Search for light CP-odd Higgs boson and low mass New Physics at BABAR

Bertrand Echenard California Institute of Technology on behalf of the BABAR Collaboration

SUSY 2015 – Lake Tahoe



BABAR's scientific productivity



Continue harvesting scientific results from the BABAR dataset:

551 papers published (as of June 2015) and a significant number still in the pipeline

Recent highlights:

Indirect search for New Physics in precision measurements

Measurement of sin2 β in B⁰ \rightarrow D^{(*)0}h⁰ (joint with Belle): arXiv:1505.04147, accepted by PRL CPV in B mixing with dilepton events: PRL **114**, 081801 (2015) Measurement of radiative tau decays: PRD **91**, 051103 (2015)

Direct search for low-mass New Physics – this talk

Search for a light Higgs resonance with a charm tag, PRD 91, 071102 (2015) Search for exotic long-lived particles, PRL 114, 171801 (2015)







BABAR data sample contains

~470 x 10⁶ Υ (4S) ~120 x 10⁶ Υ (3S) (10x Belle) ~100 x 10⁶ Υ (2S) (10x CLEO) ~ 18 x 10⁶ Υ (2S) $\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Upsilon$ (1S)

Better sensitivity to direct production of light degrees of freedom at narrow resonances

A light CP-odd Higgs boson?

- The discovery of the Higgs boson begs the question: is it the Higgs, or is it a Higgs?
- No sign of new heavy Higgs boson yet, but the night is still young...
- On the other hand, several SM extensions (NMSSM, 2HDM Type-II,...) include the possibility of additional light Higgs boson.
- NMSSM proposed to solve the "μ problem", adding one CP-odd Higgs, one CP-even Higgs and one neutralino to the MSSM content.



- The lightest CP-odd Higgs boson (A⁰) with a mass below 2 m_b has not been excluded by LEP.
- Low masses might be difficult to access at the LHC, and low-energy high luminosity experiment might offer an ideal environment to probe for these possibilities → complementarity between low and high energies.

A light CP-odd Higgs

- Many SM extensions (NMSSM, 2HDM Type-II,...) include the possibility of additional Higgs boson, which could be light
- NMSSM proposed to solve the "µ problem", adding one CP-odd Higgs, one CP-even Higgs and one neutralino to the MSSM content
- Radiative decays Y(nS) → γ A⁰ (n=1,2,3) offer an ideal environment to search for light CP-odd Higgs, and more generally a light scalar.
- Possible connection with dark matter connection in $A^0 \rightarrow \chi \chi$ decays if $m_{\chi} < m_A / 2$.
- Branching fractions can be quite large!

Very active program at *BABAR* to search for these possibilities!



$$A^{0} = \cos\theta_{A} A_{MSSM} + \sin\theta_{A} A_{S}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & < m_{A0} < 2m_{\tau} \\ 2m_{\tau} < m_{A0} < 7.5 \ \text{GeV} \\ 7.5 < m_{A0} < 8.8 \ \text{GeV} \\ 8.8 < m_{A0} < 9.2 \ \text{GeV} \end{array}$

Dermisek et al., PRD 81, 075003 (2010)

Branching fractions depend on final state and the model parameters

 $BR(A^{0} \to f\overline{f}) \propto \cos\theta_{A}m_{f}^{2} / \tan^{2}\beta \quad \text{up-type fermions} \\ BR(A^{0} \to f\overline{f}) \propto \cos\theta_{A}m_{f}^{2} \tan^{2}\beta \quad \text{down-type fermions}$

	Low tanβ	High tanβ
Low mass	gg	ss
High mass	cc	ττ

+ dimuon at lower masses / invisible in some scenarios





Radiative $\Upsilon(2S,3S)$ decays

Large branching fraction, large bkg



- $\Upsilon(2S,3S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ PRL 103, 081803 (2009)
- $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ PRL103, 181801 (2009)
- $\Upsilon(2S,3S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow hadrons$ PRL107, 221803 (2011)
- $\Upsilon(2S,3S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow invisible$ arXiv: 0808.0017

Radiative $\Upsilon(1S)$ decays

Lower branching fraction, less bkg



- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \text{invisible}$ PRL107, 021804 (2011)
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ PRD 87, 031102 (2013)
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ PRD 88, 071102 (2013)
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow gg \text{ or } s\overline{s}$ PRD 88, 031701 (2013)
- $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow c\overline{c}$ New PRD 91, 071102 (2015)

CLEO Y(1S) $A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, $\tau^+ \tau^-$, PRL 101, 151802 (2008) BESIII J/ ψ $A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, PRD **85**, 092012 (2011) CMS inclusive $A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, PRL 109, 121801 (2012) CMS $H(125) \rightarrow 2(A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, PLB 726, 564 (2013)

$$Y(2S,3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$$

Analysis highlights

Tag the Y(1S): one photon with $E\gamma^* > 200 \text{ MeV} + 4 \text{ tracks}$ with at least one identified as muon

Require the $\gamma\mu\mu$ system to be compatible with a Y(1S) meson, apply kinematic constraints to improve resolution

Scan reduced mass $m_r = (m_{\mu\mu}^2 - 4m_{\mu}^2)^{1/2}$

Combination with the results from Y(2S,3S) decays to extract a limit on the effective Yukawa coupling (f_Y) between $A^0 - b$ -quark:

$$\frac{B(Y(nS) \to \gamma A^0)}{B(Y(nS) \to \ell^+ \ell^-)} = \frac{f_Y^2}{2\pi\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{m_{A^0}^2}{m_{Y(ns)}^2} \right)$$

$$f_{\gamma}^2 \, x \, B(A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (0.3 - 40) \, x \, 10^{-6}$$

PRL103, 081803 (2009) PRD 87, 031102 (2013)



* F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1304 (1977).

M. L. Mangano and P. Nason, Mod. Phys. Lett. A22, 1373 (2007).P. Nason, Phys. Lett. B175, 223 (1986).

$$Y(2S,3S)
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \, \Upsilon(1S)
ightarrow \gamma \, A^0, \, A^0
ightarrow au^+ au^-$$

Analysis highlights

Tag the Y(1S) from the dipion transition

Tag 1-prong decay of tau ($\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \nu, \tau \rightarrow e \nu \nu, \tau \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu$)

Optimize analysis in low mass 3.6 < $m_{\rm A}$ < 8.0 GeV / high mass 8.0 < $m_{\rm A}$ < 9.2 GeV regions

Fit for a narrow peak to the photon recoil mass in the Y(1S) system

Combination with the results from Y(2S,3S) decays to extract a limit on the effective Yukawa coupling (g_b) between $A^0 - b$ -quark*:

$$\frac{B(Y(nS) \to \gamma A^0)}{B(Y(nS) \to \ell^+ \ell^-)} = \frac{g_b^2 G_F m_b^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \mathcal{F}_{QCD} \left(1 - \frac{m_{A^0}^2}{m_{Y(ns)}^2} \right)$$

$$g_b^2 X B(A^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = 0.1 - 1.9 @ 90\% CL$$

* F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 1304 (1977).

M. L. Mangano and P. Nason, Mod. Phys. Lett. A22, 1373 (2007). P. Nason, Phys. Lett. B175, 223 (1986).



PRL103, 181801 (2009) PRD 88, 071102 (2013)



$$Y(2S,3S)
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \ \Upsilon(1S)
ightarrow \gamma A^0, \ A^0
ightarrow gg, s\overline{s}$$

Analysis highlights

Exclusive reconstruction of A^0 in 26 / 14 different channels for gg / $s\overline{s}$

Two body decays excluded as CP-odd Higgs can not decay into two pseudo-scalars.

Background dominated by Y(1S) $\rightarrow \gamma gg$ (low masses) and Y(1S) $\rightarrow ggg$ (high masses)

Extract yield from mass spectrum in the range $0.5 < m_A < 9$ GeV, use cut and count method with bkg estimated from sidebands.

Large systematic uncertainty from hadronization (~50%)

$$\begin{array}{l} B(Y(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^{0}, A^{0} \rightarrow gg) < 10^{-6} - 10^{-2} \\ B(Y(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^{0}, A^{0} \rightarrow s\overline{s}) < 10^{-5} - 10^{-3} \end{array}$$





Brown line = approximate predictions

$$\Upsilon(2S,3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow invisible$$

Analysis highlights

Tag the Y(1S) from the dipion transition

Require two tracks + one high-energy photon and no additional activity

Missing energy and momentum

Consider both $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0$ (two-body) and $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \chi \chi$ (multi-body) decays

Fit the the recoil mass squared (M^2_{recoil}) and missing mass (M^2_x) squared

 $\begin{array}{l} B(Y(1S) \to \gamma A^{0}, A^{0} \to invisible) < (1.9 - 37) \ge 10^{-6} \\ B(Y(1S) \to \gamma \chi \chi) < (0.5 - 25) \ge 10^{-5} \end{array}$

Significant constraints on theoretical models^{1,2}



0.5

1.5

2.5

PRL107, 021804 (2011)

1) PRD 76, 051105 (2007) 2) PRD 80,115019 (2009)

m, (GeV)

3.5

PRD 91, 071102R (2015)

Search for

$$\Upsilon(2S,3S)
ightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S)
ightarrow \gamma A^{0}, A^{0}
ightarrow c\overline{c}$$

Analysis highlights

Require two tracks + one photon + hadronic system

Tag the Y(1S) from the dipion transition requiring $|m_R - m_{Y(1S)}| < 10 \text{ MeV}$

Reconstruct D decay to tag $c\bar{c}$ system:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}_{s} \ \pi\pi, \\ \mathsf{D}^{+} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi \\ \mathsf{D}^{*+} \rightarrow \mathsf{D}^{0}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi^{0} \end{array}$

Reconstruct A⁰ mass using $m_x^2 = (P_{ee} - P_{\pi\pi} - P_{\gamma})^2$



$$m_R^2 = M_{Y(2S)}^2 + m_{\pi\pi}^2 - 2M_{Y(2S)}E_{\pi\pi}$$

Two mass regions: Low mass 4.00 – 8.00 GeV High mass 7.5 – 9.25 GeV Veto 8.95 – 9.1 GeV range to remove Y(2S) $\rightarrow \chi_b \rightarrow Y(1S)$ photon cascade

 $Y(2S,3S) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^0, A^0 \rightarrow c\overline{c}$

Analysis highlights

Require two tracks + one photon + hadronic system

Tag the Y(1S) from the dipion transition requiring $|m_R - m_{Y(1S)}| < 10 \text{ MeV}$

Reconstruct D decay to tag $c\bar{c}$ system:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}s \ \pi\pi, \\ \mathsf{D}^{+} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi \\ \mathsf{D}^{*+} \rightarrow \mathsf{D}^{0}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi^{0} \end{array}$

Reconstruct A⁰ mass using $m_x^2 = (P_{ee} - P_{\pi\pi} - P_{\gamma})^2$

Train 5 (charm) x 2 (mass) BDT to separate signal from background using 24 variables (event shape, kinematics, vertex, D, photon, dipion) Off-resonance data (pts) vs ee → qq MC (hist)

PRD 91, 071102R (2015)



Very good agreement

PRD 91, 071102R (2015)

Signal extraction

Perform binned extended maximum likelihood fits in the low & high mass regions in 20σ mass window:

10 MeV steps for low mass 2 MeV steps for high mass

Fit function:

Signal PDF (fixed): Crystal Ball modelled from MC Background PDF (float): 2nd order polynomial

Largest local significance:

2.3σ @ 4.145 GeV - 2.0σ @ 8.411 GeV

Reconstruction efficiency:

4%.0 @ 4.00 GeV - 2.6% @ 9.25 GeV

Systematic uncertainty dominated by $c\bar{c}$ hadronization ~10%, also signal PDF shape, D mass PDF, dipion BF & pdf, MC stats, γ efficiency, N_{Y(2S)}

No significant signal



PRD 91, 071102R (2015)

Upper limits at 90% CL



 $B(Y(1S) \rightarrow \gamma A^{0}, A^{0} \rightarrow c\overline{c}) < 7.4 \times 10^{-5} - 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$

Search for light CP-odd Higgs — summary



Substantial fraction of the parameter space (up to 7-8 GeV) is excluded

Search for light CP-odd Higgs — summary



CLEO Y(1S) $A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $\tau^+\tau^-$, PRL 101, 151802 (2008) CMS $H(125) \rightarrow 2(A^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$, PLB 726, 564 (2013)

+ Bes III update coming soon

Search for long-lived particles at many scales



LoLiPs scenarios at BABAR:





Higgs portal : scalar inflaton mixes with Higgs

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{XN} &= rac{1}{2} \partial_\mu X \partial^\mu X + rac{1}{2} m_X^2 X^2 - rac{eta}{4} X^4 - \lambda \left(H^\dagger H - rac{lpha}{\lambda} X^2
ight)^2 \ \mathcal{L}_{ ext{grav}} &= - rac{M_P^2 + \xi X^2}{2} R, \end{aligned}$$



J.D. Clarke, R. Foot, R. Volkas, PRD 80, 015003 (2009) F. Bezrukov, D. Gorbunov JHEP 1307 (2013) 140

Bertrand Echenard – Caltech

10

Search for neutral LoLips (L)

Long lived \rightarrow displaced vertex: r > 1 cm

Reconstructed in two-body charged decays

 $e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, e^\pm\mu^\mp$ $\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-, \pi^\pm K^\mp$



PRL 114 (2015) 171801

Search for neutral LoLips (L)

Long lived \rightarrow displaced vertex: r > 1 cm

Reconstructed in two-body charged decays

$$e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, e^\pm\mu^\mp$$

 $\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-, \pi^\pm K^\mp$

Search for peak in the invariant mass spectra

Scan each mass spectrum in 2 MeV steps, perform UML fits at each step

Fit background on data with spline assuming no signal

Signal PDF extracted from MC (fixed)

Two scan points have a significance > 3σ

- one consistent with γ conversion,
- the other is not significant, when accounting for the *look elsewhere effect*.

No significant signal



PRL 114 (2015) 171801 *

Model-dependent limit on

 $Br(B \rightarrow LX_s) Br(L \rightarrow f)$ constrain inflaton model

e⁺e⁻

μ⁺μ⁻

e[±]μ[∓]

 $\pi^+\pi^-$

K⁺K[−]

 $\mathbf{K}^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$

2.5

 $m_0 [GeV/c^2]$

3

3.5

2

Model-independent limits on $\sigma(ee \rightarrow LX) \operatorname{Br}(L \rightarrow f) \varepsilon(f)$

Give tables of $\varepsilon(m, c\tau, p_T)$



*See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.171801

SUSY 2015 - Lake Tahoe

4.5

Models introducing a **new 'dark' force** mediated by a **new gauge boson with a mass around a GeV** have been proposed to explain various anomalies recently observed.

Wimp-like TeV-scale dark matter particles can annihilate into pairs of dark bosons, which subsequently decay to lepton pairs (protons are kinematically forbidden).

Other explanations of these anomalies have been proposed (e.g arXiv:1305.1324, 1502.01236), but it made us realize the **amazing possibilities at the GeV-scale that could be probed in laboratory!**



PRL 113 (2014) 201801

Search for dark photon

A dark photon can be produced in

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma A', A' \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$

Select events containing a photon and a pair of leptons, and search for a narrow resonance in the dilepton mass spectra

No significant signal is observed

Limits on the mixing parameter ϵ at the level of 10⁻³ is extracted for 0.02 < m_{A'} < 10 GeV.

On-going measurements:

Search for dipion decay of dark photon Search for invisible decay of dark photon Search for muonic / tauonic dark force





BABAR provides significant constraints on new physics models with low-mass degrees of freedom in high-statistics datasets.

No significant evidence for light new Physics has been found and upper limits have been set with a sizable improvement over previous measurements.

Ruled out significant fraction of "interesting" parameter space for dark photon, long-lived particles and low-mass Higgs models. New results on dark sector are coming soon, stay tuned!

The next generation of B-factories (Belle-II) will increase the available statistics by orders of magnitude.

Combined with LHC and direct detection dark matter searches, these measurements will provide unique information on the dynamics and flavor structure of new physics.

Happy hunting and good luck...



EXTRA MATERIAL

PRD 91, 071102R (2015)

Search for

$$Y(2S,3S)
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^- \Upsilon(1S), \, \Upsilon(1S)
ightarrow \gamma \, A^0, \, A^0
ightarrow c\overline{c}$$

Analysis highlights

Require two tracks + one photon + hadronic system

Tag the Y(1S) from the dipion transition requiring $|m_R - m_{Y(1S)}| < 10 \text{ MeV}$

Reconstruct D decay to tag $c\bar{c}$ system:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}s \ \pi\pi, \\ \mathsf{D}^{+} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi \\ \mathsf{D}^{*+} \rightarrow \mathsf{D}^{0}\pi, \ \mathsf{D}^{0} \rightarrow \mathsf{K}\pi\pi^{0} \end{array}$

Reconstruct A⁰ mass using $m_x^2 = (P_{ee} - P_{\pi\pi} - P_{\gamma})^2$

Train 5 (charm) x 2 (mass) BDT to separate signal from background using 24 variables (event shape, kinematics, vertex, D, photon, dipion)

Low mass: $4.00 \le m_{\chi} \le 8.00 \text{ GeV}$

