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## Goal of NA62

- Measure the decay of $\mathrm{K}^{+}=>\pi^{+} V \mathrm{~V}$-bar
- The probability of this decay is about I0-II
- This will be the first experiment to measure such a rare decay of charged kaons
- The results will be compared to Standard Model estimates for the lifetime of top quarks to bottom quarks


## Experiment Setup



Total Length $270 m$

## Recall

- The GTKs (GigaTrackers) are three silicon micropixel stations that measure the time, momentum, and thus direction of each particle in the beam before they enter the decay region
- The pixels are $300 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ producing uncertainty in the reconstruction of the particle tracks.
- Given that the particles originated from the target and traversed all of the beam-line elements, by propagating the tracks backwards from GTK to the target, we can observe the inefficiencies of the GTK reconstruction


## Outline

- Find the most "constraining" elements in the beam line
- Number of tracks stopped at a given element
- Difference in RMS of the beam spot in $X$ and $Y$ at a given element
- Compare no interaction with no interaction and enlarged elements
- Compare $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for use in CEDAR (Cherenkov Differential counter with Acromatic Ring Focus)
- Prepare to implement a fit


## RMS in $X$ and $Y$ vs $Z$ position



- The shape is consistent with what is expected
- When a quadrupole focus on one axis that causes the beam to defocus in the other direction
- The focus points are at the target,TAX, and collimators
- The beam runs parallel through the CEDAR
- Stopped tracks are removed from RMS of later positions


# Ratio of Reconstructed/Truth for No Interaction 



- The shape is also consistent with what is expected
- The maximums of the ratio are reached at the most focused points along the beam line - target, TAX, collimators
- These peaks mean that the GTK reconstructs the tracks the worst at those points - but those discrepancies will be better for implementing a fit




## Note that the shape of the beam at $\approx 48$ meters with square axes




## Comparison with each element enlarged

## Justification for opening elements

Ratios of RMS vs $Z$ position


- Zoomed in view of peak at $\approx 48$ meters for no interaction
- The RMS ratio continues to increase until to focal point
- The collimators are reducing the ratio because stopped tracks are removed from the reverse propagation


## All Elements Opened to 2 meter half aperture

Some efficiency is regained - particularly at the TAXs


## N 2 vs H 2 in CEDAR

N2 now has 36 micro-radian smearing (rather than 22 micro-radians)

## H 2 vs N 2 ratios



- The ratio is larger for H 2 than N2 at both the TAX and the target
- This means that the GTK reconstructs tracks less accurately for H 2 than with N 2 , but this is due to the fact that N 2 interacts more significantly with the tracks on the way to GTK


## Percent of Tracks Stopped by the target

|  | Truth | Reconstructed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Interaction | $0.06 \pm 0.02$ | $3.3 \pm 0.1$ |
| $H 2$ | $4.9 \pm 0.2$ | $6.2 \pm 0.2$ |
| N2 (36 micro) | $10.9 \pm 0.2$ | $12.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| N2 (22 micro) | $6.7 \pm 0.2$ | $9.5 \pm 0.2$ |

## Pile Up

Can the target and other elements help in removing fake tracks?

## H2-Pile Up <br> Recall the plots



Stopped in Collimators: 3,614 / 47,682



Stopped in Collimators: 464,418 / 505,685

## H2 vs N2 Pile Up

## Percent of Tracks Stopped

|  |  | $\mathrm{N} 2(36)$ | H 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX <br> $(90)$ | Real | $9.8 \pm 0.2$ | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ |
|  | Fake | $89.8 \pm 0.4$ | $89.7 \pm 0.4$ |
| Target | Real | $12.6 \pm 0.2$ | $6.2 \pm 0.2$ |
|  | Fake | $91.7 \pm 0.4$ | $91.7 \pm 0.4$ |

## Implementing a fit



## Implementing a fit

- Choose an element or elements (target, TAX, collimators) to increase the efficiency of the GTK by reducing our chi squared fit
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## Chi Squared



## Conclusion

- Most constraining elements:
- Target and TAX
- N2 vs H2 study:
- N2 (36) stops twice as many good tracks as H2 (II)
- Fake track rejection is identical
- Working towards a fit

