CLHEP Usage status & scenarios

Gabriele Cosmo

Geant4 Collaboration Workshop 2006 - Lisbon

Outline

- Status in Geant4
- Status in experiments and other client software
- Concerns & critics
- Future evolutions and scenarios
 - If and when end of support for CLHEP will become effective

Usage status in Geant4

- CLHEP 1.9.2.3 and 2.0.2.3 supported
 - Since release 8.0; no migration required
 - Support for 1.9/2.0 branches assured in future as long as compatibility assured by CLHEP
- Limited set of CLHEP classes used in Geant4
 - Physics vector (3-vectors, 4-vectors, simple rotations)
 - Geometrical vectors and transformations (3D-vectors, 3D-points, etc...)
 - Random numbers with static interface
 - System of units and constants
 - Other minor uses of CLHEP are restricted to examples

Usage status in experiments and other software clients

- CLHEP more or less heavily used in the software of 3 LHC experiments
 - ATLAS, CMS, LHCb
- CLHEP heavily used in BaBar
- CLHEP heavily used in the MC generators community
 - new generation of C++ MC generators (Herwig++, Pythia-8, etc...)
- CLHEP used also in other applications or clients of Geant4

Concerns in Geant4

- Difficulties dictated by CLHEP installation
 - Complicated configuration/build system introduced since version
 1.9.0
 - Limited (or not-existing) support for some platforms compilers regularly supported by Geant4
 - Windows/VC++, Linux/Intel-icc, MacOS/gcc, 64bits systems, ...
 - Consistent amount of support requests from users coming from failures or incorrect setup in installing CLHEP
- Slow turn-around for development and introduction of new features (mainly additions of new units/constants)
- Difficulties in the synchronisation of releases CLHEP/Geant4
 - Consequent overload to system-testing and release teams to guarantee support to new CLHEP versions

More concerns ...

- Complicated/confusing interface for vectors/randoms
 - Since the introduction of new features and merging with FNAL ZOOM classes
- Interest expressed by LCG (LHC Computing for the Grid) representatives of the LHC experiments to migrate in future to MathCore, the new mathematical library under development within LCG
 - currently implementing a part of the functionality provided in CLHEP: physics vector (3-vectors, 4-vectors) matrices, transformations, geometrical vectors (3D-vectors, etc...).
 - In future also a revised random-numbers module foreseen to be included

Future evolutions & scenarios for Geant4 - (a)

- Integration of CLHEP classes (only used classes) in the Geant4 distribution
 - involving extension of the current Geant4 build-system to allow OPTIONAL dependency on external official CLHEP library

Pros:

- Geant4 standalone system, no external dependencies, build Geant4 in one go
- Assured support for platforms/compilers verified for the Geant4 software
- Better control of classes used by Geant4 software and faster turnaround for development if changes/additions required
- Simple to integrate in the Geant4 configuration system.

Cons:

- Require synchronisation with official CLHEP releases
 - Classes/files integrated must be exactly the same and refer to a -precise- version of CLHEP
- Extra verification for system-testing
 - required to verify embedded classes PLUS external usage of CLHEP

Future evolutions & scenarios for Geant4 - (b)

- Redistribution of CLHEP (specific version) and packaging in Geant4
 - Still allowing OPTIONAL dependency on external official CLHEP library

Pros:

 Geant4 standalone system, limited external dependency, build Geant4 in one go

Cons:

- Same as for scenario (a)
- May limit support for platforms/compilers verified for the Geant4 software
 - shared-libs, DLLs may not work anymore, ...
- May cause extra complications for the Configuration/Installation of Geant4

Future evolutions & scenarios for Geant4 - (c)

- Integration of CLHEP classes (only used classes) as Geant4 classes
 - With simplification of interfaces and internal maintenance
- Pros:
 - Same as for scenario (a)
- Cons:
 - Extra maintenance required of the new imported classes
 - Require revision of interfaces and implementation of converters wherever necessary
 - Will change any persistent schema, affecting users

Future evolutions & scenarios for Geant4 - (d)

- Evaluate new foundation packages (e.g. MathCore)
 - Once ready and if providing all the necessary ingredients required by Geant4

Pros:

- New, more modern, eventually lighter and more performant (?) implementation, simpler interface
- Synchronised (?) with future use done in the LHC experiments
- Easier and more efficient (?) installation
- Better support for platforms/compilers

Cons:

- Complete migration and revalidation of the Geant4 software in all its internal aspects (basic transformations, physics), exposed interfaces and performance
- Geant4 still dependent on external software
- May clash with different choice made by the MC generators community
- Will clash with other non-LHC clients of Geant4

Future evolutions and scenarios for Geant4 - (e)(f)

Same as [b] and [c] but for MathCore classes

Up to us the choice!