

# RUN2 Plans Update

**Federico Ronchetti** 

CERN, July 31<sup>st</sup> 2014 ALICE PB



### **Base Concepts**

#### **HI Energies**

- Pb-Pb @ 5.02 TeV and p-Pb @ 8.0 TeV
  - $\rightarrow$  Eb = 6.3Z TeV (optics change)
- Pb-Pb @ 5.1 TeV and p-Pb @ 8.2 TeV
  - $\rightarrow$  Eb= 6.5Z TeV (no optics change)

#### Principles

- Limit the HI time consumption
  - (optics change, pp reference runs, new setups)
- 5.1 and 5.02 TeV are equivalent for systematics
  - Favor 5.1 TeV for PbPb
  - Favor 5.02 TeV pp-ref (setup time to be paid anyway)
- Same collision energy for all samples
  - Discussion is still ongoing for pPb



## **RUN2 Possible Strategy**

	Year	System	E [TeV]	Lumi [cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	R [kHz]	LL	Weeks	Trig	Time
	2015	pp 50ns	13	10 <sup>29</sup> - 10 <sup>32</sup>	10-600	YES	3	MIX	рр
		рр	13	5x10 <sup>29</sup> - 3x10 <sup>30</sup>	50-300	YES	18+1	MIX	рр
		PbPb	5.1	10 <sup>27</sup>	8	YES	4	MB	HI
		pp-ref	5.1/5.02	10 <sup>29</sup> -2x10 <sup>30</sup> (*)	10-200	YES	1.4	MIX	рр
	2016	рр	13	<b>10</b> <sup>31</sup>	500	YES	22+2	MIX	рр
		pPb	<b>5.1</b> (8.0/8.2)	<b>10</b> <sup>28</sup>	10-20	YES	2 + N	MB	HI - L.I.
		pPb	<b>5.1</b> (8.0/8.2)	<b>10</b> <sup>29</sup>	200	YES	2 – N	RARE	HI
	YETS	pp-ref	<b>5.1(</b> 8.0/8.2)	-	-	-	-	-	рр 2015/2012/YES
	2017	рр	13	<b>10</b> <sup>31</sup>	500	YES	22+2	MIX	рр
		PbPb	5.1	10 <sup>27</sup>	8	YES	4	MB	НІ
		pp-ref	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	рр
	2018		"рр"		LS2 (1/7/18→ 18 months)				

(\*) hypothetical

15 pb<sup>-1</sup> pp-ref ~= 0.5 nb<sup>-1</sup> [3-4x10<sup>4</sup> x [Ldt (PbPb)]

L.I. = low intensity filling scheme

3



Original JJ statement...

J.M. Jowett & M. Schaumann, LBOC meeting, 15/4/2014

- Expect some gains from  $\beta^*$ -levelling for ALICE
  - Initial beam process for squeeze could be shorter
  - Higher luminosity for longer during fill
  - ALICE integrated luminosity closer to ATLAS/CMS

#### From additional discussion: B\* leveling is not particularly appealing for ALICE alone

- Need ad-hoc commissioning and setup time in ALICE
- Variation of the luminous region size affects both the X (separation) and Y planes, even if overall effect is partially compensated by smaller beam geometrical emittance at higher energy
- Luminous region varies with time from from the initial B\* (2m) to the nominal (0.5m), needs **time dependent calibration (for first part of the fill)**
- Any larger transverse dimension has potential impact on tracking of low multiplicity events (where LR is used to constrain vertex)