Does it make sense to extend the x framework to off-shell effects?

Georg Weiglein, DESY

LHC Higgs XS WG2 meeting

CERN, 07 / 2014

Simplified framework for analysis of LHC data so far; deviations

x's: "Interim framework" for analyses so far

from SM parametrised by tree-level inspired "scale factors" xi

Based on several assumptions, in particular:

[arXiv: 1209.0040]

The width of the assumed Higgs boson near 125 GeV is neglected, i.e. the zero-width approximation for this state is used. Hence the signal cross section can be decomposed in the following way for all channels:

$$(\sigma \cdot BR) (ii \to H \to ff) = \frac{\sigma_{ii} \cdot \Gamma_{ff}}{\Gamma_H}$$
 (1)

where σ_{ii} is the production cross section through the initial state ii, Γ_{ff} the partial decay width into the final state ff and Γ_{H} the total width of the Higgs boson.

⇒ Zero-width approximation

x's are defined for on-shell Higgs production and decay

The on-shell definition was chosen for good reasons

- On-shell matrix elements are closely related (in the zero-width approximation) to physical observables (more precisely: pseudo-observables)
- Off-shell Higgs contributions:
 - part of a full process
 - in general not gauge-invariant by themselves

Limitations of the κ framework (not an exhaustive list)

- The x's are tree-level inspired scaling factors for testing (small) deviations from the SM predictions
- Their most important property is that the best (including all relevant higherorder QCD and electroweak corrections) SM prediction has to be recovered in the limit $x_i = 1$
- The interpretation of the x's as scaling factors of Higgs couplings would a priori only hold if all higher-order corrections were neglected. Fortunately the dominant QCD corrections factorise, so that this interpretation holds in an approximate sense, up to electroweak effects
- The x framework breaks down once electroweak effects become relevant. Once electroweak higher-order corrections are taken into account, it makes no sense anymore to think of scaling a coupling by a certain factor. This would destroy UV finiteness, gauge invariance, etc.

How about off-shell x's?

One could think about defining an off-shell \varkappa like \varkappa (M_{VV}). Technical complication: need to determine this quantity for every value of M_{VV}

Why do x(on-shell) and x(off-shell) differ from each other in the first place?

- Electroweak higher-order contributions give rise to a running of the Higgs couplings
- The most important contributions come from threshold effects, if / when at sufficiently high M_{VV} the (off-shell) Higgs decay into a pair of new particles opens up. There is no reason to disregard this possibility a priori
- ⇒ The difference between on-shell and off-shell x's is due to electroweak effects: this is precisely where the whole x framework breaks down

⇒ From my point of view it makes no sense to attempt an extension of the x framework to off-shell effects Does it make sense to extend the x framework to off-shell effects?, Georg Weiglein, LHC Higgs XS WG2 meeting, CERN, 07 / 2014 5

If no off-shell x's, what else?

- One could formally define a x factor multiplying the whole process g g → 4 f, however this x would no longer have an interpretation in terms of a Higgs coupling
- An effective field-theory (EFT) approach based on a well-defined effective Lagrangian is capable of dealing with off-shell (and onshell) effects. Caveat: in this approach it is assumed from the start that the scale of new physics Λ is heavy, i.e. there are no light states of new physics present. This is an important limitation regarding (off-shell) Higgs decays into states of new physics
- I propose to study off-shell effects both within an EFT approach and within specific models (appropriate benchmark scenarios)