SUSY 2015

Long-Lived Superparticles with Hadronic Decays at the LHC

Zhen Liu

PITT-PACC, University of Pittsburgh
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

Talk based on work with B. Tweedie, **1503.05923**, JHEP06(2015)042

THINKING OUTSIDE THE BEAMPIPE









OBJECTIVE

OBJECTIVE

Space of All Models

OBJECTIVE



OBJECTIVE



OBJECTIVE


Space of All Models
explicitly searched for by ATLAS/CMS
actual LHC search sensitivity (expand interpretation)

OBJECTIVE


Space of All Models
explicitly searched for by ATLAS/CMS
actual LHC search sensitivity (expand interpretation)
theoretical LHC sensitivity (expand search strategies / refine SM predictions)

OBJECTIVE


Space of All Models
explicitly searched for by ATLAS/CMS
actual LHC search sensitivity (expand interpretation)
theoretical LHC sensitivity (expand search strategies / refine SM predictions)

OVERVIEW OF OUR STUDY

Applied to all models

- CMS displaced dijets (tracker)
- ATLAS low-EM jets (HCAL)
- ATLAS muon spectrometer vertices*
- CMS charged stable particles

Applied to models with leptonic decays

- CMS displaced dileptons
- CMS displaced electron & muon
- ATLAS displaced muon + tracks

* 7 TeV, 2 fb^{-1}

OVERVIEW OF OUR STUDY

Applied to all models

- CMS displaced dijets (tracker)
- ATLAS low-EM jets (HCAL)
- ATLAS muon spectrometer vertices*
- CMS charged stable particles

Applied to models with leptonic decays

- CMS displaced dileptons
- CMS displaced electron & muon
- ATLAS displaced muon + tracks

Hadronic R-parity violation

- $\tilde{t} \to \bar{d}_i \bar{d}_j$ via baryonic RPV including $\tilde{t} \to \bar{b}\bar{b}$
- $\tilde{g} \to u_i d_j d_k$ via baryonic RPV
- $\tilde{H} \to u_i d_j d_k$ (+soft) via baryonic RPV

gauge mediation

- $\tilde{q} \to q\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{g} \to g\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{t} \to t^{(*)}\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{H} \to h/Z\,\tilde{G}$ (+soft) in GMSB

mini-split

- $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{B}$ in mini-split SUSY

* 7 TeV, 2 fb^{-1}

** All via direct pair-production

OVERVIEW OF OUR STUDY

Applied to all models

- CMS displaced dijets (tracker)
- ATLAS low-EM jets (HCAL)
- ATLAS muon spectrometer vertices*
- CMS charged stable particles

Applied to models with leptonic decays

- CMS displaced dileptons
- CMS displaced electron & muon
- ATLAS displaced muon + tracks

- $\tilde{t} \to \bar{d}_i \bar{d}_j$ via baryonic RPV including $\tilde{t} \to \bar{b}\bar{b}$
- $\tilde{g} \to u_i d_j d_k$ via baryonic RPV
- $\tilde{H} \to u_i d_j d_k$ (+soft) via baryonic RPV

Hadronic R-parity violation

- $\tilde{q} \to q\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{g} \to g\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{t} \to t^{(*)}\,\tilde{G}$ in GMSB
- $\tilde{H} \to h/Z\,\tilde{G}$ (+soft) in GMSB

gauge mediation

- $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{B}$ in mini-split SUSY

mini-split

Our selection of signals covers a large range of displace decay topologies, including 1j+MET, 2j+MET, 3j+MET, 2j, 3j, as well as heavy flavors, making it easy for theoretists to estimate exclusions for their own models in concern.

* 7 TeV, 2 fb^{-1}

** All via direct pair-production

A EXAMPLE OF DISPLACED DIJET



A TYPICAL EFFICIENCY MAP



A TYPICAL EFFICIENCY MAP



Depend on lifetime, how is the particle being produced (How Lorentz factor distributes), the decay distributes shape differently at different layers of the detector.

A TYPICAL EFFICIENCY MAP





Depend on lifetime, how is the particle being produced (How Lorentz factor distributes), the decay distributes shape differently at different layers of the detector.

A TYPICAL EFFICIENCY MAP



Depend on lifetime, how is the particle being produced (How Lorentz factor distributes), the decay distributes shape differently at different layers of the detector.



Efficiency map for RPV stop decays into light jet pairs in the CMS displaced dijet analysis.

- Lines at increase of 100 GeV
- Low mass suffers more for cuts on jet energy
- High mass approaches constant efficiency shape
- Low efficiency at low lifetime (cut to remove SM)
- (Shift in peak due to Lorentz Factor)

EXAMPLE 1: GMSB STOP

With detailed simulation and our own modeling of the displacement, after carefully calibrating with existing searches, we can derive the limits from many search of our simplified models.

EXAMPLE 1: GMSB STOP



EXAMPLE 1: GMSB STOP

GMSB Stop → Top(*) + Gravitino

- Displaced searches (dijet, μ+tracks, $e + \mu$, HCAL, μ spectrometer) covers mid-lifetime Heavy charges
- stable particle searches (pink; CHAMP) covers long lifetime
- Prompt (gray) covers short lifetime



EXAMPLE 1: GMSB STOP

GMSB Stop → Top(*) + Gravitino

- Displaced searches (dijet, μ+tracks, $e+\mu$, HCAL, μ spectrometer) covers mid-lifetime Heavy charges
- stable particle searches (pink; CHAMP) covers long lifetime
- Prompt (gray) covers short lifetime



FULL lifetime coverage up to 500 GeV!

EXAMPLE 1: GMSB STOP

GMSB Stop → Top(*) + Gravitino

- Displaced searches (dijet, μ+tracks, $e+\mu$, HCAL, μ spectrometer) covers mid-lifetime Heavy charges
- stable particle searches (pink; CHAMP) covers long lifetime
- Prompt (gray) covers short lifetime



Dijet search has very good sensitivity reach, lepton plus tracks searches also sensitive to leptonic top- and b-decays. HCAL and muon spectromeater searches sensitive to higher lifetimes but so far suffers large efficiency cost. Optimization may provide additional information, e.g., heavy neutral displaced particles.

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



Arkani-Hamed & Dimopoulos (2004)
Arvanitaki, Craig, Dimopoulos, Villadoro (2012)
Arkani-Hamed, Gupta, Kaplan, Weiner, Zorawksi (2012)

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



$c\tau \propto m^4_{squark}/m^5_{gluino}$

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



$c\tau \propto m^4_{squark}/m^5_{gluino}$



MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



$c\tau \propto m^4_{squark}/m^5_{gluino}$

- The dijet in the final boosts the efficiencies for displaced dijet searches.
- The prompt jets+MET searches also covers a range of lifetime in the low mass, as fractions of long-lived particles decay promptly (boundary in dashed lines indicates possible extrapolation.



MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



$c\tau \propto m^4_{squark}/m^5_{gluino}$

- The dijet in the final boosts the efficiencies for displaced dijet searches.
- The prompt jets+MET searches also covers a range of lifetime in the low mass, as fractions of long-lived particles decay promptly (boundary in dashed lines indicates possible extrapolation.



MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



$c\tau \propto m^4_{squark}/m^5_{gluino}$

- The dijet in the final boosts the efficiencies for displaced dijet searches.
- The prompt jets+MET searches also covers a range of lifetime in the low mass, as fractions of long-lived particles decay promptly (boundary in dashed lines indicates possible extrapolation.



This figure shows one extreme case with large mass splitting between the LSP and NLSP. How about a bit compressed?

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



In case of compressed spectra (right panel)

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



In case of compressed spectra (right panel)

- Most searches rely on visible SM partcles greatly reduced due to energy cuts (necessary to cut away SM backgrounds from non-prompt decay and cosmic rays, etc)

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



In case of compressed spectra (right panel)

- Most searches rely on visible SM partcles greatly reduced due to energy cuts (necessary to cut away SM backgrounds from non-prompt decay and cosmic rays, etc)
- Heavily charged stable particle search remains the same as no decays are required.

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



In case of compressed spectra (right panel)

- Most searches rely on visible SM partcles greatly reduced due to energy cuts (necessary to cut away SM backgrounds from non-prompt decay and cosmic rays, etc)
- Heavily charged stable particle search remains the same as no decays are required.
- Different displaced search channels are more complementary, more important.

MINI-SPLIT GLUINO → 2J + LSP



In case of comp

- Most searche ed due to energy cuts (necess -prompt decay and cosmic r
- Heavily charg me as no decays are required.
- Different disp mentary, more important.

Summary

Summary

- Major classes of well-motivated SUSY models exhibit displaced decays
  - RPV, GMSB, mini-split
  - broad range of possible particle spectra and decay topologies
  - only a handful covered by explicit searches

Summary

- Major classes of well-motivated SUSY models exhibit displaced decays
  - RPV, GMSB, mini-split
  - broad range of possible particle spectra and decay topologies
  - only a handful covered by explicit searches
- Existing displaced decay searches can be extremely powerful
  - "weird looking" ⇒ tiny backgrounds
  - non-SUSY searches cover lots of SUSY model space

Summary

- Major classes of well-motivated SUSY models exhibit displaced decays
  - RPV, GMSB, mini-split
  - broad range of possible particle spectra and decay topologies
  - only a handful covered by explicit searches
- Existing displaced decay searches can be extremely powerful
  - "weird looking" ⇒ tiny backgrounds
  - non-SUSY searches cover lots of SUSY model space
- Understanding the full LHC potential requires careful recastings for many models
  - map ranges/overlaps in coverage
  - identify gaps/ambiguities/opportunities
  - we have made some first steps in this direction

Summary

- Major classes of well-motivated SUSY models exhibit displaced decays
  - RPV, GMSB, mini-split
  - broad range of possible particle spectra and decay topologies
  - only a handful covered by explicit searches
- Existing displaced decay searches can be extremely powerful
  - "weird looking" ⇒ tiny backgrounds
  - non-SUSY searches cover lots of SUSY model space
- Understanding the full LHC potential requires careful recastings for many models
  - map ranges/overlaps in coverage
  - identify gaps/ambiguities/opportunities
  - we have made some first steps in this direction

See also similar work on different models, Y. Cui and B. Shuve, arXiv:1409.6729; A. Puente, A. Szynkman, arXiv:1504.07293; C. Csaki, E. Kuflik, S. Lombardo, O. Slone, T. Volansky, arXiv:1505.00784; and more!

Summary

- Major classes of well-motivated SUSY models exhibit displaced decays
  - RPV, GMSB, mini-split
  - broad range of possible particle spectra and decay topologies
  - only a handful covered by explicit searches
- Existing displaced decay searches can be extremely powerful
  - "weird looking" ⇒ tiny backgrounds
  - non-SUSY searches cover lots of SUSY model space
- Understanding the full LHC potential requires careful recastings for many models
  - map ranges/overlaps in coverage
  - identify gaps/ambiguities/opportunities
  - we have made some first steps in this direction

Thank you !

See also similar work on different models, Y. Cui and B. Shuve, arXiv:1409.6729; A. Puente, A. Szynkman, arXiv:1504.07293; C. Csaki, E. Kuflik, S. Lombardo, O. Slone, T. Volansky, arXiv:1505.00784; and more!