New solutions for light detection system: preliminary tests

Motivations:

- T600 detector refurbishment
 - \checkmark T₀ reconstruction
 - Improve granularity for event localization
- New requests
 - Possible use of magnetic field (no PMTs)
 - Cherenkov light, LAr dopants, ..
- R&D for future developments to larger masses (LBNE)
 - Detection system cost
 - Detection system compactness (to maximize LAr sensitive mass)

Possible options: PMTs, APDs and SiPMs

	РМТ	APD	SiPM
	Photomultiplier Tube	Avalanche Photodiode	Silicon Photomultiplier
Quantum Efficiency	25% 40%	80%	80%
Single Photon Resolution	\checkmark	-	✓
Operation Voltage	1 - 3 kV	100 - 500 V	20 - 80 V SiPN
Gain	10 ⁴ - 10 ⁹	30 - 300	10 ⁵ - 10 ⁷ opti
Insensitivity to Magnetic Field	-	\checkmark	✓
Miniaturization	-	\checkmark	\checkmark
Production Costs	Medium	Low	Potentially Low

In principle SiPMs and APDs could be the solution BUT:

- 1. Small detectors -> increase detector coverage using SiPM arrays or bars
- 2. Typical room temperature operation, specific device developments may be needed -> mechanical and electrical checks (as we did in the past for PMTs)
- -> We started and R&D to study these relevant aspects

First test campaign @ LNGS: SiPMs vs APDs

- Preliminary tests to validate alternative options to PMTs in the framework of SILENT project (*Low background and low noise techniques for double beta decay physics* funded by *ASPERA*):
 - SiPM/APD mechanical stability at cryogenic temperature
 - SiPM/APD operation at cryogenic temperature
- Three silicon sensors were involved:
 - *SiPM* Hamamatsu S11828-334M active area *1.2 x 1.2 cm*²
 - Si-APD Hamamatsu S8664-1010 windowless, VUV sensitive 1x1 cm² active area
 - Si-APD Hamamatsu S8664-1010 not VUV sensitive 1x1 cm² active area

and a *high efficiency Hamamatsu 3" PMT* (QE = 32%) suited for LAr applications (R11065)





APD

Experimental set-up

Scintillation chamber

- The scintillation chamber is a PTFE square cylinder (diameter ~ 8 cm, internal volume ~ 0.5 l)
 - The PMT is installed at the top end of the chamber; *the surface of the PMT is partially screened*, leaving a free surface of *a 2x2 cm² square*
 - The three silicon sensors are installed at the bottom end of the chamber
 - The chamber is lined up with an *highly reflective foil* (3M VIKUITI reflectivity > 98% in the visible) *deposited with wavelength shifter* (TPB – TetraPhenyl Butadiene, peak emission @ 430 nm, efficiency ~ 100%) by vacuum evaporation
- The scintillation chamber is installed inside a stainless steel (vacuum tight) cylinder
- The cylinder is deployed in an open dewar

LAr run

- The cylinder is evacuated at a pressure of the order of 10⁻⁶ mbar
- The open bath is then filled with LAr while the internal volume is still being pumped down (in this phase we reach a pressure ~ 10⁻⁷ mbar)
- The cylinder internal volume (few liters) is filled with ultra pure 6.0 Argon on-line purified through a Trigon (Engelhard Q5-Cu0226) filter

Scintillation chamber – phase 1



SiPM: SER



- SiPM signal readout with a Camberra 2005 charge preamplifier + ORTEC 672 spectroscopy amplifier;
- Signal acquired with a multichannel;
- The spectrum of first photo-electrons is vey well resolved;
- The absolute calibration factor between counts and photo-electrons is obtained as the difference between first phel and second phel position.

SiPM: Light Yield



- Light Yield of the system is estimated with a ¹⁰⁹Cd source positioned outside the stainless steel cylinder;
- ¹⁰⁹Cd has a γ line at 88 keV;
- After background subtraction we find a Gaussian peak with a mean value of **94 phel**:

$$LY = \frac{94\,phel}{88keV} \cong 1.1 \frac{phel}{keV}$$

SiPM: LY vs energy flux



- The chamber has been irradiated with other, more intense, radioactive sources: ⁶⁰Co, ¹³⁷Cs, ²²Na
- SiPM LY and gain decrease for more intense and more energetic sources
- This effect might be due to the *recovery time of the cells* of the SiPM that *significantly increases at cryogenic* temperatures
- This is due to the *increase of* the resistivity of the quenching resistor of the cell -> optimized for room temperature.

SiPM: Pulse Shape Discrimination

- Direct signal from SiPM (no amplification) Recorded with the scope
- Extremely clean PSD capability



SiPMs vs APDs: results

- In all the cryogenic tests we experienced mechanical problems with APDs
 - VUV windowless Si-APD did not work, *probably for a problem with the bonding wire* (extremely delicate devices)
 - Non-VUV Si-APD with glass window is not suited to work in liquid Argon because the differential shrinking of the glass window and of the ceramic packing systematically caused sensor breaking -> need of a different packing
- SiPMs worked in reliable way at cryogenic temperature in all the tests
 - Robust
 - Easy to operate without HV
 - High gain -> no need of a preamplifier close to the sensor
 - Considerable reduction of materials inside detector
 - LY ≈ 1 phel/KeV with 1.44 cm² active area to be compared to the reference (state of the art) PMT≈ 2 phel/KeV with 4 cm² sensitive area
 - Suited for PSD application
- Different APDs types can be tested
- SiPMs seem to be safer option

Second test campaign on SiPMs @ LNGS

Given the successful results on SiPMs we decided to go on only on these devices:

- SiPM single die to test light bars
- SiPM arrays to improve the photo-sensitive area

All the available SiPM sample @ LNGS were successfully tested in LAr for mechanical stability

- n. 12 Hamamatsu Monolithic MPPC Array S11828-3344M 16 ch x (3x3 mm2/ch)
- n. 1 AdvanSiD ASD- SIPM1S-M
- n. 2 AdvanSiD (old technology -100 µm cell size)
- n. 2 AdvanSiD (old technology -100 µm cell size)
- n. 1 AdvanSiD Monolithic Array ASD- RGB1.5S-P-8x8A Other samples will be available soon:
- AdvanSiD Hybrid Array RGB/NUV type
- AdvanSiD Monolithic Array RGB/NUV type
- AdvanSiD (single die) RGB/NUV type

16 ch x (3x3 mm2/ch) 16 ch x (3x3 mm2/ch) 1 ch x (3x3 mm2/ch)

1 ch x (1 x 1 mm 2/ch)

1 ch x (3x3 mm2/ch)

1 ch x (4x4 mm2/ch)

64 ch x (1.45x1.45 mm2/ch)

SiPM spectral response vs WLS spectrum



Light detection with multiple SiPM set-up

- Same experimental set-up previously used, properly adapted to place more SiPMs
- Aims:
 - Light detection with an enlarged photo-cathode coverage
 - Test reproducibility on a higher number of SiPM samples
 - Study of related detector components
 - PCB for mechanical support and electrical connections
 - Voltage supply and signal feed-through
 - Signal to noise study, signal treatment (single/sum)
- Involved sensors:
 - 7 x (1.3x1.3cm²) Hamamatsu Monolithic MPPC Array S11828-3344M
 16 ch x (3x3 mm²/ch) on the same PCB
 - 2 x (1.3x1.3cm²) Hamamatsu Monolithic MPPC Array S11828-3344M
 + 1 x (1x1mm²) AdvanSiD ASD- SIPM1S-M on the same FR4 base
- Tests ongoing.

Scintillation chamber – phase 2

7 x Hamamatsu Monolithic MPPC Array S11828-3344M





2 x Hamamatsu Monolithic MPPC Array S11828-3344M



Chamber BOTTOM

Scintillation chamber – phase 2



PTFE scintillation chamber (0.5 l) lined up with 3M VIKUITI reflector foil (> 98% in the visible range) TPB-deposited by vacuum evaporation



SiPM + Light guides @ LNGS

- 3 types of extruded bars available at LNGS (47 cm x 2.5 cm x 0.6 cm):
 - one standard acrylic bar TPB coated on one side
 - one UV transmitting acrylic bar TPB coated on one side
 - One standard acrylic bar no coating
- TPB-coating by brushing: TPB +Polystyrene (25 % TPB, saturated) in toluene, for UVT bar more TPB is used: 425 nm shifted light
- A dedicated chamber test has been realized
- Single-die SiPMs optically coupled to the bars
- Foreseen measurements:
 - Cryogenic tests (mechanical tests)
 - Attenuation length (light yield vs alpha source position)
 - Bar-to-bar comparison



Experimental set-up for bar test @ LNGS







SiPMs: AdvanSiD 1 ch x (3x3 mm2) AdvanSiD 1 ch x (4x4 mm2)



Conclusions and next steps

- A set of different SiPM samples (different types and manufacturer) have been successfully tested in LAr @ LNGS for mechanical behavior.
- One Hamamatsu *SiPM sample* successfully detected TPB-shifthed LAr scintillation light working directly immersed in LAr. We measured a Light Yield higher than that of the most performing cryogenic PMT on the market (3" Hamamatsu R11065).
- Results have to be confirmed in extensive tests on more samples even for reproducibility. Tests of various types of SiPMs and of different manufacturers (Hamamatsu, AdvanSiD, SensL ?,...) have to be carried out too.
- We performed preliminary tests on APD samples and experienced problems with them in LAr. It could be interesting to test different samples (package).
- In the near future:
 - Extensive tests of *multiple SiPM- array LAr chamber* (ongoing).
 - Tests on bars coupled to SiPMs and optimization (soon).
 - SiPM characterization with a laser/LED source at room and cryogenic temperature. It could be necessary to optimize SiPM performance (quenching resistor, afterpulses and cross-talk reduction,...).

backup

RQ VS T



Dark counts vs T

