

# Study of beta delayed particle emission from <sup>21</sup>Mg

MORTEN VINTHER LUND AARHUS UNIVERSITY ON BEHALF OF IS507 COLLABORATION

# VERSIUM







#### **EXPERIMENT IS507**









#### <sup>21</sup>MG BETA DECAY



### <sup>21</sup>MG – STATUS

- > <sup>21</sup>Mg is a well known proton emitter
- > Used for proton calibration

7.055

6.560

 $\alpha + {}^{17}F$ 

- Delayed alpha emission energetically allowed:
  - > Q<sub>a</sub>(8816 keV) = 2256 keV
  - > Q<sub>a</sub>(8970 keV) = 2410 keV







$${}^{21}Mg \rightarrow \beta + {}^{21}Na \rightarrow p + {}^{20}Ne \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{16}O$$

$$\downarrow$$

$${}^{17}F + \alpha$$

#### $^{21}MG - \Delta E - E DATA$

~ stopping power





$${}^{21}Mg \rightarrow \beta + {}^{21}Na \rightarrow p + {}^{20}Ne \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{16}O$$

$$\downarrow$$

$${}^{17}F + \alpha$$

#### <sup>21</sup>MG – ALPHA'S





Pad

Decay modes observed:

- 4 βα (nr. 2, 3, 4, and 5)
- 1 βρα (nr. 1)



#### <sup>21</sup>MG – ALPHA SPECTRUM





#### <sup>21</sup>MG – ALPHA SPECTRUM





#### <sup>21</sup>MG – ALPHA SPECTRUM







$${}^{21}Mg \rightarrow \beta + {}^{21}Na \rightarrow p + {}^{20}Ne \rightarrow \alpha + {}^{16}O$$

$$\downarrow$$

$${}^{17}F + \alpha$$

#### $^{21}MG - \Delta E - E DATA$

~ stopping power





Q<sub>p</sub> = 1416 (6) keV : <sup>21</sup>Na(8827) → <sup>20</sup>Ne(4968) + p

Q<sub>p</sub> = 394 (5) keV : <sup>21</sup>Na(4468) → <sup>20</sup>Ne(1633) + p

$$S_{p}(^{21}Na) = 2431keV$$



AARHUS UNIVERSITET

## <sup>21</sup>MG – PROTON SPECTRUM

DSSSD

Pad

30° Beam

Si2 Si1 Gas





#### <sup>21</sup>MG – PROTON SPECTRUM

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10



 $^{21}Mg \rightarrow \beta + ^{21}Na$  $^{20}Ne + p$ 



#### Courtesy of J. Halkjær

909.3

200.7

909.5

4000

201

10<sup>2</sup>

Al data: E1 vs. Egas (counts/5kev)

#### allData 4500 Entries 4.579096e+007 Mean x 4000 Mean y RMS x 3500 RMS y $^{21}MG - TIME TEST$ 3000 left 2500 2000 c3 1500 Method: 1000 F Use 3 different statistical tests: 500 Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Cramer-von 4500 1000 2000 2500 3000 4000 Mises, and Anderson-Darling probability All quantify the vertical difference between the reference distribution and Cumulated the distribution in question 0.8 MC simulation to get confidence limits 0.6 0.4 Reference distribution from protons, excluding lowest energy 0.2 Left region (1 of 3 tests positive to >5%, 2 of 3 <5%) 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 Central region, c1, (positive all, • Time (ms) >25% significance)



### <sup>21</sup>MG – DECAY SCHEME

7.055

6.560

- Decay scheme mainly based on Sextro et al. from 1973 seem unlikely:
  - new <sup>20</sup>Ne(p,p) scattering experiments
  - Sextro et al. introduced new resonances not seen again
- New tentative interpretation based on:
  - new knowledge of resonance widths
  - new knowledge of J<sup>P</sup>
  - energy considerations



 $2m_ec^2$ 

.

<sup>21</sup>Na 3/2<sup>+</sup>

0.0







#### ON BEHALF OF THE IS507 COLLABORATION.

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



Channel number

#### <sup>21</sup>MG – DECAY SCHEME





$${}^{21}Mg \rightarrow \beta + {}^{21}Na \qquad \downarrow \\ {}^{20}Ne + p$$

#### <sup>21</sup>MG – PROTON SPECTRUM





#### **TYPE 1 X-RAY BURST**

- Binary star-system: neutron star + light pop. 2 star
- Transfer of material to the neutron star = X-ray emission due to high T
- Explosive H and He burning (HCNO and break-out to A>20)
- Burst time: seconds to minutes
- Time between bursts: hours to days





### **MOTIVATION II**

- Break out sequence from the hot CNO cycle:  $\frac{6.260}{\alpha}$  $^{15}O(\alpha,\gamma)^{19}Ne(p,\gamma)^{20}Na$
- Determination of Jπ for 2.645(6) MeV resonance: 1<sup>+</sup> or 3<sup>+</sup>?
- Fed in beta decay of <sup>20</sup>Mg: allowed or second-forbidden?

