Electroweak corrections in the Sudakov limit at the LHC and at future pp colliders (Mauro Chiesa Gauge boson production at high energy (Jesper Roy Christiansen Precision top physics at 100 TeV (Juan Antonio Aguilar Saavedra ttW production, a probe of the charge asymmetry in ttbar production (Ioannis Tsinikos Physics with initial state top quarks (Benjamin Fuks

#### Detector and DAQ ideas, software framework for simulation studies

Radiation studies status and plans (Werner Riegler (CERN)) Progress on EM calorimeter requirements and technologies (Marcello Mannelli First considerations about hadronic calorimetry (Clement Helsens DAQ architectures for flavour physics at L>10^34 (Giovanni Punzi Status of magnet studies (Herman Ten Kate Magnetic field calculations with TOSCA (Slava Klyukhin Status of the software framework for FCC studies (Benedikt Hegner Progress report on DD4HEP+G4 based simulation (Clement Helsens

#### Higgs physics and EWSB, BSM

Rare Higgs, top and vector boson production processes at 100 TeV (Paolo Torrielli ttH/ttZ as a precision probe of the top Yukawa coupling (Michelangelo Mangano Testing Higgs compositeness through double Higgs production (Roberto Contino Higgs pair production in gluon fusion at 100 TeV (Minho Son Pair production of vector bosons, heavy fermions and scalars in ttbar fusion (Da Liu pMSSM explorations at 100 TeV (Marco Battaglia Production of vector resonances at 14 and 100 TeV (Riccardo Torre

## **Global aspects of 100 TeV pp collisions**





**P.Skands** 

## Projecting the discovery reach

http://cern.ch/collider-reach, Salam, & Weiler





The PDF choice was CT10nlo.LHgrid						
Original mass	<b>9</b> 9	qg	allqq	qqbar		
100.	469.	465.	462.	457.		
125.	585.	579.	575.	568.		
150.	702.	693.	687.	679.		
200.	937.	923.	912.	902.		
300.	1414.	1386.	1365.	1350.		
500.	2394.	2332.	2279.	2261.		
700.	3401.	3300.	3206.	3194.		
1000.	4956.	4793.	4619.	4640.		
1250.	6287.	6072.	5818.	5892.		
1500.	7647.	7382.	7038.	7187.		
2000.	10444.	10090.	9552.	9905.		
2500.	13337.	12908.	12185.	12781.		
3000.	16319.	15833.	14954.	15795.		
4000.	22531.	21986.	20933.	22162.		
5000.	29050.	28508.	27467.	28894.		
6000.	35863.	35366.	34451.	35960.		
7000.	43079.	42620.	41854.	43411.		
8000.	50671.	50230.	49590.	51132.		

Rule of thumb: at fixed Luminosity, discovery reach scales like  $2/3 E_{beam}$  => x 5 from 14 to 100 TeV



Without a guarantee that any particular new phenomenon will manifest itself, the exploration of the discovery potential must be accompanied by a more focused understanding of what are the qualitative changes made possible by the access to the 100 TeV region. Address obvious questions such as:

- if we haven't seen something by 14 TeV, why should it show up by 100 TeV?
- what are the origins and the motivations of mass scales in the range beyond the LHC, but within the reach of 100 TeV?
- what are the new rare processes that become interesting to explore with the increased statistics possible at 100 TeV?
- are there BSM scenarios for which one can formulate "sort of" no-lose theorems at 100 TeV ?
- For phenomena that could already be probed at the LHC, which new observables and states that may open up for exploration at 100 TeV?
- How do these interplay with other probes that could be available 30 years from now (e.g. from the cosmos, from an e+e- collider, etc)?

## **Higgs physics**



## **NLO rates** $\mathbf{R(E)} = \sigma(E \text{ TeV})/\sigma(14 \text{ TeV})$

	σ(14 TeV)	R(33)	R(40)	R(60)	R(80)	R(100)
ggH	50.4 pb	3.5	4.6	7.8	11.2	14.7
VBF	4.40 pb	3.8	5.2	9.3	13.6	18.6
WH	1.63 pb	2.9	3.6	5.7	7.7	9.7
ZH	0.90 pb	3.3	4.2	6.8	9.6	12.5
ttH	0.62 pb	7.3	11	24	41	61
HH	33.8 fb	6.1	8.8	18	29	42

In several cases, the gains in terms of "useful" rate are much bigger.

E.g. when we are interested in the large-invariant mass behaviour of the final states:

 $\sigma(ttH, p_T^{top} > 500 \text{ GeV}) \Rightarrow R(100) = 250$ 

## Task: explore new opportunities for measurements, to reduce systematics with independent/complementary kinematics, backgrounds, etc.etc.

Examples: how much can we reduce jet veto systematics by "measuring" jet rates/vetoes in "clean" channels like  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* / \gamma\gamma$ ?

## **Rare H production modes**



Higgs-diboson associated production



Channel	$\frac{\sigma_{100}}{\sigma_{14}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{100}}{\sigma_8}$
HWW	20	35
HZW	20	45
$HW\gamma$	15	35
$HZ\gamma$	10	30
HZZ	15	40

Channel

HH

#### Higgs-pair associated production



 HHij VBF
 45
 180

 HHtt
 100
 600

 HHW
 15
 40

 HHZ
 15
 40

 HHtj
 130
 800

 $\sigma_{100}$ 

 $\sigma_{14}$ 

35

Which opportunities for new measurements and probes of Higgs properties are made possible by these new channels ?

 $\sigma_{100}$ 

 $\sigma_{\rm B}$ 

150

P.Torrielli, MadGraph5-aMC@NLO

#### ttH/ttZ as a precision probe of the top Yukawa coupling



To the extent that the qqbar  $\rightarrow$  tt Z/H contributions are subdominant:

- Identical production dynamics:

o correlated QCD corrections, correlated scale dependence o correlated  $\alpha_s$  systematics

-  $m_z \sim m_H \Rightarrow$  almost identical kinematic boundaries:

o correlated PDF systematics o correlated m<sub>top</sub> systematics

> For a given  $y_{top}$ , we expect  $\sigma(ttH)/\sigma(ttZ)$  to be predicted with great precision



## gg fraction in $pp \rightarrow ttZ$ , vs ptmin(Z)



NB: At lower  $p_T$  values, gg fraction is slightly larger for ttZ than for ttH, since  $m_Z < m_H$ 



## NLO scale dependence:

Scan  $\mu_R$  and  $\mu_F$  independently, at  $\mu_{R,F} = [0.5, 1, 2] \mu_0$ , with  $\mu_0 = m_H + 2m_t$ 

	δσ(ttH)	δσ(ttZ)	σ <b>(ttH)</b> /σ <b>(ttZ)</b>	δ[σ(ttH)/σ(tt <b>Z)</b> ]
I 4 TeV	± 9.8%	± 12.3%	0.608	<b>±2.6</b> %
100 TeV	± 9.6%	± 10.8%	0.589	±1.2%

NB Uncertainty bands for x symmetrized around  $(x_{min}+x_{max})/2$ 

## PDF dependence (CTEQ6.6. only)

	δσ(ttH)	δσ(ttZ)	δ[σ(ttH)/σ(tt <b>Z)</b> ]
I4 TeV	± 4.8%	± 5.3%	±0.75%
100 TeV	± 2.7%	± 2.3%	±0.48%

## Conclusion: potential for a %-level precision in the determination of ytop



## Exploration of EW interactions at high energy via Multi-gauge boson production

## At 100 TeV:

WW	σ= <b>770 pb</b>	(no BR included)
WWW	<b>σ=2 pb</b>	
WWZ	σ= <b>Ι.6 pb</b>	
WWWW	<b>σ=I5 fb</b>	
wwwz	<b>σ=20 fb</b>	
••••		

### Tasks:

o determine experimental accept/eff's: how high can we go in multiplicity? o what can we learn on EW interactions at high energy from these studies? o which variables/correlations to consider?

o can we use dijet decays at high pt(W) ?

## ~ 10% probability of W emission from high-energy quark jets



![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Christiansen

## EWSB probes: high mass WW/HH in VBF

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

SM rates at 100 TeV

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Contino, O. Bondu, A. Massironi and J. Rojo at the wshop

Grojean, Pappadopulo, Rattazzi, Thamm JHEP 1402 (2014) 006

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## WIMP DM search

Can a 100 TeV collider detect or rule out WIMP scenarios for DM ?

## Coverage of pMSSM parameter space using DM constraints and direct searches at 14 and 100 TeV

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

15000

M(đ, g)

10000

Fraction of pMSSM

points allowed by

DM over-closure

0.8

## 10 ab<sup>-1</sup> at 100 TeV imply:

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$10^{10} \text{ Higgs bosons} \Rightarrow 10^4 \text{ x today} \qquad \Rightarrow \text{ precision measurements} \\ \Rightarrow \text{ rare decays, FCNC probes} \\ 10^{12} \text{ top quarks} \Rightarrow 5 \ 10^4 \text{ x today} \qquad (H \rightarrow e\mu, t \rightarrow cV \ (V=Z,g,\gamma), t \rightarrow cH, ....) \\ \Rightarrow CP \text{ violation} \\ =>10^{12} \text{ W bosons from top decays} \\ =>10^{12} \text{ b hadrons from top decays} \ (particle/antiparticle tagged)} \\ =>10^{11} \text{ t} \rightarrow \text{W} \rightarrow \text{ taus} \quad \Rightarrow \text{ rare decays } \text{T} \rightarrow 3\mu, \mu\gamma, CPV}$$

=> few x10<sup>11</sup> t → W → charm hadrons ⇒ rare decays  $D \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , ..., CPV

The possibility of detectors dedicated to final states in the 0.1 - I TeV region deserves <u>very</u> serious thinking:

focus on Higgs, DM and weakly interacting new particles, top, W

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

What is the theoretical interest in measuring these rates? What else ?

o SM inclusive decays -- Examples:

 $\frac{R = BR_{had} / BR_{lept} : what do we learn ? Achievable precision}{for CKM, \alpha_S, ... ?}$ 

oW mass ??

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Top "polarimetry" with ttW, and Tevatron's AFB

Maltoni, MLM, Tsinikos, Zaro, arXiv:1406.3262

 $gg \rightarrow W$  ttbar is clearly forbidden  $\Rightarrow$  presence of W singles out (polarized) q-qbar initial state

		8 TeV	$13  \mathrm{TeV}$	14 TeV	$33 { m TeV}$	$100 { m TeV}$
$t\bar{t}$	$\sigma(\text{pb})$	$198^{+15\%}_{-14\%}$	$661^{+15\%}_{-13\%}$	$786^{+14\%}_{-13\%}$	$4630^{+12\%}_{-11\%}$	$30700^{+13\%}_{-13\%}$
	$A^t_C(\%)$	$0.72\substack{+0.14 \\ -0.09}$	$0.45\substack{+0.09 \\ -0.06}$	$0.43\substack{+0.08 \\ -0.05}$	$0.26\substack{+0.04 \\ -0.03}$	$0.12\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.02}$
$tar{t}W^\pm$	$\sigma({ m fb})$	$210^{+11\%}_{-11\%}$	$587^{+13\%}_{-12\%}$	$678^{+14\%}_{-12\%}$	$3220^{+17\%}_{-13\%}$	$19000^{+20\%}_{-17\%}$
	$A^t_C(\%)$	$2.37\substack{+0.56 \\ -0.38}$	$2.24\substack{+0.43\\-0.32}$	$2.23\substack{+0.43 \\ -0.33}$	$1.95\substack{+0.28\\-0.23}$	$1.85\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.17}$
	$A^b_C(\%)$	$8.50\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.10}$	$7.54\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.17}$	$7.50\substack{+0.24 \\ -0.22}$	$5.37\substack{+0.22 \\ -0.30}$	$3.36\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.19}$
	$A^e_C(\%)$	$-14.83_{+0.95}^{-0.65}$	$-13.16\substack{+0.81\\+1.12}$	$-12.84_{+1.11}^{-0.81}$	$-9.21\substack{+0.87\\+1.05}$	$-4.94_{\pm 0.72}^{-0.63}$

**Expected statistical sensitivity** 

• 14 TeV ( $\mathcal{L} = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ):  $\delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^t = 14\%, \delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^b = 4\%, \delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^e = 2\%$ • 100 TeV ( $\mathcal{L} = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ):  $\delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^t = 3\%, \delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^b = 2\%, \delta_{\text{rel}} A_C^e = 1\%$ 

#### **Example: sensitivity to axigluon models consistent with Tevatron A<sub>FB</sub> anomaly**

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Probing top couplings**

## Weak moments: the contenders

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Projected sensitivity reach:**

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Plenty of room for new ideas .....

\*

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- \* Off-shell W/Z production above 10 TeV DY mass. E.g.
  - measure the running of EW couplings, sensitive to new weakly-interacting particles, possibly hidden from direct discovery (=> Rudermann at BSM@100 TeV wshop, Galloway at SLAC)
  - -10<sup>4</sup> pp  $\rightarrow W^* \rightarrow$  top+ bottom with M(tb) > 7 TeV
- \* QCD jets up to 25-30 TeV  $\Rightarrow$  running of  $\alpha_{\text{S}}$  , ...

\* SM violation of B+L via EW anomaly (not viable below 30 TeV) (⇒ Khoze and Ringwald at BSM@100 TeV wshop)

\* Growth of heavy flavour densities inside proton (c, b and ultimately top) ⇒new opportunities for studies within and beyond the SM (⇒ Perez at BSM@100 TeV wshop)

## FHC.1.1 Exploration of EW Symmetry Breaking (EWSB)

- FHC.1.1.1 High-mass WW scattering, high mass HH production
  - EPFL/CERN (Contino, Son, Liu, Rattazzi, ...), Rojo, ...
- FHC.1.1.2 Rare Higgs production/decays and precision studies of Higgs properties

• aMC@NLO (Torrielli, ....), Djouadi, HXSWG ?

- FHC.1.1.3 Additional BSM Higgs bosons: discovery reach and precision physics programme
  - Djouadi, Craig, Katz, ...
- FHC.1.1.4 New handles on the study of non-SM EWSB dynamics (e.g. dynamical EWSB and composite H, etc)
  - EPF/CERN

NB: boldfaced **names**: "volunteered" to convene/steer

### FHC.1.2 Exploration of BSM phenomena

- FHC.1.2.1 discovery reach for various scenarios (SUSY, new gauge interactions, new quark and leptons, compositeness, etc.)
  - Arbey/Battaglia/Mahmoudi, **Torre**/Wulzer/Thamm, Rizzo, ...
- FHC.1.2.2 Theoretical implications of discovery/non-discovery of various BSM scenarios, e.g. address questions such as:
  - FHC.1.2.2.1 what remains of Supersymmetry if nothing is seen at the scales accessible at 100 TeV?
  - FHC.1.2.2.2 which new opportunities open up at 100 TeV for the detection and study of dark matter?
    - Wang, Schwaller, ABM, ...
  - FHC.1.2.2.3 which new BSM frameworks, which are totally outside of the HL-LHC reach, become accessible/worth-discussing at 100 TeV ?

## FHC.1.3 Continued exploration of SM particles

- FHC.1.3.1 Physics of the top quark (rare decays, FCNC, anomalous couplings, ...)
  - MLM, Aguilar-Saavedra, Tsinikos, Kamenik, Zupan, Perez, ...
- FHC.1.3.2 Flavour Physics:
  - charm and bottom quark (rare decays, CPV, ...)
  - tau lepton (e.g. tau -> 3 mu, tau -> mu gamma and other LFV decays)
  - Isidori, Silvestrini,
- FHC.1.3.2 W/Z physics
  - Melia, MLM, ...
- FHC.1.3.3 QCD dynamics
  - Skands, MLM, ..

## FHC.1.5 Theoretical tools for the study of 100 TeV collisions

- FHC.1.5.1 PDFs
  - Rojo, Melia, Fuks, PDF4LHC
- FHC.1.5.2 MC generators
  - Skands (pythia), mg5-aMC@NLO, Alpgen, ...
- FHC.1.5.3 N^nLO calculations
  - Zanderighi
- FHC.1.5.4 EW corrections
  - Christiansen, Chiesa/Piccinini/...,

## FHC.1.4 Opportunities other than pp physics:

- FHC.1.4.1 Heavy Ion Collisions
  - HI WG (Dainese, Masciocchi, Wiedemann)
- FHC.1.4.2 Fixed target experiments:
  - FHC.1.4.2.2 Heavy Ion beams for fixed-target experiments
    - HI WG (Dainese, Masciocchi, Wiedemann)
  - FHC.1.4.2.1 "Intensity frontier": kaon physics, mu2e conversions, beam dump experiments and searches for heavy photons, heavy neutrals, and other exotica...
    - Contacts ongoing:

We plan to initiate some (mainly brainstorming) activity about opportunities for smaller dedicated collider experiments, as well as fixed-target experiments using beams extracted at different stages of the the injection chain (in strong contacts with the machine experts)  $\rightarrow$  aim at a first workshop in September-October

 $\rightarrow$  please let us know if you are interested

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Plan for the above:

- start documenting what's been discussed so far
- document reference benchmark cross-sections, distributions for relevant SM, Higgs, BSM processes
  organize dedicated mtgs, focused on specific topics, increase interaction TH/exp
- stimulate dedicated simulations, to address issues needed for the progress of the detector designs
- => have report by Winter 2015
- start interaction with FCC-ee/eh physics groups, to study and document synergies/complementarity

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Issues for detector studies, and detector/machine interface

-Deciding if we do eta <6 integrated into GPD or separate is rather an important point => missET? jet reconstruction? Lepton ID? Tracking?

=> need to define soon physics scenarios to be used for dedicated studies

=> are there scenarios where final states above 5-10 TeV require such eta coverage ?

- We need to decide soon the physics samples that need to be generated and with which level of simulation (DELPHES, full, etc.)

-- Continue and intensify efforts to put in place software infrastructure allowing different levels of simulations.

This is super-critical for any experimental study.

Next check-point: FCC-ee WS on 19-21 June.

- Need more people to work on tracking detector layout and performance: volunteers ???

- Calorimetry issues:

-- need to understand the importance of granularity (e.g. for boosted objects) and the impact on the number of channels and hence cooling (cannot switch power off between trains, as at ILC/CLIC) -- interplay between ECAL and HCAL important for performance (e.g. compensation) and design (space) reasons

- Trigger (and DAQ): very little done so far, need to ramp up efforts. May organise a dedicated miniworkshop. - need to see concrete models for the mechanical support structure, in the scenarios with a nested solenoid solution

-do we have to worry about L\* reduction ? => impact on maintenance scenarios, forw regions, beta\* focusing, ...

-can the experiments tolerate the presence of an injector in the FCC tunnel?

clustered collision points are still an option and have many advantages
 => explore potential issues and opportunities