



# **Electroweak Bosons in Heavy Ion Collisions with the ATLAS Detector**

### **Qipeng Hu (USTC)** on Behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Second Conference on Heavy Ion Collisions in the LHC Era and Beyond July 2015 Quy Nhon, Vietnam

### **The ATLAS Detector**





## LHC Run2 Activity – pp Ridge





Ridge: enhancement of particle yields at  $\Delta \phi \sim 0$  and extend over a long range in  $\Delta \eta$ .

## LHC Run2 Activity – pp Ridge





Ridge: enhancement of particle yields at  $\Delta \phi \sim 0$  and extend over a long range in  $\Delta \eta$ .

Integrated long range nearside ridge yield :

- Same contribution from Opp-charge and Samecharge pairs (not from jets and resonances decay).
- ✦Consistent with CMS 7 TeV pp data.



## **Physics Motivation**



- Electroweak (EW) bosons are produced via hard processes at the early stage of the collisions before quark-gluon plasma (QGP) is formed.
- Sensitive to parton distribution function modification in-medium.
- Sensitive to the overall thickness of the colliding nuclear matter.
- Baseline towards understanding centrality and geometry in the *p*+Pb system.



Potential nuclear effects:

- Gluon saturation
- Gluon shadowing
- Partonic energy loss
- modified parton distributions
- modified fragmentation function

### **Geometry and Centrality**





Number of binary collisions:  $N_{coll}$ Number of participants in the collision:  $N_{part}$ 

FCal  $E_T \Rightarrow$  Centrality  $\Rightarrow N_{coll}$  and  $N_{part}$ 

Partition FCal total  $E_{T}$  distribution into ranges corresponding to fixed percentiles of the total.



Phys. Lett. B707 (2012) 330-348







Prompt photon:

- Direct emission
- Fragmentation contribution

Isolation cut to suppress non-prompt background.

Model with different PDF configurations:

- + JETPHOX (pp)
- JETPHOX (pp+pn, correct isospin)
- JETPHOX EPS09 (nuclear effects)

## **PDF Test: Prompt Photons in PbPb**



#### arXiv:1506.08552

Ratio to JETPHOX (pp) ATLAS Pb+Pb s =2.76 Te JETPHOX EPS09/pp 40-80%, lŋl < 1.37 20-40%, lpl < 1.37 10-20%, lpl < 1.37 0-10%, ml < 1.37 Ratio to JETPHOX (pp) 0-10%, 1.52 ≤ lηl < 2.37 40-80%, 1.52 ≤ lηl < 2.37 20-40%, 1.52 ≤ lηl < 2.37 10-20%, 1.52 ≤ lηl < 2.37 100 50 30 50 100 50 100 30 30 50 100 30 Photon  $p_{\tau}$  [GeV] Photon  $p_{\tau}$  [GeV] Photon  $p_{\tau}$  [GeV] Photon  $p_{\perp}$  [GeV]

Prompt photon:

- Direct emission
- Fragmentation contribution

Isolation cut to suppress non-prompt background.

Model with different PDF configurations:

- + JETPHOX (pp)
- JETPHOX (pp+pn, correct isospin)
- JETPHOX EPS09 (nuclear effects)

Data/Model to JETPHOX (pp) Ratio in 3D

- Visible isospin effects.
- Cannot exclude models w/o nuclear effects.

### **PDF Test: Prompt Photons in PbPb**



#### arXiv:1506.08552



R<sub>FC</sub>, forward to central photo yield ratio.

- Reduction of both experimental and theoretic uncertainties in this ratio.
- No precision to veto the NLO pQCD model w/o nuclear effects.

### Z Event in Pb+Pb





Measured via di-muon and di-electron channels and combined.

Z peak well reproduced in simulation.



### PDF Test: Z Boson in Pb+Pb



Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 022301(2013)



Z per-event yield differential in rapidity:

Cannot reject model without nuclear effects. No centrality dependence of this shape is observed.

### Z Event in *p*+Pb



#### arXiv:1507.06232





Similar with Pb+Pb analysis. Forward electron used to extend rapidity coverage.

### PDF Test: Z Bosons in *p*+Pb



y\*: center of mass rapidity being positive in forward (proton beam direction).

Models:

- + CT10 (NLO)
- MSTW2008 (NLO)

CT10+EPS09 (NLO)



arXiv:1507.06232

Z production is enhanced in the backward rapidity y\* compared to three models

## PDF Test: Z Bosons in *p*+Pb





Z production is enhanced in the backward rapidity y\* compared to three models

R<sub>cp</sub>: more central to most peripheral yield ratio.

A slight rapidity dependence of  $R_{cp}$  is observed for most central collisions.

### W Event in Pb+Pb





Measured via muon and electron channels and combined.

Momentum imbalance in the transverse plan as a proxy for the true neutrino  $p_T$ .

### PDF Test: W Bosons in Pb+Pb





Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:23

### Models:

- POWHEG CT10
- ✦ CT10+EPS09

### **PDF Test: W Bosons in Pb+Pb**



Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:23



### Models:

- W lepton charge asymmetry in pseudorapidity. POWHEG CT10
- + CT10+EPS09
- - Many correlated systematics cancel out in lepton charge asymmetry.
  - Within the experimental precision, cannot distinguish between PDFs that incorporate nuclear effects and those that do not.

## **Binary Collision Scaling**





*Left* ) *Z* boson per-event yield divided by  $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$  vs.  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ *Right* ) *W* boson per-event yield divided by  $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$  vs.  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ 

- + Z and W per-event yield scales with number of binary collisions in Pb+Pb.
- + Prompt isolated photons show similarly consistent behavior.

Qipeng Hu (USTC)

### Centrality Bias in *p*+Pb

Origin: Hard scatterings are often correlated with a larger transverse energy of the underlying event.

Model calculation:

Given correlation between average hardscattering yield Y<sub>Ncoll</sub> per p+A collision and total  $E_T$ , centrality bias corrections factor (arXiv: 1412.0976) can be calculated from:

$$\rho = \frac{Y_{N_{\rm coll}}(E_{\rm T}; \text{correlated})}{Y_{N_{\rm coll}}(\text{uncorrelated})}$$

Data-driven calculation:

Subtract "extra" FCal energy from p+Pb event-by-event Bias correction = ratios of w/o and w/ FCal energy subtraction.





arXiv:1507.06232

1.3

ATLAS

p+Pb 2013,  $L_{int} = 29 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ 

 $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 

### Z Boson Scaling in *p*+Pb





arXiv:1507.06232

*Z* boson per-event yield divided by  $\langle N_{coll} \rangle$  vs.  $\langle N_{part} \rangle$ 

 In the view of models: Yields may or may not be centrality dependent, depending on the model employed.

 In the view of binary collision scaling: Glauber model appears constant with centrality bias correction applied.

## Quarkonia in *p*+Pb



### ATLAS-CONF-2015-023



 2D fit to invariant mass and pseudo-proper lifetime to separate prompt production and decays from B-hadrons.

## Quarkonia in *p*+Pb



### ATLAS-CONF-2015-023



- 2D fit to invariant mass and pseudo-proper lifetime to separate prompt production and decays from B-hadrons.
- pp reference at 5.02 TeV is calculated from interpolating 2.76, 7 and 8 TeV data.
- Centrality dependence of R<sub>pPb</sub> depends on model employed.



Qipeng Hu (USTC)

#### July 28, 2015 Quy Nhon, Vietnam



Use the Z boson production as a reference for the study of centrality dependence of other hard probes.

Model independent way to study centrality dependence of other hard probes.



### ATLAS-CONF-2015-023





- Presented highlights of electroweak boson results in Pb+Pb and Z boson results in p+Pb
- PDF test in Pb+Pb results are limited by precisions in distinguishing models. In LHC Run 2, with a factor of 10-30 more events, we would be able to make precision measurements.
- PDF test in p+Pb by Z cross-sections shows slight enhancement in the backward (Pb-side). A weak rapidity dependence of R<sub>cp</sub> is observed for most central collisions.
- The study of Z bosons in p+Pb provides insight on centrality bias. The Z boson yield are consistent with binary scaling when the Glauber model with bias corrections is used to determine <*N*<sub>coll</sub>>.



pp Ridge







The proton-nucleon center of mass (CM) frame has a shift of 0.465 in rapidity in the proton beam direction.

y\*: CM rapidity being positive in forward (proton beam direction).

### *p*+Pb collision beam configuration



## **Glauber and GGCF models**

To model *N*<sub>part</sub> distribution we used:

- standard Glauber with  $\sigma_{NN}$  cross section = 70±5mb
- Glauber-Gribov color fluctuation models, with  $< \sigma_{NN} > = 70 \pm 5$ mb

In GGCF model:

- $\sigma_{\rm tot}$  is considered frozen for each event
- parameter  $\Omega$  controls the amount of fluctuations ( $\Omega = 5 \omega_{\sigma}$ )
- Ω is extracted from experimental data: 0.55 and 1.01



$$P_h(\sigma_{\text{tot}}) = \rho \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{\sigma_{\text{tot}} + \sigma_0} exp \left\{ -\frac{(\sigma_{\text{tot}}/\sigma_0 - 1)^2)}{\Omega^2} \right\}$$

#### Qipeng Hu (USTC)

#### July 28, 2015 Quy Nhon, Vietnam

