

# LUX Results Gas Sampling and Calibrating with Tritium Attila Dobi July 15<sup>th</sup>, SLAC UNIVERSITY OF



(a) Rotation curve ( z = 0 kpc ), non-averaged

#### **Detecting Dark Matter**





### Sanford Underground Research Facility, Lead, South Dakota



### Ray Davis – Homestake Solar Neutrino Experiment



Davis' neutrino detection apparatus one kilometer underground in the Homestake Gold Mine, Lead, South Dakota. The tank contains 400,000 liters of 7/15/1 perchloroethylene.





2002



# Davis Cavern @ SURF, September 2009



# On top of the water shield, Sept. 2012



# LUX installed in the water tank, Sept. 2012





# LUX fiducial volume cut – 118 kg



## LUX keV<sub>ee</sub> and keV<sub>nr</sub> energy scales



12/45

# LUX WIMP search data



## **LUX limits**



# External calibration sources: <sup>137</sup>Cs & neutrons (AmBe & <sup>252</sup>Cf)

Calibration source guide tubes

Having constructed such a backgroundinsensitive instrument, we are faced with a new challenge:

How can we calibrate such a device with radioactive sources?

#### Self-shielding makes external gamma sources impractical



# **Tritium, The Ideal ER Calibration Source**

- Single Scatter events in energy region of interest:
- Q = 18.6 keV
- Mean energy: 5 keV
- Peak energy: 3 keV



- Bare tritium diffuses quickly into detector components.
- Must be removed after calibration.
- Can't afford to simply wait for activity to decay away.
- 12.3 year half-life!

### **Use Tritiated Methane**

- Methane is non-polar, and has saturated covalent C-H bonds, which makes it chemically very inert.
- Well-known that methane will dissolve in liquid xenon.
- As a larger and heavier molecule, tritiated methane has a smaller diffusion constant than bare tritium.
- Methane diffusion and permeability H. My Tefton is 1.1% Tess than trivian.

They were only able to perform a single tritium measurement, because their Geiger counter was permanently contaminated with tritium after the first measurement.

First step: Needed to characterize the removal of methane from xenon with standard gettering technology (heated zirconium from SAES).

H



### Problems To Solve For Tritium Injection

- How can we calibrate such a large xenon detector?
   Use tritium
- How to minimize the diffusion of tritium into detector components?
  - Use tiritiated methane
- If we inject methane can we remove it with standard purification technology?
- How can we measure impurities in xenon to ppt (10<sup>-12</sup>) levels?
- Implications of detecting impurities in xenon at ppt levels for monitoring internal radioactivity.

### First attempt at purity detection in xenon

feedthrough

filament

support

gas inlet or outlet

filament

holder

#### Technique:

Burn a tungsten filament in an atmosphere of gaseous xenon. Measure thermionic emission current on cathode.

- Provides real time continuous monitoring to catch air leaks.
- Practical for measuring electro-negative impurities such as oxygen, water.



### Mass spectroscopy enhanced with coldrap



- Cold trap technique boosts the sensitivity of the mass spectrometer by a factor of ~10<sup>6</sup>.
- Simple and affordable.
- Used to characterized SEAS getter for use with Xenon gas.

7/15/14

Dobi, A. *et al.* Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A620 (2010) Leonard, D.S. *et al.* Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A621 (2010) Dobi, A. et al. Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A665 (2011) Dobi, A. et al. Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A6752(20152) Typical cold-trap operation, showing purification of methane, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> as the purifier is switched between bypass and purify mode



#### **Internal radioactive backgrounds in LUX**



- Krypton-85 is the most important source of internal radioactivity
  - Vendor-supplied xenon contains residual krypton at a relative concentration of ~10<sup>-7</sup>
- LUX goal: reduce Krypton concentration to
  - ~5 x 10<sup>-12</sup> (1/4 of external  $\gamma$  background)



#### ATTA at Columbia (has yet to demonstrate ppt Kr/Xe sensitivity)



## **Purity Analysis for EXO**



## **Purity Analysis for LUX**



### Detection of krypton at the part-per-trillion level



arXiv:1103:2714

## Chromatographic Krypton Removal System @ Case Western (Aug. – Dec. 2012)



# LUX Krypton removal – Fall 2012



#### LUX purification system and impurity monitoring

· ·····

CONT

Maryland xenon sampling system. Fully automated and sensitive to – <1 ppt Kr and ~0.1 ppb O<sub>2</sub>.

#### In Situ Xenon Sampling

Annual Contraction			1
	#SAM Run Status# (SAM_wait)	#Sampling System Error Status# (Analysis_Error) None	#Sampling System Status# (Analysis_Status)
	\$ Last Sampling Location \$ (SAM_Port) PMT Purge	* Sample Number * (SAM_Number) 123.000 (Number)	*Ar Concentration* (Purity_Ar) 140.704 (ppb g/g)
	*CH4 Concentration* (Purity_CH4) 0.0111	*He Concentration* (Purity_He) 229.998	*Kr Concentration (82+84+86)* (Purity_Kr_Sum) 0.025
	*Kr.Concen ton (from 82)* (Purity_Kr82)	*Kr Concentration (from 84)* (Purity_Kr) 0.026	*Kr Concentration (from 86)* (Purity_Kr86) 0.029
	*N2 C mcentration* (Purity_N2) 4.075	(ppb g/g) *O2 Concentration* (Purity_O2) 0.157	(ppb g/g) *Sum Xe Mass Pumped to SRV* (SAM_Mass_SRV) 221.534
	(ppb g/g) *Sum Xenon Mass Pumped Out* (SAM_Mass_Out) 244_000	(ppb g/g) <last [hours="" ago]="" analysis=""> (Last_Analysis_t) 28_271</last>	(grams) <last [hours="" ago]="" calibration=""> (Last_CAL_time) 241.920</last>
	(grams) Analysis Flow Rate (Analysis_Flow)	(hours) Calibration Flow Rate (Cal_Flow)	(hours) SAM CM Gauge [PT-SAM2] (SAM_CM_Gauge)
	(Torr/s)	(Torr/s)	(Torr)
	2 fehrm		

- Just add LN and Xe then push a button  $\rightarrow$  Purity Result! (ppt levels!!)
- All data recorded in slow control
- Purity Result for N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, He, Ar, Kr, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>
- Plumbed into six sample locations along the circulation path.
- All xenon sampled is recovered storage vessel (no loss!)

– 1 hour between samples, can be upgraded for continuous sampling.
 7/15/14

#### **Application of Gas Purity Analysis**

- Xenon Bottle Screening (proved useful for EXO-200 and LUX)
- Electro-negative impurities attenuate electron drift
  - Require sub ppb  $O_2$  eq. for ~10cm drift
- Useful for leak-detection during science run.
  - <sup>39</sup>Ar and <sup>85</sup>Kr are backgrounds in darkmatter searches
- Helium diffuses through glass and degrades PMTs
- Can study outgassing rates since detectors (ex. LUX, EXO) are typically back-filled with purge gasses (N<sub>2</sub> or Ar)
- Can set limits on external Radon emanation from Ar accumulation.

#### **Outgassing Studies Dec. 2012** (Prior to detector cool down)



1.2±0.2 ppt per day

with 2.6 kg of xenon. 3.1±0.5 ng/day

12/23/12

Date (mm/dd/yy)

+

12/30/12

01/06/13

01/13/13

0.03

0.025

0.02

0.015

0.01

₹.

12/16/12

	Emanation rate	Worst Case (room temp), after 1 year in 350 kg
Argon	120 µg/day	+ 120 ppb
Krypton	3.1 ng/day	+ 3.5 ppt
		ſ

Outgassing was reduced after cool down

LUX had the ability to observe nano-grams • of krypton outgassing from roomtemperature detector internals. Important for diffusion studies and LZ • design.

## Monitoring of impurities in the LUX xenon with the LUX sampling system



Enables real-time detection of air leaks, purifier malfunctions, ect.

### Xenon Liquid-Gas Solubility, LUX



### Ar and Kr detection enhanced by liquid-gas solubility. Henry's constants in xenon have yet to be characterized

33/45

#### Monitoring <sup>85</sup>Kr background in LUX xenon

8<sup>x 10<sup>-12</sup></sup> Run03 in situ Kr monitoring Measure <sup>84</sup>Kr and to infer <sup>nat</sup>Kr/Xe 7 Concentration (g/g) Assume atmospheric abundance: • <sup>85</sup>Kr/<sup>nat</sup>Kr ratio: 2 x 10<sup>-11</sup> Background goal: < 5 ppt Kr/Xe • By the time <sup>85</sup>Kr decays show up in • data analysis... It's too late! 1 Set Xenon100 back a year (1 liter of air is all it takes) Mar Feb Apr May Jun Jul Aug 2013

Source	Background Rate $[mDRU_{ee}]$		
$\gamma$ rays	$1.8 \pm 0.2_{\mathrm{stat}} \pm 0.3_{\mathrm{sys}}$		
$^{127}$ Xe	$0.5 \pm 0.02_{\mathrm{stat}} \pm 0.1_{\mathrm{sys}}$		
$^{214}$ Pb	0.11 - 0.22 (0.20 expected)		
$^{85}$ Kr	$0.17 \pm 0.10_{\mathrm{SYS}}$		
Total predicted	$2.6 \pm 0.2_{\rm stat} \pm 0.4_{\rm sys}$		
Total observed	$3.6 \pm 0.3_{\mathrm{stat}}$		

arXiv:1403.1299



# **Removal of Methane from Xenon. LUX detector using the Sampling System**



- Tritiated methane is chemically identical to Methane.
- Demonstrated 10<sup>5</sup> removal, plenty to return LUX to 5% of BG
### Injection and removal of tritiated methane from LUX, August 2013



### **CH<sub>3</sub>T Mixing in LUX**

#### **Tritium Electron-recoil calibration data**



#### **Electron-recoil discrimination figure of merit**



Average discrimination between 2 and 30 S1 photo-electrons measured with tritium to be 99.6% (LUX goal was 99.4%) with 50% nuclear recoil acceptance.

•  $_{7/15/14}$  pon't need to rely on Gaussian Band assumption. Can build PDF.  $^{40/45}$ 

### LUX detector threshold vs S1



#### Tritium. Light/Charge Yield, & NEST. 180 V/cm



Black: Tritium Data. Blue: NEST, region vetted by data (2-8 keV ER) Magenta: NEST extrapolation 7/15/14

# Light Yield from Beta decay is in good agreement with Compton scattering measurements



 ${\cal R}_e$ 

 $Energy~[keV_{ee}]$ 

#### To come, LUX tritium calibration vs. Field for fundamental liquid xenon physics

Map recombination vs. field



#### **LUX Collaboration**

Yale, CWRU, UC Santa Barbara, Brown, TAMU, UC Davis, Harvard, LLNL, LIPP Coimbra, Rochester, LBNL, Maryland, SDSMT, USD

UC DAVIS CONFERENCE CENTER

## **Back Up Slides**

#### LUX Xe detector firsts/future:

External low energy calibration of 100 kg scale Xe detector impossible Tritium ER calibration solves the problem.

Sub ppt detection of Kr in Xenon is possible, and has been successfully demonstrated in LUX. Allows for an independent check of internal radio active background while keeping data analysis blinded.

LUX has demonstrated a method for in situ gas analysis. Xenon purity is checked at every step of the way. Each bottle transfer, each compression, daily when circulating. There is no room for error. Only 0.5 L of air means months of Kr removal!

Tritium calibration can be used to measure: Light Yield, Charge Yield, Recombination.

Low energy field dependence of discrimination (Background Rejection) is unknown. Tritium data at many drift-fields will reveal the answer...

Current thinking: Higher field  $\rightarrow$  better WIMP result. In reality not understood at low energies (sub 2 keVee). Experiments will have to find a balance between S1 threshold (low field) and discrimination (maybe higher field) for optimal WIMP search result.

# LUX Run03 Calibration



#### **Tritium Spectrum**



### Tritium Rate and Z distribution



# Internal calibration sources: <sup>83m</sup>Kr and Tritium - Dissolve them directly in the liquid xenon

83mKr conversion electrons ( $T_{1/2} = 1.86$  hours)



L. Kastens et al., Phys. Rev. C80: 045809,2009, A. Manalaysay et al., Rev. Sci. Instr. 81, 073303 (2010) Tritium beta decay ( $T_{1/2} = 12.6$  yrs)



- Remove with zirconium getter
- Dozens of tritium injection & removal experiments performed
- One-pass removal efficiency > 99%<sup>5</sup>

#### Internal calibration sources: <sup>83m</sup>Kr and Tritium

83mKr conversion electrons  $(T_{1/2} = 1.86 \text{ hours})$ 



 Periodic measurement of the detector's scintillation collection function and free electron lifetime with high
<sup>7/15/14</sup>tistics Tritium beta decay ( $T_{1/2} = 12.6$  yrs)



 Ideal source for determination of the detector's electron recoil band and low energy threshold 52/45

### **Kr-83m Calibration**

#### Weekly calibrations are used for XYZ corrections



#### **XYZ Correction with Kr-83m Calibration**

Over 1 million Kr-83m events, spread uniformly through the detector.



Measurement of the detector response to light.

## **Tritium Uniformity**



### ER band Gaussianity, Tritium Calibration



#### Dark Matter Direct Detection: Current and Future



Modified from: "Snowmass CF1 Summary: WIMP Dark Matter Direct Detection", arXiv:1310:8327 (October 2013)

#### **Typical Event in LUX**



#### **Nuclear Recoil off various targets**



### Simulated 1000 GeV WIMP, $\sigma = 1.9 \times 10^{-44} \text{ cm}^2$



60/45

Simulated 8.6 GeV WIMP,  $\sigma = 1.9 \text{ x} 10^{-41} \text{ cm}^2$ 



#### LUX Run 3 – Data Selection

Cut	Explanation	Events Remaining
All Triggers	S2 Trigger >99% for S2 <sub>raw</sub> >200 phe	83,673,413
Detector Stability	Cut periods of excursion for Xe Gas Pressure, Xe Liquid Level, Grid Voltages	82,918,901
Single Scatter Events	Identification of S1 and S2. Single Scatter cut.	6,585,686
S1 energy	Accept 2-30 phe (energy ~ 0.9-5.3 keVee, ~3-18 keVnr)	26,824
S2 energy	Accept 200-3300 phe (>8 extracted electrons) Removes single electron / small S2 edge events	20,989
S2 Single Electron Quiet Cut	Cut if >100 phe outside S1+S2 identified +/-0.5 ms around trigger (0.8% drop in livetime)	19,796
Drift Time Cut away from grids	Cutting away from cathode and gate regions, 60 < drift time < 324 us	8731
Fiducial Volume (R,Z)t cut	Radius < 18 cm, 38 < drift time < 305 us, 118 kg fiducial	160

### **Light and Charge Yields**

- Modeled using the Noble Element Simulation Technique (NEST), based on the canon of existing experimental data.
- Artificial cutoff in light and charge yields assumed below 3 keVnr, to be conservative.
- Includes E field quenching of light signal (77-82% compared to zero field)





#### **Internal Backgrounds**



### LUX detector threshold translated to recoil energy



### LUX Run 3 – Background Levels

#### Full gamma spectrum, excluding region ±2 cm from top/bottom grids



#### **LUX Run 3 – Background Levels**

ER < 5 keVee in 118

kg

Log10 (DRUee)

0

-0.5

-1

Background Component	Source	10 <sup>-3</sup> x evts/keVee/kg/day	45 40
Gamma-rays	Internal Components including PMTS (80%), Cryostat, Teflon	1.8 ± 0.2 <sub>stat</sub> ± 0.3 <sub>sys</sub>	$f_{\text{H}}^{35}$ <b>r</b> <18 cm
<sup>127</sup> Xe (36.4 day half-life)	Cosmogenic 0.87 -> 0.28 during run	$0.5 \pm 0.02_{stat} \pm 0.1_{sys}$	<sup>20</sup> CM
<sup>214</sup> Pb	<sup>222</sup> Rn	0.11-0.22 <sub>(90% CL)</sub>	0 200 400
<sup>85</sup> Kr	Reduced from 130 ppb to $3.5 \pm 1$ ppt	$0.13 \pm 0.07_{sys}$	Squared radius [cm <sup>2</sup> ] Last 44 days
Predicted	Total	$2.6 \pm 0.2_{stat} \pm 0.4_{sys}$	45
Observed	Total	3.1 ± 0.2 <sub>stat</sub>	

Dedicated publication is coming •



### LUX PMTs



- 122 x 2" diameter R8778 Hamamatsu
- U/Th 10/2 mBq/PMT
- Demonstrated QE: average=33%, max 39% at 175 nm
- U/Th content ~ 9/3 mBq/PMT

#### LUX Calibrations – <sup>83m</sup>Kr

- <sup>83</sup>Rb produces <sup>83m</sup>Kr when it decays; this krypton gas can then be flushed into the LUX gas system to calibrate the detector as a function of position.
- Provides reliable, efficient, homogeneous calibration of both S1 and S2 signals, which then decays away in a few hours, restoring low-background operation.



Bonus: tomography of Xe flow

<sup>83m</sup>Kr source (<sup>83</sup>Rb coated on charcoal, within xenon gas plumbing)



### <sup>127</sup>Xe Electron Capture - Simulation

 x-ray line emission in center of detector following full escape of gamma associated with nuclear excited state



#### Uranium-238 decay chain



### LUX 90% C.L. exclusion limits – high mass



72/45
# RGA response to 17.5ppt krypton





### Tritium, The Ideal ER Calibration Source.

- Dissolved uniformly in the xenon, then removed
- Used to calibrate the fiducial volume.
- Calibration of fiducial is impossible with external sources.





- Single Scatter ER events in energy region of interest: 0.1 keV to 18 keV
- Mean energy: 5 keV
- Peak energy: 3 keV

### In a universe with no dark matter – CMB multipole expansion



#### **CMB** multipole expansion as measured by Planck



# LUX Xe Bottles Before science run condensation

Name	P&ID Location	Kr (ppt g/g)	Ar (ppb)	He (ppb)	N2(ppb)	O2 (ppb)	CH4 (ppb)
CSB10	SB04	2	0.5	1.1	13	7	0.4
LSB8	SB03	1.3	5.3	5.8	310	84	0.4
LSB1	SB02	<1	1.2	0.8	6.4	3.1	0.4
LSB2	SB01	<1	1.2	2.1	56	17.5	0.2
LSB5	SB05	7.5	0.9	2.7	39	6	0.7
LSB4	SB06	4	0.4	4.8	15	6	4.8
LSB6	SB07	6.6	0.4	5.5	13	6.3	0.7
LSB7	SB08	4.4	0.1	3	7	0.9	0.5
Average		3.5	1.3	3.2	57	16	1.0

Average Krypton concentration of 3.5 ppt

Label	Kr	Ar	$N_2$	$O_2$	He
	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)
E4 (35kg)	$60.1\pm6.7$	$1850\pm850$	$32200 \pm 12500$	$14300\pm3190$	$840\pm270$
E6 (35kg)	$85.4\pm9.5$	$27.4 \pm 12.6$	$1170\pm370$	$<\!\!6.5$	$588\pm305$
E7 (35 kg)	$25.1\pm2.8$	$30.3 \pm 13.9$	$809\pm256$	<1.8	$581\pm301$
E2 (35kg)	$32.7\pm3.6$	$131\pm60$	$2490\pm790$	$616 \pm 138$	$444\pm230$
E8 (50 kg)	$20.7\pm6.6$	$2.2\pm0.9$	$46.9 \pm 18.9$	<1.9	$8.5 \pm 4.1$
E5 (35kg)	$36.4\pm4.4$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$12.2\pm2.7$	<0.8	< 0.1
Stockpile avg.	$42.6\pm5.7$	$319\pm146$	$5720 \pm 2180$	$2320\pm520$	$384 \pm 173$
After recovery	$42.9 \pm 16.6$	$256\pm53$	$108\pm25$	< 0.3	$257\pm83$

Sample	Port	Comment	O <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>2</sub>	Ar	He	Kr	$CH_4$
			(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)	(ppb)
Enr-A	S3	Purified TPC gas,	< 0.7	<4.5	$128 \pm 61$	*70±36	< 0.4	<1.9
		before liquefaction.						
$Enr-H^{\dagger}$	S1	Stockpile mixture,	< 0.4	$329 \pm 81$	$8.9{\pm}2.0$	*42±14	$0.0235{\pm}0.004$	$24.9{\pm}6.1$
		before purification.						
Enr-F	S2	Start of liquefaction,	<4	<28	$9.5{\pm}2.0$	$1.5{\pm}0.5$	$0.0517{\pm}0.007$	$1.3{\pm}0.3$
		after purifier.						
Enr-G	S2	During liquefaction,	<3	<27	$7.6{\pm}1.8$	$1.3{\pm}0.4$	$0.038 {\pm} 0.007$	$1.7{\pm}0.4$
		after purifier.						
Enr-I	S1	Dedicated Kr search,					$0.0274 \pm 0.004$	
		repeat of Enr-H.						

# Measuring <sup>85</sup>Kr contamination in the natural xenon

The energy spectrum above 400keV is compared to a MC simulation (including electron lifetime) of the  $^{85}$ Kr  $\beta$ -decay (687 keV end point).

Best fit to the data is  $1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ g/g}^{85} \text{Kr in }^{\text{Nat}} \text{Xe.}$ 

Total krypton contamination in the <sup>Nat</sup>Xe has been separately measured with a cold trap and RGA to be  $4.9(3) \times 10^{-8}$  g/g.

Consistent with <sup>85</sup>Kr isotopic abundance of 2.2 x 10<sup>-11</sup>.



<b>Bottle Label</b>	Initial Pressure	Note:	He (nnh g/g)	N2 (nnh $g/g$ )	02 (nnh g/g)	$\Delta r (nnh g/g)$	Kr (nnh/ g/g)
Al	800 psi	Xe used in 0.1	136 ± 20	2,600,000 ± 400,000	30.0 ± 4.5	86,000 ± 13,000	87.5 ± 13.1
SB7	820 psi	50kg never used	0.17 ± 0.01	35 ± 2.7	0.80 ± 0.05	2.0 ± 0.2	100 ± 8
SB6	820 psi	50kg never used	$0.16\pm0.01$	45 ± 2.3	6.35 ± 0.33	10.0 ± 0.5	155 ± 8
SB5	820 psi	50kg never used	0.26 ± 0.01	70 ± 4	19.5 ± 1	4.3 ± 0.2	52.1 ± 2.7
SB4	820 psi	50kg never used	0.44 ± 0.03	53 ± 3	2.8 ± .14	4.0 ± 0.2	210 ± 13
SB3	820 psi	50kg never used	0.92 ± 0.07	131 ± 9	19.0 ± 1	3.7 ± 0.3	99.6 ± 8.2
SB2	820 psi	50kg never used	0.11 ± 0.01	51 ± 3	1.4 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.1	78.0 ± 5.7
SB1-SB7 Ave	rage		$\textbf{0.34} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	64.3 ± 11.2	8.3 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 0.7	116 ± 20

ppb=1e-9

# Control backgrounds with a careful screening program

	Unit	Screening Result							
		U238	Th232	Co60	K40	Sc46			
PMTs	mBq/PMT	9.5±0.6	2.7±0.3	2.6±0.1	66±2	>			
Ti	mBq/kg	<0.18	<0.25	~1 de	/3 of LUX	4.4±0.3*			
Cu	mBq/kg			2.1±0.19*	Sign goal	2			
PTFE	mBq/kg	<3	<						
HDPE	mBq/kg	<0.5	<0.35						
Stainless steel**	mBq/kg			19±1					

\*\*Type 304 stainless steel used in electric field grids 7/15/14 \*Cosmogenic equilibrium at 1 mile above SL; decays below ground

# Control backgrounds with a careful screening program

	Unit	Screening Result						
		U238	Th232	Co60	K40	Sc46		
PMTs	mBq/PMT	9.5±0.6	<u>2.7±0.3</u>	<u>2.6±0.1</u>	66±2			
Ti	mBq/kg	<0.18	<0.25			4.4±0.3*		
Cu	mBq/kg			2.1±0.19*	Clean	titanium		
PTFE	mBq/kg	<3	<		сгус	ostat		
HDPE	mBq/kg	<0.5	<0.35					
Stainless steel**	mBq/kg			19±1				

\*\*Type 304 stainless steel used in electric field grids 7/15/14 \*Cosmogenic equilibrium at 1 mile above SL; decays below ground

#### ER/NR discrimination vs. S1 & vs. E



#### DocDB043 (Matthew)... the check mark shape Non-Gaussian Tails



- 182.5 V/cm drift, 14% average photon detection
- "Anomalous" leakage creeps in at low, high S1
  - At low because of the 5 e- threshold and because the bands deform (negative #quanta unphysical): to maintain same acceptance must go above Gaussian mean (raw mean closer to ER band)<sup>25</sup>
    High: see slide 9 85/45



7/15/14

# **NEST Predictions**



Tritium ER band in good agreement with NEST where vetted (2-8 keV or 7-50 S1 Phe) Figure on right shows expected improvement in discrimination with field.

7/15/14

# Threshold (golden efficiency)



# LY, QY measured with Tritium



For more info on LY, QY measurement using a beta spectrum see section 2,3 of: <u>http://teacher.pas.rochester.edu:8080/wiki/pub/Lux/LuxDB00000233/Light\_Yield\_Tritium.</u> <u>pdf</u> <sub>7/15/14</sub>

#### Projected LUX 300 day WIMP search



90/45

#### Issues with External calibration



**External Source** 

- Would need a high energy source to perpetrate into fiducial volume
- Probability of forward scatter followed by the gamma escaping the detector is highly suppressed
- Compensating by increasing source rate will overwhelm DAQ and introduce systematics.

# **The LUX Detector**



#### Low-radioactivity Titanium Cryostat

370 kg total xenon mass250 kg active liquid xenon1/18 kg fiducial mass











#### WIMP Relic Density



#### **Direct Detection of WIMPs**

Weakly Interacting Massive Particle

A massive particle with weak scale interaction can explain the correct dark matter relic density.

- 300 GeV/liter (about 300 proton masses/liter)
- At 100 GeV, 3 per liter of space
- Average velocity of 230 km/s
- Highly non-relativistic
- Coherent scattering off target nuclei, ~A<sup>2</sup>



