Version: **1.3** Status: **Draft** 

Author: Vangelis Floros Date: 06/06/2008

# TNA 4.3.2: Regional Participation

1	Int	roduction	1
	1.1	Motivation	1
2	Buo	dget and resources	2
	2.1	Partners	2
	2.2	Allocated Resources	2
3	Wo	orkplan	4
	3.1	Management Liaison	4
	3.2	Technical Support	7
	3.3	Activity representation and signposting	.12
	3.4	Tools	.15
	3 5	Cross-team collaboration	15

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Motivation

Regional support teams have played an important role in the past concerning the smooth operation of NA activities in participating regions. In EGEE-III this effort should be intensified with an additional goal to prepare for the transition to the NGI model. Many of the existing centralized user and application support services are expected to migrate to local support teams on the NGI level. The existing teams participating in EGEE-III will most probably get involved in the NGI activities or act as consultants, passing the required know-how, during the establishment of the NGI user and application support services.

This upgraded role of the regional application support teams will be visible also in the EGEE-III workplan. These teams will act as liaisons between EGEE and regional Grid activities. Each team should appoint a Regional Coordinator who will be responsible for the organization and management of the regional team activities, will report to NA4 management and participate in the "extended" NA4 Steering Committee meetings. The teams will also be comprised of technical staff consisting of one or more grid application experts, who will complement the Regional Coordinator in his/her activities and interact with the local applications providing support in various levels.

# 2 Budget and resources

# 2.1 Partners

#	Institute Names	Region	PMs
1	AS Taiwan, CNU Korea	Asia/US	42
2	SARA	Benelux	17
3	CESNET, JSI	Central Europe	21
4	DKRZ	CERN/Germany/Switzerland	23
5	STFC	France/UK/Ireland	35
6	INFN	Italy	31
7	SIGMA, VR-SNIC	Northern Europe	16
8	SINP MSU	Russia	12
9	GRNET, UCY	South East Europe	32
10	UCM	South West Europe	33

# 2.2 Allocated Resources

۷.۷	Allocated ites	,			
#	Federation/ Partner name	PMS	Staff name	Email	PMs
1	CERN Fed.	0			
2	Benelux	17			
1	SARA (FOM)	17			
3	Central Europe	21			
2	CESNET (CESNET)	11			
3	JSI (JSI)	10	Andrej Filipcic andrej.filipcic@ijs.si		3
			Borut Kersevan	borut.kersevan@ijs.si	3
			Dejan Lesjan	dejan.lesjak@ijs.si	2
			Jan Jona Javorsek	jona.javorsek@ijs.si	2
4	DECH	23			
4	DKRZ (FZK)	23	Kerstin Ronneberger	ronneberger@dkrz.de	23
5	France	0			
6	Italy	31			
5	INFN (INFN)	31	Enrico Fattibene	enrico.fattibene@cnaf.infn.it	14
			Tiziana Ferrari	tiziana.ferrari@cnaf.infn.it	6
			Davide Salomoni	davide.salomoni@cnaf.infn.it	4
			Laura Perini	laura.perini@mi.infn.it	4

			Giorgio Maggi	giorgio.maggi@ba.infn.it	4
7	Northern Europe	16			
6	SIGMA (SIGMA)	6	Tore Mauset	tore.mauset@uninett.no	6
7	VR-SNIC (VR- SNIC)	10			0
8	Russia	12			
8	SINP MSU (RRC KI)	12			0
9	South East Europe	32			
9	GRNET (GRNET)	20	Marios Chatziangelou	mhaggel@iasa.gr	8
			Slobodan Vrhovac	vhova@grnet.gr	12
10	UCY (UCY)	12	Nikolas Stylianides	nstyl@cs.ucy.ac.cy	6
			Harald Gjermundrod	egeemgt@cs,ucy.ac.cy	3
			Marios Dikaiakos	mdd@cs.ucy.ac.cy	3
10	South West Europe	33			
11	UCM (IFAE)	33	Eduardo Huedo Cuesta	ehuedo@fdi.ucm.es	11
			Jose Luis Vázquez Poletti	jlvazquez@fdi.ucm.es	20
			Ruben Santiago Montero	rubensm@fdi.ucm.es	2
11	UK/Ireland	35			
12	STFC (STFC)	12	Abdeslem DJAOUI	a.djaoui@rl.ac.uk	12
13	Glasgow (STFC)	23	Morag Burgon-Lyon	m.burgon- lyon@physics.gla.ac.uk	7,2
			Suzanne Scott	s.scott@physics.gla.ac.uk	12
12	Asia	42			
14	ASGC (ASGC)	36			0
15	CNU (CNU)	6			0
	Total Fed. (PM)	262			

## 3 Workplan

This section provides detailed analysis of the tasks appointed to the regional support teams, a time frame for implementation, the tools utilized and the metrics used to track the progress of each one. The workplan is broadly devised into three separate support tracks, namely "Management Liaison", "Technical Support" and "Activity Representation and Signposting". Each one further analyzed in various subtasks.

A number of metrics have been identified in order to facilitate tracking of workgroup's progress from NA4 and project management. These metrics will be included in the quarterly activity reports. Keeping them up-to-date and accurate will be fundamental for the task management. An online form will be setup by NA4 in a centralized web site to collect the above information

### 3.1 Management Liaison

Subtask ID	RP1	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	Commu	nication			

#### Goals

Help communicate important EGEE information (e.g. User Forum CfAs, project newsletters and other project announcements) to local users and research groups. Encourage participation of local applications to EGEE events and especially the two upcoming User Forums. Coordinate with NA4 management in case of casual activities e.g. submission of user polls and application questionnaires, organization of workshops, meetings and other live events.

#### **Benefits**

Participation of local communities in the major project events are important both for the success of the events but also for the dissemination of knowledge and staying in touch with the user communities.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP1-1	M10 – 4 <sup>th</sup> User Forum	Number of users invited
		Number of applications submitted abstract for the UF
		Number of local users participating in the UF
M-RP1-2	M22 – 5 <sup>th</sup> User Forum	Number of users invited
		Number of applications submitted abstract for

	the Uf
	Number of local users participating in the UF

Subtask ID	RP2	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	Reporti	ng			

The regional coordinator is responsible for reporting to the NA4 management. This includes quarterly reports and in some cases (after specific request) contribution to activity deliverables and milestones.

#### **Benefits**

This is one of the major tasks of the regional support teams. It is imperative that NA4 activity has a clear view of the users and applications on a regional level in order to appropriately identify new communities, track requirements and problems and defined actions for support.

## **Milestones and Metrics to gauge Progress**

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP2-1	M03 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-2	M06 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-3	M09 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-4	M12 - Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-5	M15 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-6	M18 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-7	M21 – Quarterly Report	
M-RP2-8	M24 – Quarterly Report	

Subtask ID	RP3	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	Subtask Name Support for RESPECT program				

## **Goals**

Help identify candidate software to be included in the RESPECT program. Periodically revalidate RESPECT software.

## **Benefits**

Facilitate the expansion and growth of RESPECT program. Identify software specifically targeting the requirements of local user communities and applications.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
141-110	Milestone Description	
M-RP3-1	Sprint 1: M04-M05. Two months period for identifying and evaluating candidate software that will be potentially useful for local communities	<ul> <li>Number of software packages identified</li> <li>Number of software packages testes</li> <li>Number of software packages proposed for RESPECT</li> </ul>
M-RP3-2	Sprint 2: M10-M11. Two months period for identifying and evaluating candidate software that will be potentially useful for local communities	<ul> <li>Number of software packages identified</li> <li>Number of software packages testes</li> <li>Number of software packages proposed for RESPECT</li> </ul>
M-RP3-3	Sprint 3: M22-M23. Two months period for identifying and evaluating candidate software that will be potentially useful for local communities	<ul> <li>Number of software packages identified</li> <li>Number of software packages testes</li> <li>Number of software packages proposed for RESPECT</li> </ul>
M-RP3-4	Sprint 4: M16-M17. Two months period for identifying and evaluating candidate software that will be potentially useful for local communities	<ul> <li>Number of software packages identified</li> <li>Number of software packages testes</li> <li>Number of software packages proposed for RESPECT</li> </ul>

## 3.2 Technical Support

Subtask ID	RP4	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	First lin	e Application Po	orting si	upport	

#### Goals

Provide first line local support for legacy and new applications. This includes initial consultation for a proper way to adopt grid technologies, introduction of the various tools available and suggestions for a specific gridification path. In cases further and more specialized support is required the users should be directed to the NA4 Application Porting Team.

### **Benefits**

The main beneficiaries of this subtask are the new user communities that enter the grid and received a first line of localized grid application porting support. This will potentially facilitate their first steps in using the EGEE infrastructure and in the long run will increase the size and penetration of EGEE in many new and diverse scientific disciplines and applications. In the NGI era application support of this level is envision to be one of the main tasks of local teams.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP4-1	M03 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received
M-RP4-2	M06 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support

		requests received
M-RP4-3	M09 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received
M-RP4-4	M12 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received
M-RP4-5	M15 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received
M-RP4-6	M18 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received

M-RP4-7	M21 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received
M-RP4-8	M24 – Quarterly Report	Number of applications supported
		Number of users impacted
		Number of new applications introduced during the past quarter
		Number of support requests received

Subtask ID	RP5	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name Regiona		al VOs Support			

The team should identify and propose the establishment of new regional VOs in order to facilitate deployment of applications and collaboration of regional teams that have exhausted the capabilities of regional catch-all VOs. The team is responsible for installing/deploying new software packages in regional catch-all VOs upon request of local applications.

## Benefits

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP5-1	M03 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established

		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-2	M06 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-3	M09 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-4	M12 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-5	M15 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-6	M18 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-7	M21 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established

		Number of software packages installed
M-RP5-8	M24 – Quarterly Report	Number of regional VOs managed
		Number of new regional VOs established
		Number of software packages installed

Subtask ID	RP6	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	me Docume		and Lo	calization	

Review existing documentation; provide suggestions for improvement, open bugs in GGUS etc. For a selected number of support documents localize them according to language and specific local community requirements. Documentation review will be organized in coordination with the DUS in four individual sprint periods.

## **Benefits**

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP6-1	M02-M03: 1st sprint. Collection of existing local documentation. Review and feedback to DUS	Number of documents evaluated  Number of suggested documentation send to DUS  Number of accepted documentation from DUS
M-RP6-2	M08-M09: 2 <sup>nd</sup> sprint. Review of documentation created by DUS.	Number of documents evaluated  Number of suggested documentation send to DUS  Number of accepted documentation from

		DUS
M-RP6-3	M14-M15: 3 <sup>rd</sup> sprint Review of documentation created by DUS.	Number of documents evaluated
		Number of suggested documentation send to DUS
		Number of accepted documentation from DUS
M-RP6-4	M20-M21: 4 <sup>th</sup> sprint Review of documentation created by DUS.	Number of documents evaluated
		Number of suggested documentation send to DUS
		Number of accepted documentation from DUS

## 3.3 Activity representation and signposting

Subtask ID	RP7	Start Month	1	End Month	24
Subtask Name	Web site installation and maintenance				

#### Goals

Setup and maintain a web site as a central point for coordination in the region with information about the team and support opportunities from EGEE. The site should provide information about the project, the NA4 activity, the structure and responsibilities of the team and the support opportunities available for the local communities. The site should be kept up to date throughout the project duration. Various statistics about the site traffic should be gathered and periodically reported.

#### **Benefits**

This web site will be the main tool to communicate between the individual teams and the local users and applications.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP7-1	M03 – Web site available with basic	Number of pages

	information	
M-RP7-2	M12 – First year operation of web site. Defined metrics should be included in the first yearly report	Number of hits. Statistics about visitors
M-RP7-3	M24 – Final web site content and structure. Defined metrics should be included in the final year report	Number of hits. Statistics about visitors

Subtask ID	RP8	Start Month	6	End Month	18
Subtask Name	Local Application Registry				

Collect information about local applications and user communities active in the region and keep a registry accessible from the regional web site. Information collection will be organized in three separate one-month sprint periods.

#### **Benefits**

Awareness of size and strength of local users community and identification of driving disciplines will help NA4 understand the regional requirements, identify new potential user communities and expand the exploitation of the infrastructure. This task is also important for the preparation of NGI in order to have a realistic view about the size of grid communities in the region and the main applications exploiting the grid technology.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP8-1	M06 – 1st sprint period. Initial collection of information	Number of applications Number of users Number of disciplines
M-RP8-2	M12 – 2nd sprint period. Refresh and update of local community information	Number of applications Number of users Number of disciplines
M-RP8-3	M18 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> sprint. Final update of the user and application local registry.	Number of applications Number of users Number of disciplines

Subtask ID	RP9	Start Month	3	End Month	13
Subtask Name	Collaboration with other regional support teams				

Contact other support teams in the region, active in the area of grid application porting and consultancy, funded or unfunded in the context of other EGEE-III activities or collaborating grid projects.

#### **Benefits**

In many regions application support services are offered either ad-hoc or organized by funded or unfunded teams. Some of these teams might be involved in other activities of EGEE (e.g. SA1) or collaborating projects, and through their expertise they have gained in grid technologies are in position to consult local (e.g. co-located in the same institute) grid users and provide a first line of application gridification support. This ad-hoc support is by all means welcomed but we should verify that these teams are aware of the regional activities and support opportunities and they are kept up-to-date with the evolution of the project being informed for various user-oriented events etc. The EGEE regional support teams re-enforce this way their key role in the region and avoid double effort in outreaching and consulting existing supported applications. This activity also paves the wave for the upcoming NGI model by establishing EGEE's regional teams as centers of gravity for the local application support activities in the region.

M-ID	Milestone Description	Metrics
M-RP9-1	M3: 1st Sprint. Identify existing support teams inside EGEE and in related projects	Number of teams in the region  Number of applications
		supported per team
		Number of disciplines supported per team
ex	M13: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Sprint. Refresh the list of external application support teams and the communities they support	Number of teams in the region
		Number of applications supported per team
		Number of disciplines supported per team

#### 3.4 Tools

A set of support tools will be required for supporting the activities of each regional team. These tools will be either provided by the project or will be the responsibility of the team itself. In detail the following software support tools are considered:

- Web site (wiki), one per regional team. The exact place for hosting the web site should be decided during the NA4 AHM. Used for subtasks RP7 and RP8.
- Public mailing list (one per region).
- Global mailing list (includes all regional support teams)
- GGUS for ticket handling or Local helpdesk systems if available
- Progress report site (centrally installed and operated from EGEE). Used to collect quarterly reports and other input.

#### 3.5 Cross-team collaboration

Apart from the NA4 management, regional support teams should be in close collaboration with the following EGEE support teams and activities:

- Direct User support team, in order to be aware of support material available for application developers and users. Also in order to provide feedback to DUS about missing or erroneous documentation.
- Application Porting team for new applications that need gridification guidelines
- Regional NA3 teams, in order to convey requests for trainings.