



Integration and Environment for Upgrade Trackers in High Luminosity LHC

ECFA Conference, Aix-les-Bains, October 2014 Eric Anderssen on behalf of many

Integration and Environment

Integration can mean many things

- Systems engineering, design for assembly
- It is also implies modularity of services and how many components are assembled together
- Environmental control used to mean many separate volumes within our detectors

As our detectors become more 'integrated' these barriers will decrease in number or get repurposed as structure

Lessons learned from current operations will be discussed



Detectors are getting larger our assembly paradigm must adapt



ATLAS Strips (current)

Increasing Integration



CMS Strips (current)

- Previous assembly was a craft-work (small parts added to a large structure)
 - Standalone electrical modules were tested then mounted and layered with services—serial
- Integrated staves push work to distributed sites where the bulk of our manpower reside

Reduces work during final assembly 3



ATLAS Strips (upgrade)

Strip Stave for upgrade includes integrated cooling and electrical bus

in structure



Our services should become more modular and integrated

ATLAS current and upgrade

ITK Strip

Service Tray

nSQP

new Service Quarter Panel—used in current ATLAS Pixel detector

Integrated services are a necessity

They allow for parallel and distributed assembly with only installation and connection on the final assembly

Minimizing the number of connections is also a priority

Work in-situ will have tight limitations for our upgrades 4







Each of the subsystems use differing technologies

Layout and design of the global supports should allow for independent parallel assembly of subsystems; Pixels arrive last in schedule

Delivery of large integrated components allows for more rapid assembly/test

Distributing sub-assembly to offsite locations reduces impact on resource and space needs at CERN



An example from STAR HFT (Heavy Flavor Tracker)



STAR is an operating detector at RHIC only 12wks are available to remove and replace structures between runs

Three detectors had to be installed

Extra structures were produced to allow assembly while STAR was running minimizing time on Critical Path

A similar strategy was used for nSQP (ATLAS Pixel refurbishment in LS1)

Intermediate Silicon Tracker



Assembly of large systems in parallel on the surface

ATLAS Pixel assembly and installation tooling

SR1—ATLAS surface building Layout for Pixel and IBL work

Space may limit how much can be done in parallel

Natural phasing of Pixels relative to Strips may alleviate this

Assembly kinematics can help to alleviate this within limits

Detailed space planning should be included early in the planning process 7

Assembly kinematics are tied to <u>m</u> Environmental control

Currently ATLAS ID has 8 separate environmental volumes CMS has 1

The TRT and SCT operate at separate temperatures (2-Forwards and 1 Barrel)

The Pixel system and IBL are separate

This is due to ATLAS being installed as 5 separate units in the pit

Barrel, 2 Forwards, Pixel and IBL

This made some sense i.e. units could be tested stand-alone etc...

This won't work in the future...







Service penetrations need tight control

ATLAS has an auxiliary environmental volume—the ID End Plate

Services first penetrate their respective volumes, then the IDEP at large radius

ATLAS Pixel example shown right, all Inner Detector services shown left

Heaters in IDEP raised cooling exhaust temp above dew point before leaving 'Engineered' Penetrations

LAS Pixel PP1

Environmental control also includes external service volumes



ATLAS did relatively well in this regard with 'engineered' solutions for all penetrations

ATLAS environment did leak, despite attentive design effort, N2/Dry Air flush was sufficient

- Reliance on insulation that is applied afterward (armaflex) should be avoided in the future
- A reduction of the number of penetrations by increasing modularity will help this problem



CMS Tracker system



Increased Integration does increase risk, but also benefits

- Risk aversion guided many design decisions in the first detectors
 - Stand-alone, versus bussed modules, can be individually replaced if they fail
 - Individually powered modules can have their voltages adjusted
 - Minimal modularity reduces the number of modules on a cooling circuit



ATLAS Pixel has 1 connector per module at PP0—must vastly reduce this for upgrade

- All of these choices increase work; service connections and penetrations, and arguably reduce reliability
- Engineers have tools and methods for minimizing risk, chief among them is quality control
- A standard method of risk mitigation is to start early and develop procedures and QC with a robust R&D effort—this has begun



Quality Control is a chief component of Integration

- Flex Circuit Co-cured with laminate
- Cores bonded to facings and machined
- Stave bonded together



Highly modular staves carry risk--must fully qualify at each stage of assembly

- Damage during fab/assy/handling, or faulty components (flex-circuit, tubes)
- Similar methodology used in module fabrication (Known Good Die)
- Outcome is Known Good Stave as input to module assembly process



Develop new metrology and evaluation tools and techniques

- Developed in concert with fabrication processes
- New tools to measure part and assembly quality in preparation for automated wire bonding
 - Geometry of large flexcircuits can vary batch-wise
 - Disbond, voids or damage in bonded assembly of staves



Laser Displacement Senesor/ Confocal microscope Bus tape co-cured with carbon facing Glue Glue Carbon honeycomb Carbon honeycomb





Quality Assurance tools progress withR&D of structuresDesign of Large Inspection Station

- Qualification of sub-scale prototypes indicates what problems to look for
- Scaling to full size structural prototypes also requires scale up of QC infrastructure and capability
- Order of 1000 fully qualified structures will be required
- Automation of the QC processes will be required





Conclusion

All of the current LHC detectors were designed and built by independent teams who now have great experience

- We now have several years experience operating these detectors after building and installing them
- Some of the perceived risks proved irrelevant, and new ones have been identified
 - Increased modularity is required to reduce service connections and penetrations of operational environments
 - Increased Integration is a necessity going forward with these new detectors to facilitate fabrication and global assembly
 - Quality Control should be tightly integrated with design of the new structures

