

The uncertainty principle, virtual particles and real forces.

(http://teachers.web.cern.ch/teachers/archiv/HST2005/bubble_chambers/BCwebsite/articles.htm)

(An introduction to quantum fluctuations)

Goronwy Tudor Jones
University of Birmingham
CERN HST 2014

Wave-particle duality: a quick review

Photoelectric effect

$$E = hf$$

Two-slit experiment with electrons

$$p = h/\lambda$$

[* Why do particle physicists need high energy accelerators?]

Why do atoms have energy levels?

- * Confined waves: $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n, \dots$
- * Assume electrons in H-atoms have wavelike properties (cf. 2-slit)
- * Then H-atom 'is' a confined electron wave, with allowed frequencies $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n, \dots$
- * $E = hf \Rightarrow$ allowed energies hf_1, hf_2, hf_3, \dots , or energy levels E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots
- * **So, atoms have energy levels because they are confined electron waves.**

Measuring the frequency of a wave

* Demo

* Key idea: to measure frequency with an

accuracy Δf one needs a time $\geq \frac{r}{\Delta f}$

where r is a positive number ($\frac{1}{4\pi}$).

* Assume true for mysterious QM waves too.

* Then time $\geq \frac{1}{4\pi\Delta f} = \frac{h}{4\pi h\Delta f} = \frac{h}{4\pi\Delta E}$


(Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle)

Energy-time uncertainty principle in words:

to measure the energy of a quantum system (something we want to discuss using QM – an electron, for example) with an accuracy of ΔE , we need a time greater than $\hbar/4\pi\Delta E$

- * Contains `magic loophole': can consider processes that violate energy conservation.
- * Will see: Exchange Model of Forces

Master:



How well would you have to measure these energies?

Pupil:


If error $< (E_A - E_B)$, can tell if $E_A = E_B$ or not.

Master: how long would it take you to make your
make your measurement with accuracy $(E_A - E_B)$?

Pupil: According to the Heisenberg Uncertainty
Principle, I'd need a time at least $\frac{h}{4\pi(E_A - E_B)}$

Master: ... moves goalposts ...


Master (contd):



Tell me: how could you show that this sequence of processes could not occur?

Pupil: Problem ... not enough time ...
Very abstract – example?


Feynman diagrams are space-time graphs



Stationary **real** e^- undergoing one transition to a **virtual state** - $e^- \gamma$


The exchange model of forces

Consider 2 electrons e_1 and e_2 approaching each other.




The exchange model of forces

At time t_1 , one of them emits a virtual photon γ which is absorbed by the other electron at time t_2 in such a way that the total final energy is the same as the total initial energy.



The exchange model of forces

The effect of this `exchange' of a **virtual** photon is that the electrons are moving away from each other - they have repelled each other.



Discussion

By the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle, the **virtual particle** of mass m can only exist for a time $t \leq \frac{h}{4\pi m c^2}$

This is a finite time, during which the particle can only travel a finite distance, which we could define as the **range** R of the force.

The maximum conceivable value for R would be for a particle moving at the speed of light:

$$R = c \times \frac{h}{4\pi m c^2} = \frac{h}{4\pi m c}$$

A remarkable formula!

$R = \frac{h}{4\pi m c}$ gives the range R in terms of

* h – fundamental constant of QM

* c – fundamental constant of relativity

* m – the mass of the exchanged particle: $R \propto \frac{1}{m}$

So now we can visualise short-ranged forces.

Pupil (getting excited): We know R for nuclear forces; it is about $10^{-15} m$; so we should be able to calculate m . Let's do it!

ω

$$h = 6.63 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}; \text{ and } c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$m \approx \frac{h}{4\pi c R} = \frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34}}{4\pi \times 3 \times 10^8 \times 10^{-15}} = 0.18 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$$


So, $m \sim 1/9 \times m(\text{proton})$. What does this tell me?

Master: When this idea was first put forward in 1934 by Yukawa, no particle of anywhere near this mass was known.

Now the job of theorists is to explore what might be hidden in equations. So one might speculate ...

Antimatter


Describe this Feynman diagram:



TIME

- Can exist for time $< h/4\pi E(\text{violation})$
where


$$E(\text{violation}) = E_1 + E_2$$



SPACE

Could 1 and 2 be electrons?

TIME



If particle **1** were an electron, what properties would particle **2** need to have?

SPACE

What is empty space?

Ex nihilo

The recognition of fundamental fluctuations in empty space is one of the great achievements of quantum mechanics. In some special cases the existence of such fluctuations has been established by experiment.

And that is the basis of the idea that indeed something can come out of nothing.

Victor Weisskopf
(ex-Director-General of CERN)