# Comparison of the expected and observed supernova remnant counts with Fermi/LAT

levgen Vovk MPI for Physics, Munich, Germany

Andrii Neronov, Denys Malyshev ISDC, University of Geneva

#### Supernova remnants



Age





SN 1987A Image Credit: Jason Pun (NOAO) and SINS Collaboration

SNR 0509-67.5 Image Credit: X-ray: NASA/CXC/SAO/J.Hughes et al, Optical: NASA/ESA/Hubble Heritage Team (STScI/AURA)

Simeis 147 Autor: Maurizio Cabibbo

<u>Age</u>: from 0 to ~100 000 yeas (dissipation in the ISM) <u>Typical kinetic energy</u>: 10<sup>51</sup> ergs <u>Total number of known in the Galaxy</u>: ~270 (Green '09)

#### SNRs and CRs



Supernova shocks are very suited places for the particle acceleration.

As SNR is becoming older, these highenergy particles escape it and get to the ISM.

The overall quantity and energy budget of SNRs makes them vary plausible sources for the observed Galactic cosmic rays (up to  $10^{15} \text{ eV}$ )



Other possible sources of CR: pulsars and PWN (Neronov & Semikoz '12), OB assiciations (e.g. Bykov & Fleishman '92, Parizot et al. '04)

## **Evidences for acceleration in SNRs**



Credit: NASA/CXC/GSFC/ U.Hwang et al.

Similarities in radio and X-ray morphology show that the emission is due to the synchrotron radiation of the high-energy electrons.

Sometimes the hot spots are seen appearing and disappearing, indicating fast acceleration of particles in SNR shells.

Similarities in X- to gamma-ray morphology suggest that the VHE emission is of the Inverse Compton origin.

X-rays



#### Credit: CXC/Yasunobu Uchiyama/HESS/Nature

X- to gamma rays



Credit: JAXA/ Takaaki Tanaka/HESS

### **Detection of CRs in SNRs: protons**

Tanaka+ '08



RX J1713.7-3946: hadronic origin

#### RX J1713.7-3946: leptonic origin



Different models



Ackermann+ '13

#### levgen Vovk

#### SUGAR workshop, 22.01.2015

### What about the rest of SNRs?



The majority of SNRs lack the detection of the pion feature – or even detection in the gamma-ray band at all. The 1<sup>st</sup> LAT SNR catalogue (Hewitt+ '13) lists 44 objects, among which only 19 are firm detections.

However, if SNRs are the sources of CR, their number should be in balance with the CR amount and leakage from the Galaxy.



Based on this we can predict how many SNRs we should see the gamma-ray band and compare with the observations.



Rather than analyzing sources one by one, we will use a statistical approach here.

# Simulation of the SNR population

Lorimer+ '06 pulsar distribution profile







#### <u>Procedure</u>

- characterize a single typical SNR
- calculate the total number of the SNR in the Galaxy
- distribute this number of SNRs according to their expected
- spatial distribution in the Galaxy
- estimate the sensitivity of the y-ray instrument in our possession
- calculate the fraction of the SNR population that can be observed with the available y-ray telescope



SUGAR workshop, 22.01.2015

### Fermi/LAT sensitivity in the Gal. plane



To estimate the sensitivity, we took the 2FGL catalogue and looked for the weakest detected sources (TS>25,  $\approx 4\sigma$ ) in the b=[-5,+5] range.

This we then rescaled by  $\sqrt{t_{SNR cat.}}$  to compensate for the different exposures in the catalogues.

### **Basic expressions**

number of explosions over the CR diffusion time scale fraction of SNR that will stay alive

$$N_{SNR} = \frac{CR_{diff}}{\Delta T_{SN}} \frac{T_{life}}{CR_{diff}} = \frac{T_{life}}{\Delta T_{SN}} \approx 1000 \left(\frac{T_{life}}{10^5 \text{ yr}}\right) \left(\frac{100 \text{ yr}}{\Delta T_{SN}}\right)$$

$$t_{pp} = \lambda_{pp}/(fc) = 1/(n_0 \sigma_{pp} fc) \approx 6 \times 10^7 \left(\frac{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}{n_0}\right) \text{ yr}$$

$$E_{CR} = L_{CR} \times \Delta T_{SN} \approx 3 \times 10^{50} \left( \frac{\Delta T_{SN}}{100 \text{ yr}} \right)$$

 $L_{SNR} = E_{CR}/t_{pp} \approx 2 \times 10^{35} \left(\frac{\Delta T_{SN}}{100 \text{ yr}}\right) \left(\frac{n_0}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right) \text{ erg/s}$ 

Typical cooling time of a proton

CR energy budget of an SNR (L<sub>CR</sub> is the CR leakage flux from the Galaxy)

### N of observable SNRs in Galaxy

 $N_{SNR}^{obs} \sim L_{SNR} N_{SNR} \sim n_0 / \Delta T_{SN}$ 



### SNR life time



Need low n<sub>o</sub> to match the observed number of SNRs (44) The expected number of SNRs depends significantly on the SNR life time T<sub>life</sub>

$$N_{SNR}^{obs} \sim N_{SNR} \sim T_{life}$$

$$t_{esc} = \frac{R_{SNR}}{v_a} \approx 1.4 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{R_{SNR}}{10 \text{ pc}}\right) \left(\frac{B}{10 \ \mu\text{G}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n_0}{0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right)^{0.5} \text{ yr}$$

 $t_{radiative} = 8.9 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{E_0}{10^{51} \text{ ergs}}\right)^{0.24} \left(\frac{n_0}{0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-0.52} \text{ yr}$ 

SNR transition to radiative stage (Cesarsky '80)

SUGAR workshop, 22.01.2015

#### Some considerations

Though SNRs are generally believed to be the sources of the galactic CRs, only for very few of them there direct evidences for the presence of relativistic protons. However, this does not necessarily mean that all SNRs accelerate CRs or do this with sufficient efficiency.

CRs have soft spectrum => most of the power is emitted at low energies => good for Fermi/LAT

Due to the modest resolution (1° @ 1GeV) LAT have problems identifying sources in crowded regions => Out of 44 catalogued SNRs only 19 are firm detections.

Some of the detected SNR might be shining through the Inverse Compton (electrons!)



<u>The true number of the detected</u> <u>SNRs is <44 (<19)</u>

# **Resulting picture**



The constraint on the number of detected SNRs transforms in the constraint on the n<sub>o</sub>.

For a reasonable range of parameters we get  $n_0 << 1.$ 

This is suggests that SN explode on average in under-dense regions – bubbles.





Here we try to attack the CR origin problem based on the statistical approach – SNR counts.

Our model gives a simplified description of a distribution of hadronic SNR, which is in balance with the CR leakage from the Galaxy.

We find, that a simple assumption of  $n_0=1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\Delta T=30 \text{ yr}$ ,  $T_{life}=10^5 \text{ yr}$  is disfavored by the data and a strong evidence for  $n_0<<1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , suggesting that SN typically explode in the bubbles of the progenitor stars (~10<sup>-2</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> expected).