IPhT CEA-Saclay

Marco Taoso

Wino-like Minimal Dark Matter at colliders

Based on 1407.7058 with Marco Cirelli and Filippo Sala

Amsterdam-Paris-Stockholm meeting

Amsterdam 29-09/01-10 2014

Minimal Dark Matter

Minimalistic approach: add to SM an extra gauge multiplet and search for assignments giving a viable DM candidate

Requirements for DM: stable, neutral and allowed by DM searches

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + c \begin{cases} \bar{\mathcal{X}}(i\not{D} + M)\mathcal{X} & \text{when } \mathcal{X} \text{ is a spin 1/2 fermionic multiplet} \\ |D_\mu \mathcal{X}|^2 - M^2|\mathcal{X}|^2 & \text{when } \mathcal{X} \text{ is a spin 0 bosonic multiplet} \end{cases}$$

Stable for large enough repr. , 5 (7) for fermions (scalars), renormalizable and dim 5 operators do not lead to fast decays

Constraints from DM searches: no colored, Y=0 to avoid large Z-mediated SI scattering cross section with nuclei. Pure SU(2) multiplets

Cirelli, Fornengo, Strumia hep-ph/0512090

Minimal Dark Matter

From Cirelli, Strumia 0903.3381

Quantum numbers $SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	Spin	DM can decay into	DD bound?	Stable?
2	1/2	S	EL	×	×
2	1/2	F	EH	×	×
3	0	S	HH^*	√	×
3	0	F	LH	√	×
3	1	S	HH, LL	×	×
3	1	F	LH	×	×
4	1/2	S	HHH^*	×	×
4	1/2	F	(LHH^*)	×	×
4	3/2	S	HHH	×	×
4	3/2	F	(LHH)	×	×
5	0	S	(HHH^*H^*)	√	×
5	0	F	–	√	√
5	1	S	$(HH^*H^*H^*)$	×	×
5	1	F	–	×	√
5	2	S	$(H^*H^*H^*H^*)$	×	×
5	2	F	–	×	√
6	1/2, 3/2, 5/2	S	–	×	√
7	0	S	–	√	√
8	1/2, 3/2 ...	S	–	×	√

DM mass fixed for a thermal relic to match measured DM abundance.
Mass in the multi-TeV range (10 TeV for 5-plet and 25 TeV for 7-plet)

Triplet DM candidate

Quantum numbers			DM can	DD	Stable?
$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	Spin	decay into	bound?	
2	1/2	S	EL	×	×
2	1/2	F	EH	×	×
3	0	S	HH^*	√	×
3	0	F	LH	√	×
3	1	S	HH, LL	×	×
3	1	F	LH	×	×

Fermionic triplet **stable** if L or B-L is respected (or at least matter parity)

Lightest component is **neutral**

Mass splitting at 2 loop ΔM = 164.5 ± 0.5 MeV



Ibe et al. 1212.5989

Capture low-energy pheno of SUSY models with **WINO LSP** and heavy scalars

Other remarks on the EW multiplets

Chao Gonderinger Ramsey-Musolf 1210.0491



- It correct the running of Higgs quartic coupling stabilizing the EW vacuum

- Do not introduce fine-tuning of Higgs mass

$$\delta m^2 = \frac{M^2}{(4\pi)^4} \frac{n(n^2-1)}{4} g_2^2 \left(6 \ln \frac{M^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} - 1\right)$$

$M_\chi \lesssim 1.0\sqrt{\Delta}$ TeV to have less than $(100/\Delta)$ % fine-tuning

[5-plet $M_\chi \lesssim 0.4\sqrt{\Delta}$ TeV, 7-plet $M_\chi \lesssim 0.06\sqrt{\Delta}$ TeV]

Farina,Pappadopulo, Strumia 1303.7244

- Helps with gauge-coupling unification

Frigerio, Hambye 0912.1545

Relic abundance

Dominant annihilation channel is WW
Relic abundance calculation should include:

Coannihilations with charged state in the multiplet

Sommerfeld corrections





Correct abundance for M around 3 TeV.
Under-abundant (over-abundant) for a lighter (heavier) triplet
All masses possible for non-thermal production

Cirelli,, Strumia, Tamburini 0706.4071

Indirect searches

Sommerfeld effect enhance annihilation cross-section at low velocities, i.e. for DM at present epoch inside galaxies



Indirect searches

Sommerfeld effect enhance annihilation cross-section at low velocities, i.e. for DM at present epoch inside galaxies



Antiprotons
Positrons
Neutrinos
Gammas
.....





Gamma-ray line/feature

Smaller xsections but features in gamma-spectrum enhance sensitivities

Indirect detection bounds

Bounds depend on astrophysical assumptions like DM density profiles, cosmic-rays propagation... Shading corresponds to different choices



From Hryczuk, Cholis, Iengo, Takavoli, Ullio
1401.6210

See also Cohen et al. 1307.4082 Fan, Reece 1307.4400

Indirect detection bounds

Thermal WINO: current bounds
Shading different profiles
Dod-dashed: cross section for thermal WINO



Prospects for CTA



From Cohen et al. 1307.4082

Direct detection

Cross-section σ_{SI} = 1.3 10^{-47} cm^2

Hill, Solon 1309.4092





Triplet at Hadron Collider

Mass splitting between charged and neutral components around 165 MeV

Charged state decays into DM + soft pions



Channel considered: mono-jet, mono-photon, Vector Boson Fusion, disappearing tracks

Focus on **LHC 14 TeV** with L=3000 fb^{-1} and future **100 TeV pp collider** with L=3000 fb^{-1}

For a recent analysis of Wino LSP at 14-100 TeV with mono-jet and disappearing tracks see also Low, Wang 1404.0682

Monojet

Background: mainly Z(nu nu)+jets and W(l nu)+jets
Cuts on jets, MET, leptons similar to ATLAS-CMS mono-jet analysis rescaled to optimize sensitivity

Madgraph5 + Pythia + Delphes



Sum in quadrature statistic and systematic errors

$$\text{Significance} = \frac{S}{\sqrt{B + \alpha^2 B^2 + \beta^2 S^2}}$$

Dijet channel

VBF processes characterized by 2 forward jets

Apply cuts on rapidity, invariant mass and pT to reduce QCD background



Cuts	14 TeV	100 TeV 3 ab^{-1}	100 TeV 30 ab^{-1}
$\not{E}_T$[TeV]	$0.4-0.7$	$1.5-5.5$	$1.5-5.5$
$p_T(j_{12})$ [GeV]	40 (1%), 60 (5%)	150	200
M_{jj} [TeV]	1.5 (1%), 1.6 (5%)	6 (1%), 7 (5%)	7
$\Delta\eta_{12}$	3.6	3.6	3.6 (1%), 4 (5%)
$\Delta\phi$	$1.5-3$	$1.5-3$	$1.5-3$
$p_T(j_3)$ [GeV]	25	60	60
$p_T(\ell)$ [GeV]	20	20	20
$p_T(\tau)$ [GeV]	30	40	40



Smaller sensitivities than mono-j

Mono-photon



Qualitatively the same: systematics are crucial. 100 TeV increase the reach of a factor 3-4



Disappearing tracks

Lifetime of charged particle around 0.2 ns
Charged tracks of ~ 10 cm

At 100 TeV larger production + boost





Disappearing tracks

Lifetime of charged particle around 0.2 ns
Charged tracks of ~ 10 cm



Backgrounds:

- interactions of charged hadrons in the detector

- unidentified leptons

- pT mis-measured tracks
  (dominant at large pT)

ATLAS 8 TEV with 20 fb^{-1}

Bound M>270 GeV (95% CL)



Disappearing tracks

Estimate the sensitivity extrapolating the 8-TeV background rescaling with the jets+MET events cross-sections

Band: bkg multiplied/divided by factor 5



Summary

Indirect searches good probe of EW triplet DM BUT still large astro-uncertainties
LHC-14 cover part of non-thermal DM scenario / DM under-abundant
100 TeV collider could potentially test thermal WINO.