Hardware-based CMS Alignment



Iván Vila on Behalf of CMS alignment group Instituto de Física de Cantabria [CSIC-UC]



Outline

- Introduction
 - Tracking at CMS
 - The alignment task
 - Steps toward a Hardware alignment.
- Alignment subsystems overview
 - Inner tracker
 - Global alignment: Link system
 - Muon spectrometer: Barrel, Endcap
- Initial Magnet Test and Cosmic Challenge "lessons"
- Summary and conclusions

Thanks to Bruno, Martin, Pablo, Vladimir and Zoltan for their help and plots

Introduction – CMS Tracking



Introduction – Alignment Overview

Hardware alignment (position monitoring) tasks:

- Silicon tracker internal alignment
- Muon spectrometer internal alignment:
 - Barril zone
 - EndCap zone
- Global alignment: Relative positioning of TK wrt Muon spectrometer
 - Link system.
- Different geometries, tracking technologies, requirements ⇒ 4 systems based on different technologies.

Intro. Common road map to Hw align.





TK local Alignment – LAS system



LAS - Advantages



- Main advantages:
 - Particle tracks and laser beam share the same sensors removing the need of any mechanical transfer.
 - Minimum interference with Silicon support structures
 - No precise positioning of the^{SIN}x and reflective</sup> aiming of the collimators. The number of measurements has to be redundant enough
 a)

AMS I Align. System





LAS – Optical treatement of sensors

- 10 mm hole in aluminum backside coating
- All sensors with anti-reflective coating Transmission measured to be 14-20% (R4) and 13-18% (R6) (at λ=1075 nm)
 Reflectivity <= 6%





LAS – Collimators/splitters



LAS – Performance @ TEC integration





Global Alignment – Link System Laser-based Multipoint monitor Links MAB – AR & TK-ME1 Beam MAB Laser MABX6 **ME/1/3 MAB** Zone ME1/2 Zone Zona ME1/1 **ME1/1 YN1 Zone** Transfer $\eta = 3$ Zone Distance **Tracker Zone** cube Laser asei source ource Link ALING. **Distance tube** Disk RING

Link – AR / TEC integration





CF pillar attached directly to Disk 10 (outside the cold mass and tension-free suport design) 3D relative position of AR wrt silicon supports of disc 10 are measured in Aachen

Link – Semitransparent ASPD sensors

- At lot of R&D on amorphous silicon semitransparent sensors
 - Improved version based on previous "ALMY" sensors for Atlas muon alignment





CMS



Deflex ~Tens of μ Rads Deflex ~few μ Rads

Used as a tool at the geodesic grid for calibration too!

10 12 14

16 18 20

Coordiante X

6

8

4

Link Calibration geodesic network



CMS

İF(A



Muon Barrel Local Alignment



Highly reduntant triangulation measurement.

LED sources installed in the muons chambers monitored by Video cameras located in rigid CF structures (MAB)



i F (A

Muon Barrel – Calibration challenge

- ALL muon chambers are instrumented and monitored
 - Thousands of components are to be installed and "calibrated" before installation...











Recognition of real vs. reflected LED spots



This is a real image taken on forks installed on a chamber. Larger dots belong to the closer fork, while smaller dots are spots of the farther fork. Inside the red markers the real spots can be seen. All the other spots are reflections.



Barrel – First "results"

Event before starting... wire layer "SL3" nominal location from calibration.









Muon EndCap Local Alignment

Straight line monitors + potentiometers network



Muon Endcap – "Transparent" sensors

Crosshair laser beam + CCD window frame





Muon Endcap – Hardrad CCD?



Magnet Test and Cosmic Challenge

Very significant fraction of all the muon alignment system commissioned.

Let's switch the magnet on and see how things move:

Aims:

- System Commissioning (Hard & Control)
- Check Detector Assembly Tolerances & field effects vs system dynamic range
- Monitor Iron deformation (endcap disks)
- Extract initial CMS geometry from B = 0 T (Optical & Cosmic)
- Compare Cosmic data vs optical alignment (@ B: 0 and max field)

Link

Barrel

MTCC aftermath (very, very preliminary)

- What we have learn ?
 - How (some)things move (next)
 - How (not) to install/adjust some of the components.
 - The actual help (accuracy) we can expect from survey at field B=0.
 - Installation tolerances far from promised in some cases.
- What we have encounter?
 - "Anticipated" issues (not all of them solved).
 - Crashes when closing the yokes.
 - Difficult adjustments of components.
 - Non anticipated issues (sensitivity to B of electronics.)

CMS



MTCC – First results/observations

- Real time monitoring of Iron yoke movements vs. magnetic field.
- Track vs. Muon chamber Survey (Sector 10)



I F (A

Summary

- Hardware alignment systems extremely complex (thousands of r/o channels)
- Large collaborative effort (Atomki, CERN, Ciemat, Fermilab, Florida, Cantabria, RWTH, University of Debrecen...)
- Many ad-hoc solutions & innovative developments.
- This is just a very coarse overview...*precision* is in the details.
- First performances under real fire (MTCC) tell as that we are in the right track toward a "Fast" alignment, BUT there is still a long way to go...

BackUp



I F (A

Introduction – Main Technologies

- Local TK alignment:
 - Gaussian profile laser lines monitored with optically treated microstrips detectors.
- Local alignment of μ-spectrometer (Barril):
 - LED placed on chambers and monitored by video cameras on very rigid FC structures
- Local alignment of μ-spectrometer (EndCap):
 - Cross-hair laser profiles monitored by ccd frames on top of the chamber covers.
- Global alignment:
 - Gaussian profile laser lines monitored by custom made semitransparent PSD sensors on top of rigid FC structures.

Alignment systems - The challenges

Barril Muon spectrometer:

- 240 chambers to be monitored directly with a few hundred microns precision
- End Cap Muon spectrometer:
 - Precision better than a few hundreds of micron.
- Global alignment: Link system
 - Linking the TK and μ -spectrometer reference frames with a few hundred microns and tens of microRads.