



Monitoring of Installed Middleware Packages

Lionel Cons
IT/SDC

15 May 2014



Monitoring of Installed Middleware Packages

- Goal: test that installed middleware packages are at the right version level
- Questions:
 - what is a middleware package?
 - what is a right version?
 - how to test?

Middleware Packages (1/2)

- Example “BDII_site”
- EMI 2 defines “BDII Site v. 1.1.0” as:

```
emi-bdii-site-1.0.0-1  
bdii-config-site-1.0.6-1  
glite-info-site-0.4.0-1  
glite-info-static-0.2.0-1
```

- EMI 3 defines “BDII Site v. 1.2.0” as:

```
emi-bdii-site-1.0.1-1  
bdii-config-site-1.0.7-1  
glite-yaim-bdii-4.3.13-1  
glite-info-provider-ldap-1.4.4-1  
glite-info-site-0.4.0-1  
glite-info-static-0.2.0-1
```

Middleware Packages (2/2)

- Latest “BDII Site” for EMI 2 is 1.2.1:
`glite-info-provider-ldap-1.4.5-1`
`bdii-config-site-1.0.7-1`
- Latest “BDII Site” for EMI 3 is 1.2.1:
`glite-info-provider-ldap-1.4.5-1`
- But later “BDII Top” for EMI 3 contains:
`glite-info-provider-ldap-1.4.8-1`

Middleware Packages (MWP) vs RPMs

- No clear mapping (e.g. EMI 2 \neq EMI 3)
- Version mapping is even worse
- Some RPMs belong to multiple MWPs
- The manual work to maintain the mappings is time consuming
- Some MWPs may be important to track even if they do not have an entry in BDII
- Idem for system packages like Java

What about using RPMs?

- It is trivial to get the list of installed RPMs
- Collecting installed RPMs can serve multiple purposes:
 - middleware readiness
 - prerequisite testing: OS, Java...
 - security assessment (as done today on WNs)

How to define “right version”?

- A given software version is either:
 - tested and working fine (aka “GOOD”)
 - tested and not working fine (aka “BAD”)
 - not tested (aka “UNKNOWN”)
- Heuristics could be used:
 - if $v1$ is “GOOD” and $v2$ is “UNKNOWN” and $v3$ is “GOOD” and $v1 < v2 < v3$ then $v2$ could be assumed to be “GOOD”
 - if $v1$ is “UNKNOWN” and $v2$ is “BAD” and $v1 < v2$ then $v1$ could be assumed to be “BAD”

How to test?

- Volunteer sites report the RPMs they use
- Validation tests are run continuously
- Test results are used to “tag” versions
 - this needs a mapping from tests to RPMs
 - and a policy for conflicting results
- This allows to automatically assess middleware packages versions
 - a human being could bring improved quality

How to use the results?

- All sites report the RPMs they use
- The RPMs are assessed using the acquired knowledge
- Site readiness can be derived from all this

Implementation details

- Pakiti (currently used for WNs security assessment) or similar could be used
- Sites could use a different name/tag to flag their validation machines
- The collected raw data would not be public
- The derived knowledge would be public