

## COMPARISON OF PARAMETERS FOR THE (LP)SPL

### CROGENICS CONSIDERATIONS

Subject	Frequency	Temperature
<b>Cryostat design</b>	<b>Power dissipation per cavity in the SPL in pulsed operation is by 1 – 2 orders of magnitude smaller than for the LEP cavities in CW operation. Therefore, design considerations for the LEP cryostat could provide valuable guidelines in addition to other designs.</b>	
	<b>The temperature increase at the Nb-He interface is significantly reduced compared to CW for pulsed operation (&lt; 1 msec pulse length).</b>	

## COMPARISON OF PARAMETERS FOR THE (LP)SPL

### SC CAVITIES GRADIENT, Q ETC.

Subject	Frequency	Temperature
<p><b>Comparison <math>\beta = 1</math> and <math>\beta &lt; 1</math> cavities</b></p>	<p><math>\beta &lt; 1</math> cavities are inherently lower in gradient, compared to <math>\beta = 1</math> cavities, because of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- larger radial field increase</li> <li>- lower acceleration efficiency (transit time factor).</li> </ul> <p>If corrected for these two effects, performances are similar.</p>	
<p><b>Acc. Gradient of 25 MV/m</b></p>		<p>Simulation of Q(Ea) by taking into account the deterministic performance parameters predicts possible operation at acc. gradients of 25 MV/m and more at all lHe temperatures, with a smaller margin at 1408 MHz and 4.5 K.</p> <p><i>Note added after the meeting: (i) In particular, there is not advantage to go to super-fluid helium;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) if 1408 MHz is chosen, the operating temperature should be significantly below 4.5 K</i></p>
	<p>Test results from outside labs show that acc. gradients of 16 – 23 MV/m (<math>\beta = 1</math> cavities) for a production yield of 90 % are possible.</p> <p>Higher gradients (20 – 30 MV/m) are possible at the expense of a lower production yield (~ 50%).</p> <p>Electro-polished and baked 1.3 GHz mono-cell and 9-cell cavities exhibit no significant difference in yield</p> <p><i>Note added after the meeting:</i></p> <p><i>(i) cavity surface/number of cells/frequency to a large extent not determinant for the acc. gradient</i></p>	

## COMPARISON OF PARAMETERS FOR THE (LP)SPL

	<i>(ii) Electropolished cavities allow higher yield than chemically polished cavities</i>	
<b>Q-factor of <math>10^{10}</math></b>	A residual surface resistance corresponding to a Q-value of $10^{10}$ at the operating gradient presents a challenge.	
<b>Electrical grid to beam power transfer efficiency</b>	<p>The power consumption for the high power SPL is dominated by RF. It has the largest grid to beam power transfer efficiency ( ~ 24 %) at 2.5 K and 1.4 GHz.</p> <p>The power consumption for the low power SPL is dominated by cryogenics. The grid to beam power transfer efficiency depends only weakly on the frequency and increases with temperature (2 – 4 %).</p> <p><i>Note added after the meeting:</i></p> <p><i>(i) If 1400 MHz is chosen, the operating temperature should be in the range of 2.5 K for both the HPSPL and the LPSPL</i></p> <p><i>(ii) i) If 700 MHz is chosen, the operating temperature should be in the range of 4.5 K for both the LPSPL and the HPSPL (with a possibility to lower the temperature for the HPSPL to gain some percent in efficiency if wished so)</i></p>	