

# Higgs Boson & Flavors at the ATLAS & CMS Experiments





SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015 Hideki Okawa (University of Tsukuba) on behalf of ATLAS & CMS Collaborations





• We have discovered a Higgs boson in 2012. So far, the particle shows properties consistent with the Standard Model (→Attilio Andreazza's talk).



However, current constraints on the Higgs total width is rather loose: <~5×Гн<sup>SM</sup> & there is still room for BSM decays.

m<sub>41</sub> [GeV]

- We are at the stage to further investigate & understand the properties of the Higgs boson/Yukawa couplings, and the electroweak sector as a whole.
- I will present an overview of such studies from a flavor perspective in particular.

Tristan du Pree will cover the other BSM decays.

20

30

40

50

60

Г<sub>н</sub> (MeV)

10

#### Outline

→ Dai

talk

Kobayashi's



#### • Lepton Flavor Violation (LFV)

- $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_e, e \tau_{\mu}, e \tau_h, e \mu$  (CMS),  $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$  (ATLAS, CMS)
- Z→eµ (ATLAS, CMS)
- $Z', \widetilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e\mu, e\tau, \mu\tau$  (ATLAS),  $e\mu$  (CMS)
- Ζ′→ττ (ATLAS)
- $W' \rightarrow \tau v_{\tau}$  (CMS)
- RPV  $\tilde{t} \tilde{t}^* \rightarrow b \ell b \ell$  (ATLAS)
- Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC)
  - $t \rightarrow qH(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$  (ATLAS, CMS)
  - $t \rightarrow qH(\rightarrow multi-lepton) \& combination (CMS)$
- Light-flavor Yukawa couplings
  - H→ee (CMS), μμ (ATLAS, CMS)
  - $H \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$  (ATLAS, CMS),  $\Upsilon(nS)\gamma$  (ATLAS)
- Run-2 Prospects



- MFV dark matter search (mono-b)
   → Priscilla Pani's talk
  - $t \rightarrow qZ, q\gamma$  (ATLAS, CMS)
  - BSM single top (ATLAS, CMS)
    - → Sridhara Dasu's & Sandro Palestini's talks



Zoo



#### **Flavor Violation**









R.Harnik, J.Kopp, J.Zupan, JHEP 03 (2013) 026

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = -m_i \bar{f}_L^i f_R^i - Y_{ij} (\bar{f}_L^i f_R^j) h + h.c. + \cdots,$$

**Higgs-fermion coupling** 

- Standard Model Higgs boson conserves the flavor symmetry ( $Y_{ij}$  is diagonal).
- In general, Y<sub>ij</sub> can be non-diagonal, which leads to a flavor violation.
   Flavor violation itself would be a discovery of BSM physics.
  - Naturally occurs in models with more than one Higgs doublet.
  - Can arise in SUSY, composite Higgs models, Randall-Sundrum, etc.





## H→µT,eT,eµ Searches



• Indirect constraints from previous experiments are stringent for  $\mu \rightarrow e$ , but <u>rather</u> moderate for  $\tau$ -related FV.  $\Rightarrow BR(H \rightarrow \mu e) < O'(10^{-8}), BR(H \rightarrow \mu \tau, e\tau) < O'(10\%).$ 





#### CMS-PAS-HIG-14-005



- Similar signatures as the SM H→ TT, but with a prompt/higher-pT muon & different Missing ET event topologies.
- Similar selections/strategies between CMS & ATLAS for H→μτ<sub>h</sub>. Some differences in the kinematic cuts, jet binning & mass reconstruction (collinear vs Missing Mass Calculator).



### $H \rightarrow \mu T_e$ Channel



#### Phys. Lett. B 749 (2015) 337



• Dominant BG  $\Rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tau_{\mu}\tau_{e}$ , mis-ID leptons, dibosons.  $Z \rightarrow \tau_{\mu}\tau_{e}$  estimated from embedding technique from  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  ( $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  data with  $\mu$ 's replaced by simulated  $\tau$  decays.)

•	Data agree with the expectation within uncertainty.			1-jet	2-jets
		sum of backgrounds	$160\pm19$	$118\pm9$	$5.6\pm0.9$
		LFV Higgs boson signal	$23\pm 6$	$13\pm3$	$1.2\pm0.3$
		data	180	128	6



Hideki Okawa

SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015



## $H \rightarrow \mu T_e, \mu T_h$ Results





- Slight excess of **2.4\sigma significance** is observed in CMS (1.3 $\sigma$  in ATLAS).
- Best fit BR(H $\rightarrow$ µT) = 0.84<sup>+0.39</sup>-0.37% [CMS], 0.77±0.62% [ATLAS]
- Limit: BR(H→μτ) < 1.51% obs (0.75% exp) [CMS], 1.85% (1.24%) [ATLAS]@95%CL</li>



#### H→er,eµ Results









- No excess observed in  $H \rightarrow e\tau_{\mu}, e\tau_{h}$ ,  $e\mu$  channels for all categories.
  - BR(H→ет) < 0.70%@95%CL. Best fit -0.10.
- BR(H→eµ) < 0.036%@95%CL.



### Z→eµ Search



• Indirect limits: BR(Z $\rightarrow$ eµ) < 10<sup>-12</sup> (µ $\rightarrow$ 3e), 10<sup>-10</sup> (µ $\rightarrow$ eγ)



- Require low  $E_T^{miss}$  & veto jets to suppress the  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ , diboson, and ttbar BGs.
- Dominant BG:  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ .
- BR(Z $\rightarrow$ eµ) < 7.5 × 10<sup>-7</sup> (ATLAS), 7.3 × 10<sup>-7</sup> (CMS) @ 95%CL. Surpassing the previously most stringent direct limit from LEP (< 1.7 × 10<sup>-6</sup>).

Phys. Rev. D 90, 072010 (2014), CMS-PAS-EXO-13-005



## Z′→eµ,eт,µт Search



 $q \qquad \qquad \ell'$   $\overline{q} \qquad \qquad \overline{q} \qquad \qquad \overline{\ell}$ 

19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV) Events / 10 GeV CMS 10<sup>4</sup> Preliminarv WW DY 10<sup>3</sup> jets tW eU Wγ WZ. ZZ 10 + data 10 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 M<sub>eu</sub> (GeV) Ratio data/MC 2.5

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 031801 (2015), CMS-PAS-EXO-13-002

- Search for LFV motivated from RPV SUSY models, LFV Z', and quantum black holes.
- Bump hunting for opposite-sign different-flavor dileptons (eµ, eτ, μτ for ATLAS; eµ for CMS).
- Collinear neutrino approximation considered for mass reconstruction in eτ/μτ channels.







 $Z' \rightarrow e\mu, et, \mu t$  Results





- Limits placed on RPV SUSY, LFV Z', or quantum BH production times branching ratio.
- These results significantly extend constraints from previous results from the Tevatron & LHC.

2500

n=0

- n=3 n=4 • n=5 - n=6

n=1 (PDG) n=1 (RS) • n=2

M<sub>th</sub> (GeV)

10<sup>2</sup>

10

10-

10<sup>-2</sup> <u></u>−2

CMS

Preliminary

1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500



### Z′→тт Search



JHEP 07 (2015) 157

- Non-universal lepton couplings to Z' can explain\_observed flavor anomalies.
   (e.g. anomalous dimuon production@D0, excess of B→D<sup>(\*)</sup>τ<sup>-</sup>v<sub>τ</sub>@BaBar, Belle)
- $\tau_{had}\tau_{had}$ ,  $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{had}$ ,  $\tau_{e}\tau_{had}$  channels are considered.
- Main BGs:  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ , multijet for  $\tau_{had} \tau_{had} \& Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ , W+jets for  $\tau_{\mu} \tau_{had}$ ,  $\tau_{e} \tau_{had}$ .
- No excess observed. Stronger constraints on the G(221) [SU(2)×SU(2)×SU(1)] parameter space than the indirect limits from LEP & CKM unitarity.





### W'→ tv Search



arXiv:1508.0430

- First search for W' decaying to  $\tau_{had} \& v_{\tau}$ . Decays to 3rd generation could be enhanced in case of non-universal coupling.
- Main BGs:  $W \rightarrow \tau v_{\tau}$ , multijet.
- Significantly expanding the limits for large  $\cot \theta_{E}$ .







800 (IN) B(N) 0.7 700 🕛 t+b 0.6 0.5 0.4⊢<u></u> u+d/ 400 0.3 300 200 0.2 0.1 100 WH 10 6 5 3 4  $\cot \theta_{\rm E}$ 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV)  $\theta_{\rm E}$ CMS cot Limits at 95% CL CMS W'  $\rightarrow \tau v$ Lepton flavor violation ··· CKM unitarity - W' → tb  $W' \rightarrow e_{\lambda}$ 1000 2000 2500 1500

0.8

cot  $\theta_E$ : mixing of

two extended SU(2)

groups.

M<sub>w'</sub> [GeV]



## **RPV Stop Search**



ATLAS-CONF-2015-015

- RPV SUSY model w/ spontaneously broken local U(1)<sub>B-L</sub> symmetry.
   Only lepton number is violated.
- eebb,eµbb,µµbb final states considered.
- $H_T$ >1100 GeV,  $m_{bl}$  asymmetry  $\leq$  0.2, Z veto on higher  $m_{bl}$  ( $m_{bl}^0$ ).









SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015

18



## FCNC t $\rightarrow$ qH( $\rightarrow$ yy) Search



JHEP 06 (2014) 008, CMS-PAS-TOP-14-019



Backgrounds

- Leptonic channel: non-resonant γγ production, multijet, ttbar, Wγ, real Higgs decay.
- Hadronic channel: non-resonant γγ production, multijet, real Higgs decay.

Seach for flavor-changing neutral currents in top decays using ttbar processes. Look for peak at γγj invariant mass & Wj (leptonic + hadronic channels).





#### $t \rightarrow qH(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ Results



JHEP 06 (2014) 008, CMS-PAS-TOP-14-019



Hideki Okawa

SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015



#### t→qH(→multilepton) & Combination





- Used same-sign dilepton & trilepton SRs.
- BR(t→cH) < 0.93% obs (0.89% exp)
- $\sqrt{(\lambda_{tcH}^2 + \lambda_{tuH}^2)} < 0.18$
- Combination w/ γγ channel leads to BR(t→cH) < 0.56% & √(λ<sub>tcH</sub><sup>2</sup>+λ<sub>tuH</sub><sup>2</sup>) < 0.14</li>

#### CMS-PAS-HIG-13-017, CMS-PAS-HIG-13-034



SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015



#### Light-Flavor Yukawa Couplings





#### Light-Flavor Yukawa Couplings



- Yukawa couplings are among the most arbitrary in the electroweak symmetry breaking mechanism.
- Light-flavor lepton/quark couplings to the Higgs boson provide useful insights to the nature of the Yukawa couplings.
  - Universal or non-universal Higgs couplings to fermions.
  - Decays to a quarkonium & γ ("exclusive approach"; cf. E.Stamou's talk) may offer sensitivity to both magnitude & sign of the Yukawa couplings.
  - Probe for physics beyond the Standard Model.



#### H→µµ



#### Phys. Lett. B 738 (2014) 68, Phys. Lett. B 744 (2015) 184

19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV) H→μ⁺μ΄ 100 10<sup>10</sup> Events/1.0 GeV Events / 2 Ge/ 0,1-Jet Tight BB --- Data CMS ggF & VBF categories - ΗC (stat TLAS 10<sup>9</sup> WZ/ZZ/Wγ Z/γ  $= 7 \text{ TeV} \int \text{Ldt} = 24.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 800 Background model tī WW 10<sup>8</sup> Single Top 📃 W+jet SM Higgs boson × 20 🗖 H [125 GeV  $10^{7}$ 60 Analytic BG modeling 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>t</sup> 400 similar to  $\gamma\gamma$  channel. 10<sup>4</sup> 200  $10^{3}$  $10^{2}$ 10 2/NDF = 45.7/48 = 0.953; p-value: 0.56 Data-Fit <sup>0<sub>Fit</sub></sup> 10 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 260 80 110 120 130 140 150 160 m<sub>uu</sub> [GeV] *m*<sub>μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup></sub> [GeV] 95% CL limit on  $\mu_{\rm S}$  $\sigma \times BR < 7.0$  obs (7.2 exp) ( $\sigma \times BR$ )<sub>SM</sub>  $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ATLAS 50  $\sqrt{s}=7 \text{ TeV} 4.5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ [ATLAS], **7.4 (6.5) (σ × BR)**<sub>SM</sub> [CMS] - Observed CL √s=8 TeV 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> ···· Expected CL 40 ± **1**σ ± **2**σ **Confirmed non-universal couplings** 30 same as the t-lepton). 20 Need HL-LHC data for measuring the 10 SM  $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$  process (Adrian Perieanu's talk). 0 150 120 125 130 135 140 145 m<sub>H</sub> [GeV]



#### H→ee



![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

- SM BR(H $\rightarrow$ ee)~5×10<sup>-9</sup>. 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV)  $H \rightarrow e^+e^-$ [qd] (-0.14 (-0.12 Inaccessible at the LHC. CMS Observed limit -- Median expected limit 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV) 19.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (8 TeV)  $H \rightarrow e^+e^-$ H → e⁺e 4000  $\pm 1\sigma$  expected limit Events/1.0 GeV Events/2.0 GeV Т 0.1 0,1-jet BB -- Data CMS CMS 2-jet Tight ---- Data 3500 25  $\pm 2 \sigma$  expected limit Background model Background model 3000 20 SM Higgs boson × 10<sup>6</sup> SM Higgs boson × 10<sup>6</sup> 2500 2000 15 1500 10 1000 500 = 13.1/23 = 0.567; p-value: 0.95 <u>Data-Fit</u> <sup>0<sub>Fit</sub></sup> Data-Fit <sup>0<sub>Fit</sub></sup> -110 110 120 130 140 150 160 120 130 140 150 160 120 130 150 140 m<sub>ee</sub> [GeV] m<sub>ee</sub> [GeV] m<sub>H</sub> [GeV]
- ggF & VBF categories. Using analytic BG modeling.
- No excess from SM expectation. BR( $H \rightarrow ee$ )<1.9×10<sup>-3</sup> @95% CL.
- Another confirmation of non-universal couplings of the Higgs boson.

SUSY 2015, August 29, 2015

#### $H/Z \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ , Y(nS) $\gamma$

0.1 p<sup>µ</sup><sub>T</sub>

0.06

0.04

- SM BR(H $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi\gamma$ )=2.8×10<sup>-6</sup>, Events/2 GeV 80'0 BR(H $\rightarrow$ Y(nS) $\gamma$ )=(6.1,2.0,2.4)×10<sup>-10</sup>
- Considered  $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$  final state.
- Signal efficiency [ATLAS]: 22% for J/ $\psi\gamma$ , 28% for Y(nS) $\gamma$

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Simultaneous fits are performed to  $m_{\mu\mu\gamma} \& m_{\mu\mu}$ for ATLAS and to  $m_{\mu\mu\gamma}$  for CMS.
- No significant H/Z $\rightarrow Q\gamma$ signals are observed.

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

3.4 3.5

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $H/Z \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$ , Y(nS) $\gamma$

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Interesting analyses, but challenging for the SM  $H \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$ ,  $\Upsilon(nS)\gamma$  due to the very low expected yields, even at the HL-LHC.
- However, the method can also be used for general  $H \rightarrow Q\gamma$ , where Q is a meson from light quarks. It provides interesting programs for BSM search.

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Prospects for Run-2**

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Run-2 Prospects**

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Lepton flavor violation
  - CMS (H→μτ<sub>e</sub>, μτ<sub>h</sub>, etc.): New τ-reconstruction algorithm developed for Run-2.
  - Z'→eµ: Already started looking at m<sub>eµ</sub> distribution. Will make use of the significantly increased cross section in 13 TeV.
- Flavor changing neutral current
  - Will benefit from enhanced production of the ttbar process. First ttbar cross section measurements were performed w/ 13 TeV data by ATLAS/CMS (ATLAS-CONF-2015-033, CMS-PAS-TOP-15-010).

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

Analyses are progressing rapidly with the Run-2 data.

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Summary

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

- LHC provides rich program for flavor studies.
- Understanding the Yukawa couplings of the Higgs boson is crucial after the discovery of a Higgs boson, and there could be flavor violating processes in the electroweak sector.
- No observation of charged lepton flavor violation so far. However, there is 2.4σ (1.3σ) excess in the H→μτ from CMS (ATLAS). Further investigations are ongoing.
- We had fruitful results regarding the light-flavor Yukawa couplings as well. No sign of deviations from the Standard Model so far.
- Analyses are rapidly progressing with Run-2 data!!

#### backups

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Higgs Signal Strength**

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **13 vs 8 TeV**

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

CMS

### H→µT Search

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 749 (2015) 337, arXiv:1508.03372

• Some differences in the kinematic selections ( $\Delta \phi_{\mu,\tau}$ ,  $\Delta \eta_{\mu,\tau}$ ) & discriminating variable (mass reconstruction w/ collinear approximation vs Missing Mass Calculator).

Variable	ŀ	$H \to \mu \tau$	-e	$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$		ĥ
[GeV]	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet
$p_{\rm T}^{\mu} >$	50	45	25	45	35	30
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}} >$	10	10	10			
$p_{ m T}^{ au} >$				35	40	40
$M_{ m T}^{ m e} <$	65	65	25			—
$M^{\mu}_{ m T} >$	50	40	15			
$M_{ m T}^{ au} <$				50	35	35
[radians]						
$\Delta \phi_{\vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\mu} - \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}} >$				2.7		
$\Delta \phi_{ec{p}_{ m T}^{ m e}-ec{E}_{ m T}^{ m miss}} < 1$	0.5	0.5	0.3		—	
$\Delta \phi_{\vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\rm e} - \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\mu}} >$	2.7	1.0				

ATLAS
-------

Cut	SR1	SR2
$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu)$	>26 GeV	>26 GeV
$p_{\mathrm{T}}( au_{\mathrm{had}})$	>45 GeV	>45 GeV
$m_{\rm T}(\mu, E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$	>40 GeV	<40 GeV
$m_{\rm T}(\tau_{\rm had}, E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$	<30 GeV	<60 GeV
$ \eta(\mu) - \eta(\tau_{had}) $	<2	<2
$N_{\rm jet}$	_	—
N <sub>b-jet</sub>	0	0

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $H \rightarrow \mu \tau$ Channel (CMS)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Phys. Lett. B 749 (2015) 337

Variable	ŀ	$H \to \mu \tau$	-e	$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$		ħ
[GeV]	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet
$p_{\rm T}^{\mu} >$	50	45	25	45	35	30
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}} >$	10	10	10			
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\overline{ au}} >$				35	40	40
$M_{ m T}^{ m e} <$	65	65	25			
$M_{ m T}^{ar{\mu}} >$	50	40	15			
$M_{ m T}^{ au} <$				50	35	35
[radians]						
$\Delta \phi_{\vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\mu} - \vec{p}_{\rm T}^{\tau_{\rm h}}} >$				2.7		
$\Delta \phi_{ec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}}-ec{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}} < 0$	0.5	0.5	0.3			
$\Delta \phi_{\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{e}}-\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu}}$ >	2.7	1.0				

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## H→µT Yields (CMS)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Phys. Lett. B 749 (2015) 337

Sample		$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h$			$H \rightarrow \mu \tau_e$			
Jampie	0-Jet	1-Jet	2-Jets	0-Jet	1-Jet	2-Jets		
misidentified leptons	$1770\pm530$	$377 \pm 114$	$1.8\pm1.0$	$42\pm17$	$16\pm7$	$1.1\pm0.7$		
Z  ightarrow  au  au	$187\pm10$	$59\pm4$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$65 \pm 3$	$39\pm2$	$1.3\pm0.2$		
ZZ, WW	$46\pm 8$	$15\pm3$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$41\pm7$	$22\pm4$	$0.7\pm0.2$		
$\mathrm{W}\gamma$			—	$2\pm 2$	$2\pm 2$			
$Z \rightarrow ee \text{ or } \mu\mu$	$110\pm23$	$20\pm7$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm0.7$	$1.8\pm0.8$			
tī	$2.2\pm0.6$	$24\pm3$	$0.9\pm0.5$	$4.8\pm0.7$	$30\pm3$	$1.8\pm0.4$		
tī	$2.2\pm1.1$	$13 \pm 3$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$1.9\pm0.2$	$6.8\pm0.8$	$0.2\pm0.1$		
SM H background	$7.1\pm1.3$	$5.3\pm0.8$	$1.6\pm0.5$	$1.9\pm0.3$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$0.6\pm0.1$		
sum of backgrounds	$2125\pm530$	$513\pm114$	$5.4\pm1.4$	$160\pm19$	$118\pm9$	$5.6\pm0.9$		
LFV Higgs boson signal	$66 \pm 18$	$30\pm8$	$2.9\pm1.1$	$23\pm 6$	$13 \pm 3$	$1.2\pm0.3$		
data	2147	511	10	180	128	6		

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

arXiv:1508.03372

Cut	SR1	SR2	WCR	TCR
$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu)$	>26 GeV	>26 GeV	>26 GeV	>26 GeV
$p_{\mathrm{T}}( au_{\mathrm{had}})$	>45 GeV	>45 GeV	>45 GeV	>45 GeV
$m_{\rm T}(\mu, E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$	>40 GeV	<40 GeV	>60 GeV	—
$m_{\rm T}(\tau_{\rm had}, \hat{E}_{\rm T}^{\rm miss})$	<30 GeV	<60 GeV	>40 GeV	_
$ \eta(\mu) - \eta(\tau_{had}) $	<2	<2	<2	<2
N <sub>jet</sub>	_	—	—	>1
$N_{b-jet}$	0	0	0	>0

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

## H→µT Yields (ATLAS)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

arXiv:1508.03372

	SR1	SR2
Signal	$69.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 9.2$	$48.5 \pm 0.8 \pm 7.5$
$Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$	$133.4 \pm 6.9 \pm 9.1$	$262.6 \pm 9.7 \pm 18.6$
W+jets	$619 \pm 54 \pm 55$	$406 \pm 42 \pm 34$
Тор	$39.5 \pm 5.3 \pm 4.7$	$19.6 \pm 3.1 \pm 3.3$
Same–Sign events	$335 \pm 19 \pm 47$	$238 \pm 16 \pm 34$
$VV + Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$	$90 \pm 21 \pm 16$	$81 \pm 22 \pm 17$
$H \to \tau \tau$	$6.82 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.97$	$13.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 1.9$
Total background	$1224 \pm 62 \pm 63$	$1021 \pm 51 \pm 49$
Data	1217	1075

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow \mu T_e, \mu T_h$ Results

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Phys. Lett. B 749 (2015) 337

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

### $H \rightarrow e_{T_{\mu}}, e_{T_{h}}$ Channels

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### CMS-PAS-HIG-14-040

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

40

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

### H→eµ Channel

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### CMS-PAS-HIG-14-040

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### H→er,eµ Results

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### CMS-PAS-HIG-14-040

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

## H/Z→J/ψ γ, Υ(nS)γ

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 121801

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

## $H/Z \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma, \Upsilon(nS)\gamma$

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 121801, arXiv:1507.0303

	$95\% CL_s$ Upper Limits						
	$J/\psi$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$\Upsilon(2S)$	$\Upsilon(3S)$	$\sum^{n} \Upsilon(nS)$		
$\mathcal{B}\left(Z \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}10^{-6}\end{array}\right]$							
Expected	$2.0^{+1.0}_{-0.6}$	$4.9^{+2.5}_{-1.4}$	$6.2^{+3.2}_{-1.8}$	$5.4^{+2.7}_{-1.5}$	$8.8^{+4.7}_{-2.5}$		
Observed	2.6	3.4	6.5	5.4	7.9		
$\mathcal{B}\left(H \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma\right) \left[ \ 10^{-3} \ \right]$							
Expected	$1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$2.1^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$2.5^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$		
Observed	1.5	1.3	1.9	1.3	2.0		
	$\sigma\left(p\right)$	$p \to H) \times l$	$\mathcal{B}(H \to \mathcal{Q}\gamma)$	() [fb]			
Expected	$26^{+12}_{-7}$	$38^{+19}_{-11}$	$45_{-13}^{+24}$	$38^{+19}_{-11}$	$54^{+27}_{-15}$		
Observed	33	29	41	28	44		

BR(H $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi\gamma$ )<1.5×10<sup>-3</sup> for CMS